Islamic Sciences Accreditation Agency

ISTANBUL UNIVERSITY THEOLOGY FACULTY PROGRAM ACCREDITATION REPORT (PAR)

(English Education Theology Programme)

Evaluation Team

- Prof. Dr. Halis Aydemir (Team Leader-Dumlupınar University)
- Prof. Dr. Wan Kamal Mujani (Team Member-University Kebangsaan Malasia)
- Prof. Dr. Ghazalla Benhusein (Team Member-Tripoli University Libya)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmet Türkan (Team Member-Necmettin Erbakan University)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rifat Türkel (Team Member-Dumlupınar University)
- Dr. Ali Bayer (Team Member-Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University)
- Dr. Mokhtar Badri (Team Member-Collage of Sharia and Islamic Studies Qatar University)
- Dr. Bahar Çelik (Team Member-Kutahya Health Sciences University)
- Dr. Umit Aktı (Team Member-Dumlupınar University)
- Şeyma Aydemir (Student- Sakarya University)

Islamic Sciences Accreditation Agency

CONTENT

A.1. Mission and Strategic Objectives 5

A.1.1. Mission, vision, strategic objectives and targets 5

A.1.2. Policies on quality assurance, learning and teaching, research and development, social contribution and governance system 6

A.1.3. Institutional performance management 7

A.2. Internal Quality Assurance 8

A.2.1. Quality Commission 8

A.2.2. Internal quality assurance mechanisms (PDCA cycles, calendar, structure of units) 9

A.2.3. Leadership and quality assurance culture 10

A.3. Stakeholder Participation 11

A.3.1. Participation of internal and external stakeholders in the processes of quality assurance, learning and teaching, research and development, governance and internationalization 11

A.4. Internationalization 12

A.4.1. Internationalization policy 12

A.4.2. Management and organizational structure of internationalization processes 13

A.4.3. Internationalization resources 14

A.4.4. Monitoring and improving the internationalization performance 15

B.1. Design and Approval of The Program 16

B.1.1. Design and approval of the program 16

B.1.2. Program's objectives, outcomes (program outcomes and disipline-specific outcomes) and compliance with IAA Criteria 17

B.1.3. Alignment of course achievements with program outcomes 18

B.1.4. Structure of the program and balance in the distribution of courses 19

B.1.5. Student workload based design 20

B.1.6. Assessment and evaluation 21

B.2. Student Admission and Progression 22

B.2.1. Student admission and recognition of prior learning (skills and knowledge obtained from formal, informal and non-formal learning) 22

B.2.2. Recognition and certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications 23

B.3. Student-Centered Learning, Teaching and Evaluation 24

B.3.1. Teaching methods and techniques 24

B.3.2. Assessment and Evaluation 25

B.3.3. Student feedback (Surveys on courses, instructors, programs, satisfaction levels; systems for requests and suggestions) 26

B.3.4. Academic consultancy 27

B.4. Teaching Staff 28

B.4.1. Recruitment, appointment, promotion and teaching assignment criteria 28
B.4.2. Teaching competence (Active learning, distance education, assessment and evaluation, innovative approaches, material development, skills to equip students with competencies and quality assurance system) 29
B.4.3. Incentives and rewards for learning and teaching activities 30
B.5. Learning Resources 31
B.5.1. Learning resources 31
B.5.2. Social, cultural and sportive activities 32
B.5.3. Facilities and infrastructure (cafeterias, dormitories, study halls equipped with technologies, health centers etc.) 33
B.5.4. Accessible Faculty 34
B.5.5. Guidance, psychological counseling and career services 35
B.6. Monitoring and Review of Programs 36
B.6.1. Monitoring and review of program outcomes (This also covers foreign language education in preparatory classes.) 36
B.6.2. Alumni tracking system 37
C.1. Research Strategy 38
C.1.1. The institution's research policy, objectives and strategy 38
C.1.2. Management and organizational structure of research-development processes 39
C.1.3. Relation of research activities to local/regional/national development goals 40
C.2. Research Resources 41
C.2.1. Physical, technical and financial research resources 41
C.2.2. Intra-university resources (scientific research projects) 42
C.2.3. Use of extra-university resources (Support units and methods) 43
C.2.4. Graduate programs in line with institutional research policy, objectives and strategy 44
C.3. Research Competencies 45
C.3.1. Research competencies of teaching staff and improvement of research competencies 45
C.3.2. National and international Joint programs and joint research units 46
C.4. Research Performance 47
C.4.1. Performance review of teaching staff 47
C.4.2. Review and outcome-based improvement of research performance 48
C.4.3. Research budget performance 49
D.1. Social Contribution Strategy 50
D.1.1. Social contribution policy, objectives and strategy 50
D.1.2. Management and organizational structure of social contribution processes 51
D.2. Social Contribution Resources 52
D.2.1. Resources 52

D.3. Social Contribution Performance 53
D.3.1. Monitoring and improvement of social contribution performance 53
E.1. Structure of Management and Administrative Units 54
E.1.1. Management model and administrative structure 54
E.1.2. Process management 55
E.2. Resource Management 56
E.2.1. Human resources management 56
E.2.2. Management of financial resources 57
E.3. Information Management System 58
E.3.1. Integrated information management system 58
E.3.2. Information security and reliability 59
E.4. Support Services 60
E.4.1. Suitability, quality and continuity of goods and services 60
E.5. Public Information and Accountability 61
E.5.1. Public information 61
E.5.2. Accountability methods 62

A.1. Mission and Strategic Objectives

The faculty has defined and published its quality assurance policies and strategies for the implementation of the policies as a component of its strategic management.

A.1.1. Mission, vision, strategic objectives and targets

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any mission, vision or strategic objectives that are defined within the scope of the strategic plan.	The institution has a mission, vision and strategic objectives that are defined within the scope of the strategic plan. But no practice is available to implement these or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has some practices implemented in the entire institution in line with the institution's strategic objectives and targets defined within the scope of the strategic plan, and certain results have been obtained from these practices. But necessary mechanisms for the monitoring of the strategic plan have not been formed and/or strategic plan have not been employed in any decision-making process.	The practices that cover all the fields in line with the institution's strategic objectives and targets defined within the scope of the strategic plan are systematically monitored in compliance with the institution's internal quality assurance system. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Matured and sustainable practices to realize the strategic objectives and targets as part of the strategic plan are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1	Member 1		
	Member 2	Member 2		
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5	Member 5		
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8	Member 8		
	Member 10	Member 10		
	Member 9			
		IAC Decision		

A.1.2. Policies on quality assurance, learning and teaching, research and development, social contribution and governance system

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any defined policy.	The institution has defined policies in some of the main headings including quality assurance, learning and teaching, research and development, social contribution and governance system. However, these policies have not been employed in any planning or decision-making process.	The institution has practices implemented in all the fields in line with the defined policies, and certain results have been obtained from these practices. But necessary mechanisms to monitor their results are not available.	The relations between the institutional policies in all the fields are established, and this integrated structure guides the internal quality assurance system. The results are monitored and evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Matured and sustainable practices in line with the institutional policies in all the fields are adopted in the entire institution and taken as a basis in decision-making processes. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

A.1.3. Institutional performance management

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any performance management practice or performance indicators defined in compliance with the strategic plan.	Some indicators and mechanisms are defined to monitor the performance in the institution. But no practice is available to monitor these indicators or the existing practices do not cover all the fields/processes (quality assurance system, learning and teaching, research and development, social contribution and governance system).	Performance indicators and key performance indicators are defined in all the fields. However, the monitoring of these indicators are not systematic or do not cover all the fields.	All performance indicators related to all the fields/processes are systematically monitored in line with the institution's internal quality assurance system. The results are evaluated with stakeholders and employed in decision-making processes, and necessary measures are taken with regard to performance management.	Matured and sustainable institutional performance management in line with the institutional goals is adopted in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
Member 9				
Member 10				
IAC Decision				

A.2. Internal Quality Assurance

The faculty has established an internal quality assurance system and improved its processes and the quality assurance culture can be disseminated in the faculty.

A.2.1. Quality Commission

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a quality commission responsible for maintaining quality assurance processes.	The duties, authorities and responsibilities and the organizational structure of the institution's quality commission are defined. However, the quality commission does not play an active role in planning and/or decision-making processes related to these duties, authorities and responsibilities.	The quality commission conducts its activities as part of its duties, authorities and responsibilities in an inclusive, participative and transparent manner. However, these practices are not executed as part of the integrated quality management in the institution and the results of the practices are not monitored.	The quality commission is systematically conducting their works in line with their duties, authorities and responsibilities and in the scope of the integrated quality management in the institution. The findings obtained from these practices are monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated to enable improvement.	Sustainable and integrated practices of the quality commission that are in line with the institutional goals are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has innovative implementations in quality management, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

A.2.2. Internal quality assurance mechanisms (PDCA cycles, calendar, structure of units)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any internal quality assurance system or mechanisms.	The institution has internal quality assurance mechanisms but they do not cover all the fields/processes (learning and teaching, research and development, social contribution, governance system).	The institution has internal quality assurance mechanisms (processes, PDCA cycles, authorities, duties and responsibilities, quality tools) that cover all the fields and processes; and certain results have been obtained from the applications of these mechanisms. But they are not executed as part of the integrated quality management of the institution and the results of these practices are not monitored.	The internal quality assurance mechanisms that cover all the fields/processes in the institution are systematically conducted in line with the defined processes and an integrated quality management approach. The findings obtained from these practices are monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to enable improvement.	Sustainable and integrated internal quality assurance practices that cover all the fields and processes and in line with the institutional goals are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has innovative implementations in quality management, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member10		
		IAC Decision		

A.2.3. Leadership and quality assurance culture

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have an institutional culture or leadership approach that complements the quality assurance culture in the institution.	Some plans have been made to establish an institutional culture and leadership approach that complement the quality assurance culture in the institution. But these plans are not put into practice, or the existing practices do not cover all the fields and units.	The institution has an institutional culture and leadership approach that complement the quality assurance culture in the institution and cover all the units and processes. Certain results have been obtained from the activities conducted in this scope. But these practices are not executed as part of the integrated quality management of the institution and their results are not monitored.	The institution has an institutional culture that enables the permanence of high quality and a leadership approach that enables the coordination of quality efforts in line with the institutional values and expectations and embraces the quality processes. Leadership and quality assurance culture are monitored as part of the integrated quality management perspective of the institution, evaluated with stakeholders, and necessary measures are taken according to the monitoring results.	Sustainable and matured institutional quality culture and leadership approach that cover all the units and processes and in line with the institutional goals are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has innovative implementations for strengthening its quality culture and leadership approach, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
		Member 2		
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

A.3. Stakeholder Participation

The faculty can ensure the internal and external stakeholders' contribution and participation in the quality assurance system.

A.3.1. Participation of internal and external stakeholders in the processes of quality assurance, learning and teaching, research and development, governance and internationalization

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have mechanisms or implementations that would enable stakeholder participation in all the processes maintained in the institution (quality assurance, learning and teaching, research and development, social contribution, governance system, internationalization)	The institution has defined its stakeholders, conducted stakeholder analyses and has a set of defined processes for stakeholder participation. But no practice related to these processes is available or the existing practices do not cover all the processes, fields and stakeholder groups.	Participation of stakeholders, who have been determined in relation to the processes maintained in the institution, in processes and decision-making mechanisms have been enabled and some application results have been obtained from this interaction. But these practices are not executed as part of the integrated quality management of the institution and their results are not monitored.	The stakeholder participation in all the processes and decision-making steps of the institution is maintained as part of the integrated quality management in the institution and the findings obtained from stakeholder participation practices are monitored and evaluated with stakeholders. Necessary measures are taken according to the monitoring results.	The stakeholder participation in all the processes and decision-making steps of the institution is enabled with matured and sustainable practices and adopted in the entire institution. The institution has innovative implementations for strengthening stakeholder participation, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

A.4. Internationalization

The faculty can periodically review and continuously improve the activities it maintains in line with its internationalization strategy and objectives.

A.4.1. Internationalization policy

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a defined internationalization policy.	The institution has a defined internationalization policy. But no implementation related to this policy is available.	The institution has some practices in line with the internationalization policy that considers the aspects of learning and teaching, research and development and social contribution; and certain results have been obtained from them. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The practices conducted in line with the institution's internationalization policy are monitored in a systematic manner and in compliance with the institution's internal quality assurance system. These practices are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The institution's specific internationalization model is adopted in the entire institution. The institution has innovative implementations with regard to its internationalization model, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

A.4.2. **Management and organizational structure of internationalization processes**

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any plans for the management and organizational structure of internationalization processes.	The institution has plans for the management and organizational structure of internationalization processes. But no implementation with regard to these plans is available.	Some results have been obtained by implementing the management and organizational structure of the internationalization processes in accordance with the institutional preferences. But their results are not monitored.	The outcomes and stakeholder opinions with regard to the management of the internationalization processes in the institution are systematically monitored and evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The management of internationalization processes covers all the units/fields in the institution and is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution with the help of integrative, sustainable and matured practices that are in line with the institutional goals. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

A.4.3. Internationalization resources

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have sufficient physical, technical and financial resources in terms of quality and quantity to maintain its internationalization activities.	The institution has plans to obtain sufficient physical, technical and financial resources in terms of quality and quantity to maintain its internationalization activities. But these plans are not put into practice.	The sufficient physical, technical and financial resources in terms of quality and quantity to maintain the institution's internationalization activities are provided. But the results with regard to the use of these resources are not monitored.	The physical, technical and financial resources in the institution are managed in a way to support the internationalization activities. The findings obtained from these practices are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The sufficient physical, technical and financial resources in terms of quality and quantity are managed in the institution in line with the institutional goals (internationalization policy and strategy) and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

A.4.4. Monitoring and improving the internationalization performance

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any plans or defined processes to monitor and evaluate its internationalization performance.	The institution has plans and defined processes to monitor and evaluate its internationalization performance. But these plans and processes are not put into practice.	The practices regarding the monitoring and evaluation of the institution's internationalization performance cover all the fields. But their results are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The internationalization performance of the institutional units *(Commission, coordinator, cooperating center, etc.)* that work for internationalization goals is monitored, evaluated and employed in decision-making processes. The practices related to this aspect are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices regarding the monitoring and evaluation of the internationalization performance of the units working for internationalization goals and institutional goals (internationalization policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.1. Design and Approval of The Program

The faculty designed its Islamic sciences higher education programs in accordance with the programs' objectives, programs' outcomes and discipline-specific outcomes. The faculty defined processes for the design and approval of the programs. The programs' outcomes can be defined to include the IAA Criteria. As well as the faculty can align the courses' achievements with the programs' outcomes.

B.1.1. Design and approval of the program

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have defined and systematic processes regarding the design and approval of program.	The institution has defined and systematic processes regarding the design and approval of program, but they do not cover all the fields.	The institution has conducted some implementations in line with the defined processes related to the design and approval of all the program and certain results have been obtained from these implementations. But their results are not monitored.	The practices regarding the design and approval of program are systematically monitored and evaluated with the participation of stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices on the design and approval of program, which are undertaken with the participation of stakeholders, are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

B.1.2. Program's objectives, outcomes (program outcomes and disipline-specific outcomes) and compliance with IAA Criteria

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The program objectives, outcomes and compliance with IAA criteria are not defined in the institution.	The program objectives, outcomes and compliance with IAA criteria are defined in the institution. But they are not applied in all the fields.	The program objectives, outcomes and compliance with IAA criteria are defined, published and associated with the practices related to learning and teaching. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The practices that encompass all the fields regarding the program objectives, outcomes and compliance with IAA criteria are systematically monitored and evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices on the program objectives, outcomes and compliance with IAA criteria are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

B.1.3. Alignment of course achievements with program outcomes

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
Course achievements are not aligned with the program outcomes and the disipline-specific outcomes in the program.	Course achievements are aligned with the program outcomes and the disipline-specific outcomes in the program.	The course achievements that clearly specify the cognitive level (Bloom level) of the courses are aligned with the program outcomes and the disipline-specific outcomes in the program and this alignment is published and reflected on the practices related to learning and teaching (course profiles and curricula etc.) But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The practices that cover all the fields related to the alignment of course achievements with the program outcomes and the disipline-specific outcomes in the program are systematically monitored in compliance with the institution's internal quality assurance system and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Course achievements are aligned with the program outcomes and the disipline-specific outcomes in a clear, practical and sustainable manner and this alignment is internalized in all the fields. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
Member 9				
Member 10				
IAC Decision				

B.1.4. Structure of the program and balance in the distribution of courses

(balance between compulsory and elective courses, balance between field-specific knowledge and general knowledge courses, acquiring cultural competence, opportunities to familiarize students with different disciplines)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The balance in the distribution of courses is not taken into consideration in any fields in the program.	The institution has developed plans regarding the structure of the program and distribution of courses, but they do not cover all the fields.	Program and course info packages are designed in consideration of the program structure and balance in the distribution of courses (balance between field-specific and professional knowledge and general knowledge courses, cultural competence, opportunities to familiarize students with different disciplines etc.). But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The practices on program structure and balance in all the fields are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders and continuously updated by taking necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices on the program structure and balance in line with the institutional goals (learning and teaching policy) are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4	Member 4		
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.1.5. Student workload based design

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
Student workload based design is not available in the program.	Some plans have been made for student workload based design in the program, but they do not cover all the fields or are not implemented in all the practices related to learning and teaching.	Student workload is defined in the program, shared with stakeholders (through program and course info packages) and employed in all practices related to learning and teaching (student mobility, recognition of prior learning etc.). However, its results and related implementations are not monitored.	Student workload practice applied in the program, is systematically monitored, and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Student workload practice is adopted in the entire institution in line with institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.1.6. Assessment and evaluation

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have competency-based assessment and evaluation approach in the program.	The institution has designed a competency-based assessment and evaluation system for its program. But this design does not cover all the fields.	The design for a competency-based assessment and evaluation system covers all the fields in the program and some results have been obtained from the implementation of this design. But the results of this system are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices related to the assessment and evaluation system designed for all the fields are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The assessment and evaluation system that is designed for the program in a sustainable manner and in line with the institutional goals is adopted in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4	Member 4			
Member 5	Member 5			
Member 6	Member 6			
Member 7				
Member 8	Member 8			
Member 9				
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.2.Student Admission and Progression

The faculty has set out a list of open criteria for student admissions, and consistently and permanently employed the predefined and published rules relating to the certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications. However, the faculty can develop to employ the predefined and published rules relating to the recognition of the prior informal and non-formal learning.

B.2.1. Student admission and recognition of prior learning (skills and knowledge obtained from formal, informal and non-formal learning)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The criteria and processes for student admission and recognition of prior learning have not been defined in the institution.	The institution has defined and published a set of criteria and processes for student admission and recognition of prior learning, but they do not cover all the fields in the institution.	The defined criteria and processes for student admission and recognition of prior learning are applied in the institution. However, the results of the related implementations are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices related to student admission and recognition of prior learning are systematically monitored and evaluated with stakeholders. Necessary measures are taken according to monitoring results.	The practices on student admission and recognition of prior learning are adopted in the entire institution in line with institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
Member 9				
Member 10				
IAC Decision				

B.2.2. Recognition and certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The criteria and processes for the recognition and certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications have not been defined in the institution.	The institution has defined and published a set of criteria and processes for the recognition and certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications.	The criteria and processes that are defined in a clear, comprehensive and consistent manner for the recognition and certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications are applied in the institution. However, the results of the related implementations are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices related to the recognition and certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications are systematically monitored and evaluated with stakeholders. Necessary measures are taken according to monitoring results.	Matured practices on the recognition and certification of degrees, diplomas and other qualifications are adopted in the entire institution in line with institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

B.3.Student-Centered Learning, Teaching and Evaluation

The faculty has attempted to make the students achieve the targeted programs' objectives and outcomes during their study periods. It can as well, improve practices that contain active learning strategies and methods during this process. A student-centred and competency-based approach is able to be adopted in assessment and evaluation processes.

B.3.1. Teaching methods and techniques

(active, interdisciplinary studies; interactive, research/learning-oriented methods)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have student-centered approaches in its learning and teaching processes.	Some plans have been made to implement student-centered approaches in the institution's learning and teaching processes, but these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	Up-to-date, research/learning- and student- oriented teaching methods and techniques that promote interdisciplinary studies and provide active and interactive student participation are applied in the learning and teaching processes of all the fields and some results have been obtained from these practices. But their results are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices related to up-to-date, research/learning- and student- oriented teaching approach that promotes interdisciplinary studies and provides active and interactive student participation are systematically monitored and evaluated with stakeholders. Necessary measures are taken according to monitoring results.	Matured practices on the teaching methods and techniques are adopted in the entire institution in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
Member 9				
Member 10				
IAC Decision				

B.3.2. Assessment and Evaluation

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution's program do not have plans or defined processes on student-centered assessment and evaluation.	The institution's program have some plans and defined processes for student-centered assessment and evaluation, but these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	Practices on student-centered assessment and evaluation are available in all the fields and some results have been obtained from these practices. But the results of this assessment and evaluation system are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the matured practices related to student-centered assessment and evaluation in all the fields are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Matured practices on the student-centered assessment and evaluation are adopted in the entire institution in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
	Member 8			
Member 9				
	Member 10			
IAC Decision				

B.3.3. Student feedback (Surveys on courses, instructors, programs, satisfaction levels; systems for requests and suggestions)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any mechanisms to receive student feedback.	The institution has formed a set of mechanisms to receive student feedback (about courses, instructors, degree program, services and overall satisfaction level etc.). But no practice is available in this regard or the existing practices do not cover all the units.	Student feedback (about courses, instructors, degree program, services and overall satisfaction level etc.) is systematically received (at the end of each semester or academic year). But the feedback is not employed in the decision-making processes that aim improvement.	The findings obtained from the practices related to receiving feedback from all student groups in the program (valid and reliable practices that contain different instruments) are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Matured practices on receiving student feedback are adopted in the entire institution in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member10			
	IAC Decision			

B.3.4. Academic consultancy

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a defined academic consultancy process.	The institution has a defined academic consultancy process. But no practice is available in this regard or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has academic consultancy practices and some results have been obtained from these practices, but the results are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices related to academic consultancy are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Matured practices on academic consultancy are adopted in the entire institution in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
Member 4				
	Member 5			
	Member 7			
	Member 6			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.4. Teaching Staff

The faculty is fair and transparent in all the processes pertaining to the recruitment, appointment, promotion and assignments of the teaching staff and can provide more opportunities to continuously improve their teaching skills and competencies.

B.4.1. Recruitment, appointment, promotion and teaching assignment criteria

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have defined criteria or processes for the recruitment, appointment, promotion and course assignment of teaching staff.	The institution has defined its criteria for the recruitment, appointment, promotion and course assignment of teaching staff, but field-specific necessities have not been analyzed in the planning stage.	The institution's recruitment, appointment, promotion and course assignment criteria, which are defined for all the fields and recognized by stakeholders, are implemented and employed in decision-making processes (teaching staff's recruitment, appointment, promotion, course assignments etc.). But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The results of the practices related to recruitment, appointment, promotion and course assignment criteria are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices on recruitment, appointment, promotion and course assignment in all the fields are adopted in the entire institution in line with the institutional goals. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.4.2. Teaching competence (Active learning, distance education, assessment and evaluation, innovative approaches, material development, skills to equip students with competencies and quality assurance system)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any plans to improve the teaching competence of its teaching staff.	The institution has developed plans to improve the teaching competence of its teaching staff such as innovative approaches, material development, skills to equip students with competencies, and quality assurance system. But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices (training for trainers events) do not cover the entire institution.	The institution has some practices to improve the teaching competence of its teaching staff that cover all the fields. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices aiming to improve the teaching competence of the institution's teaching staff are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices aiming to improve the teaching competence of the institution's teaching staff are adopted in the entire institution in line with the institutional goals. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
Member 9				
Member 10				
	IAC Decision			

B.4.3. Incentives and rewards for learning and teaching activities

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any incentive or reward mechanism for its teaching staff.	The institution has developed plans to create incentive and reward mechanisms for its teaching staff. But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The incentive and reward practices for the teaching staff are maintained in consideration of the teaching staff's competencies and in a fair and transparent manner and cover all the fields. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices related to incentive and rewarding mechanisms for the institution's teaching staff are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices on incentive and rewarding mechanisms for the institution's teaching staff are adopted in all the fields of the institution in line with the institutional goals. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.5. Learning Resources

The faculty may improve the necessary resources and infrastructure to maintain its educational activities and provide suitable and accessible learning opportunities for all students.

B.5.1. Learning resources

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have learning resources of sufficient quantity and quality (classrooms, laboratories, libraries, studios, course books, human resources, learning support etc.) to maintain its learning and teaching activities.	The institution has developed plans to create learning resources of sufficient quantity and quality to maintain its learning and teaching activities. But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the units.	The institution provides learning resources of sufficient quality and quantity by setting a balance among the fields. But the results with regard to the use of these resources are not monitored.	The learning resources in all the fields of the institution are managed in a way to ensure their accessibility and suitability in terms of quality and quantity. The findings obtained from these practices are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The suitable and accessible learning resources are managed in all the fields of the institution in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
Member 2				
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
Member 5				
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
Member 9				
Member 10				
	IAC Decision			

B.5.2. Social, cultural and sportive activities

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have social, cultural and sportive activities of sufficient quality and quantity.	The institution has developed plans to organize social, cultural and sportive activities of sufficient quality and quantity (providing space, funds and guidance support etc.). But these plans are not put into practice.	The institution organizes social, cultural and sportive activities of sufficient quality and quantity. But the results of these practices and activities are not monitored.	The social, cultural and sportive activities of sufficient quality and quantity in the institution are managed in line with the institutional goals (supports are provided for their execution, administrative organization is available etc.). The findings obtained from these practices are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The social, cultural and sportive activities of sufficient quality and quantity in the entire institution are managed in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
	Member2	Member2		
		Member 3		
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

B.5.3. Facilities and infrastructure (cafeterias, dormitories, study halls equipped with technologies, health centers etc.)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have facilities and infrastructure of sufficient quality and quantity (cafeterias, dormitories, study halls equipped with technologies; health, transportation and IT services).	The institution has developed plans to create facilities and infrastructure of sufficient quality and quantity. But these plans are not put into practice.	The institution has established facilities and infrastructure of sufficient quality and quantity. But the results with regard to the use of these facilities and infrastructure are not monitored.	The physical resources and spaces in the institution are managed in an integrative manner in order to provide facilities and infrastructure of sufficient quality and quantity in the institution. The results obtained with regard to the use of all the facilities and infrastructure are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The suitable and accessible facilities and infrastructure are managed in the institution in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.5.4. Accessible Faculty

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any plans for accessible faculty practices.	The institution has developed plans for accessible faculty practices. But these plans are not put into practice.	The accessible faculty practices in the institution cover all the places, but the results of these practices are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the accessible faculty practices that cover the entire institution are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The accessible faculty practices that cover the entire institution are managed in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

B.5.5. Guidance, psychological counseling and career services

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any plans to provide guidance, psychological counseling or career services of sufficient quality and quantity.	The institution has developed plans to provide guidance, psychological counseling and career services of sufficient quality and quantity. But these plans are not put into practice.	The institution provides guidance, psychological counseling and career services of sufficient quality and quantity. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The guidance, psychological counseling and career services of sufficient quality and quantity that are provided in the institution are managed in an integrative manner (or presence of a center within the university that coordinates these services.) The results obtained with regard to these services are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The guidance, psychological counseling and career services of sufficient quality and quantity that are provided in the institution are managed in line with the institutional goals and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.6. Monitoring and Review of Programs

The faculty can systematically monitor, review and update its program on a regular basis to ensure that it ultimately reach its outcomes and answer the needs of the students and society.

B.6.1. Monitoring and review of program outcomes (This also covers foreign language education in preparatory classes.)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any mechanisms for the monitoring and review of program outcomes.	The institution has developed some mechanisms regarding the monitoring and review of program outcomes (defined processes and performance indicators). But no practice is currently available.	The institution has introduced some practices for the monitoring of program outcomes in the institution and certain results have been obtained from them. But the results have not been evaluated or employed in decision-making and updating processes.	All program outcomes in the institution are systematically monitored (annually and periodically at the end of the program period) in line with the institutional goals (learning and teaching policy and objectives). The monitoring results are evaluated and updated with stakeholders.	Monitoring all program outcomes in a sustainable manner and in line with institutional goals is guaranteed in the institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

B.6.2. Alumni tracking system

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have an alumni tracking system.	The institution has developed plans to establish an alumni tracking system. But these plans are not put into practice.	The institution has practices related to alumni tracking system and certain results have been obtained from them. But the results have not been evaluated or employed in decision-making processes.	Graduates in the program are systematically monitored in line with the institutional goals (learning and teaching policy and objectives), and necessary measures are taken according to the monitoring results.	The institution has guaranteed the monitoring of graduates in the program in line with the institutional goals and graduates have become a component of the institution's quality assurance system. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
Member 2				
	Member 3			
Member 4				
Member 5				
	Member 6	Member 6		
	Member 7	Member 7		
		Member 8		
Member 9				
Member 10				
	IAC Decision			

C.1. Research Strategy

The faculty has conducted research and development activities. However, these activities can be further aligned with its policies defined in the framework of its strategic plan. Moreover, it can create more values and turn them into social benefits.

C.1.1. The institution's research policy, objectives and strategy

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a defined research policy, strategy and objectives.	The institution has a research policy, strategy and objectives that define its research approach, principles adopted in research activities, priorities in research and preferences in managing research resources. But no implementation or mechanism is available to put them into practice.	The institution has some practices carried out in line with its defined research policy, strategy and objectives. But the results of these practices are not evaluated.	The practices related to the research policy, strategy and objectives, which are adopted in all the fields of the institution, are systematically monitored and necessary measures that cover all the fields and programs are taken according to the monitoring results.	It is guaranteed in the institution that the research activities of the institution can create values and turn them into societal benefits in line with the institution's research policy. This has been adopted by stakeholders through matured practices. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

C.1.2. Management and organizational structure of research-development processes

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have plans for the management and organizational structure of its research-development processes.	The institution has developed plans for the management and organizational structure of its research-development processes. (the position in the non-interventional/interventional spectrum, the way of designing the motivation and guidance function, how short- and long-term goals are clearly defined, research management team and the definition of their tasks). But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	Some results have been obtained by implementing the management and organizational structure of the research and development processes in accordance with the institutional preferences in all the fields. But their results are not monitored.	The outcomes and stakeholder opinions with regard to the management of the research and development processes in the institution are systematically monitored and evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The management of research and development processes covers all the fields in the institution and is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution with the help of integrative, sustainable and matured practices that are in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy). The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
Member 5				
Member 6				
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
Member 10				
	IAC Decision			

C.1.3. Relation of research activities to local/regional/national development goals

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not consider the local, regional or national development goals and changes in the planning, execution and management of its research activities.	The institution considers the local, regional and national development goals and changes in the planning, execution and management of its research activities. But these practices do not cover all the fields or are not reflected on the institution's research policy, objectives and strategy.	The institution considers the local, regional and national development goals and changes in the planning, execution and management of its research activities in all relevant fields. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The research outputs in the institution are systematically monitored in accordance with the institution's internal quality assurance system and the local, regional and national development goals. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The evaluation of the research activities' competition level (national/international) and socio-economic and cultural contributions to local, regional and national development goals is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

C.2. Research Resources

The faculty has provided some physical infrastructure for research and development activities and enabled their effective use. Research policies of the faculty encourages more cooperation with external stakeholders and use of non-facultyal funds.

C.2.1. Physical, technical and financial research resources

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have physical, technical and financial resources of sufficient quantity and quality to maintain its research and development activities.	The institution has developed plans to create physical, technical and financial resources of sufficient quantity and quality to maintain its research and development activities. But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution provides physical, technical and financial resources of sufficient quality and quantity by setting a balance among the fields in order to maintain its research and development activities. But the results with regard to the use of these resources are not monitored.	The research resources in the institution are managed in a way to support the primary research areas and encompass all the fields. The findings obtained from these practices are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The physical, technical and financial resources of sufficient quantity and quality in all the fields of the institution are managed in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

C.2.2. Intra-university resources (scientific research projects)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have intra-university resources for its research and development activities.	The institution has intra-university resources of for its research and development activities. But the institution's researchers do not benefit from these resources.	The institution has intra-university resources of sufficient quality and quantity for its research and development activities. The institution's researchers do benefit from these resources. But the results with regard to the use of these resources are not monitored.	The findings obtained regarding the use of intra-university resources in the institution are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The institution's researchers benefit from intra-university resources that encompass all the fields in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
		Member 8		
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

C.2.3. Use of extra-university resources (Support units and methods)

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not use extra-university resources for its research and development activities.	The institution has developed plans to create extra-university resources and methods for the use of these resources. But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has developed plans to create extra-university resources and methods for the use of these resources in order to maintain its research and development activities in line with the institutional goals, and the practices related to this encompass all the fields. But the results with regard to the practices and the use of these resources are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices that support the use of extra-university resources in order to maintain the institution's research and development activities in line with the institutional goals are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices for the use of extra-university resources in order to maintain the institution's research and development activities in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions
	Member 1	Member 1		
Member 2		Member 2		
	Member 3			
	Member 4	Member 4		
	Member 5	Member 5		
	Member 6	Member 6		
	Member 7	Member 7		
	Member 8	Member 8		
	Member 9	Member 9		
	Member 10	Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

C.2.4. Graduate programs in line with institutional research policy, objectives and strategy

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have graduate programs that are in compliance with the institution's research policy, objectives and strategy.	The institution has developed plans and defined processes regarding graduate programs that are in compliance with the institution's research policy, objectives and strategy. But these plans and processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has graduate programs that are in compliance with the institution's research policy, objectives and strategy. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The outcomes of the graduate programs in the institution that are in compliance with the institution's research policy, objectives and strategy are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Integrated and matured practices regarding the graduate programs in order to maintain the institution's research and development activities in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1	Member 1		
Member 2		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
	Member 5	Member 5		
	Member 6	Member 6		
	Member 7	Member 7		
	Member 8	Member 8		
	Member 9	Member 9		
	Member 10	Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

C.3. Research Competencies

The faculty can provide more opportunities to sustain and improve the research competencies of the teaching staff.

C.3.1. Research competencies of teaching staff and improvement of research competencies

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a mechanism for the evaluation and improvement of the teaching staff's research competencies (specialties, background, numbers and distribution).	The institution has developed plans and defined processes for the evaluation and improvement of the teaching staff's research competencies. But these plans and processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution's practices for the evaluation and improvement of the teaching staff's research competencies encompass all the fields. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The institution's practices for the evaluation and improvement of the teaching staff's research competencies are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices for the evaluation and improvement of the teaching staff's research competencies in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
Member 3		Member 3		
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

C.3.2. National and international Joint programs and joint research units

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have multiple research activities such as establishing cooperation or participating in intra- or inter-institutional joint programs, joint research units and research networks at national and international levels.	The institution has developed plans and defined processes to have multiple research activities such as establishing cooperation or participating in intra- or inter-institutional joint programs, joint research units and research networks at national and international levels. But these plans and processes are not put into practice.	The institution's practices regarding multiple research activities such as establishing cooperation or participating in intra- or inter-institutional joint programs, joint research units and research networks at national and international levels encompass all the fields. But the results of these practices are not monitored.	The institution's practices regarding multiple research activities such as establishing cooperation or participating in intra- or inter-institutional joint programs, joint research units and research networks at national and international levels are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices for the networks research activities such as establishing cooperation or participating in intra- or inter-institutional joint programs, joint research units and research networks at national and international levels in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
Member 3				
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
Member6				
Member 7				
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

C.4. Research Performance

The faculty may periodically assess and evaluate its research and development activities on the basis of relevant data and publish the results. The obtained results can be employed for the periodic review and continuous improvement of the faculty's research and development performance.

C.4.1. Performance review of teaching staff

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any practice for the monitoring and review of the teaching staff's research performance.	The institution has developed plans and defined processes (directives, regulations, process definitions, assessment tools, guidelines, appreciation-recognition systems, incentive mechanisms etc.) for the monitoring and review of the teaching staff's research performance. But these plans and processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution's practices regarding the defined processes for the monitoring and review of the teaching staff's research and development performance (directives, regulations, process definitions, assessment tools, guidelines, appreciation-recognition systems, incentive mechanisms etc.) encompass all the fields. But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The institution's practices for the monitoring and review of the teaching staff's research and development performance that encompass all the fields are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices for the monitoring and review of the teaching staff's research and development performance in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
	Member 8			
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

C.4.2. Review and outcome-based improvement of research performance

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any practice for the monitoring and review of the research performance.	The institution has developed plans And defined processes for the monitoring and review of the research performance. But these plans and processes are not put into practice or the existing practices donot cover all the fields.	The institution's practices for the monitoring and review of the research performance encompass all the fields (including the research centers). But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The research performance of all the fields in the institution is monitored, reviewed and employed in decision-making processes (performance-based incentive-appreciation mechanisms etc.). The practices related to this aspect are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices for the monitoring and review of the research performance in all the fields in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
	Member 2			
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
Member 9				
Member 10				
IAC Decision				

C.4.3. Research budget performance

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any practice for the monitoring of the research budget performance.	The institution has developed plans and defined processes for the monitoring of the research budget performance. But these plans and processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has practices for the monitoring and review of the research budget performance but the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The research budget performance of the institution is monitored, reviewed and employed in decision-making processes (budget distribution etc.). The practices related to this aspect are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices for the monitoring and review of the research budget performance in all the units in line with the institutional goals (research policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

D.1. Social Contribution Strategy

The faculty can carry out its social contribution activities in accordance with its objectives, strategy and local, regional and national development goals.

D.1.1. Social contribution policy, objectives and strategy

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a defined social contribution policy, objectives and strategy.	The institution has a social contribution policy, objectives and strategy that express its principles, priorities and preferences in the management of its resources in the context of its social contribution activities. But any mechanisms or practices to implement these are not available.	The institution has some practices regarding its defined social contribution policy, objectives and strategy. But the results of these practices are not evaluated.	The practices related to the institution's social contribution policy, objectives and strategy that are adopted by all the fields in the institution are systematically monitored. Necessary measures that encompass all the fields and programs are taken according to the monitoring results.	It is guaranteed in the institution that the social contribution activities of the institution can create values and turn them into societal benefits in line with the institution's social contribution policy. This has been adopted by stakeholders through matured practices. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

D.1.2. Management and organizational structure of social contribution processes

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have plans for the management and organizational structure of its social contribution processes.	The institution has developed plans for the management and organizational structure (processes, definition of tasks etc.) of its social contribution processes in relation to the local, regional and national development goals and in integration with the other processes (learning and teaching, research). But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the units.	Some results have been obtained in the institution by implementing the management and organizational structure of the social contribution processes in accordance with the institutional preferences in all the fields. But their results are not monitored.	The results and stakeholder opinions with regard to the management of the social contribution processes in the institution are systematically monitored in compliance with the institution's internal quality assurance system and evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The management of social contribution processes covers all the fields in the institution and is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution with the help of integrative, sustainable and matured practices that are in line with the institutional goals. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
Member 9				
Member 10				
IAC Decision				

D.2. Social Contribution Resources

The faculty can have more resources with suitable quality and quantity and enable their effective use in order to maintain its social contribution activities.

D.2.1. Resources

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have physical, technical and financial resources of suitable quantity and quality to maintain its social contribution activities.	The institution has developed plans to create physical, technical and financial resources of suitable quantity and quality to maintain its social contribution activities. But these plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution provides physical, technical and financial resources of suitable quality and quantity in order to maintain its social contribution activities. But the results with regard to the use of these resources are not monitored.	The physical, technical and financial resources in the institution are managed in a way to support the social contribution activities and encompass all the fields. The findings obtained from these practices are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and diversify resources according to the needs and demands.	The physical, technical and financial resources of suitable quantity and quality in the institution are managed in line with the institutional goals (social contribution objectives and strategy) and in a sustainable manner. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
Member 8				
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

D.3. Social Contribution Performance

The faculty can periodically and systematically review and continuously improve the activities and maintain them in line with its social contribution strategy and objectives.

D.3.1. Monitoring and improvement of social contribution performance

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any practice for the monitoring and improvement of its social contribution performance.	The institution has developed plans and defined processes for the monitoring and improvement of its social contribution performance. But these plans and processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution's practices for the monitoring and improvement of its social contribution performance encompass all the fields. But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The social contribution performance of all the fields in the institution is monitored, reviewed and employed in decision-making processes. The practices related to this aspect are periodically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	Sustainable and matured practices for the monitoring and review of the social contribution performance in all the fields in line with the institutional goals (social contribution policy, objectives and strategy) are adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

E.1. Structure of Management and Administrative Units

The faculty has a management structure and administrative units that enable realizing the faculty's strategic goals both qualitatively and quantitatively. The faculty has as well, defined all its processes for its practices regarding learning and teaching, research-development, social contribution and governance system.

E.1.1. Management model and administrative structure

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a management model and organizational structure that are in compliance with its mission and enable the achievement of the strategic objectives.	The institution's management model and administrative structure that guarantee the achievement of its mission and strategic objectives have been defined with a clear explanation of all the processes and authorities, duties and responsibilities in accordance with the processes. But this model has not been put into practice or the existing practices related to this model do not cover all the units.	The institution's management model and administrative structure that guarantee the achievement of its mission and strategic objectives have been formed in accordance with the processes and encompass all the fields/units. Some results have been obtained from the related practices but these results are not monitored.	The findings obtained from the practices related to the institution's management model and administrative structure that encompass all the units and fields are systematically monitored and stakeholder opinions are received in this regard. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and make updates according to the needs and demands.	The institution's management model and administrative structure (institutional approach, traditions and preferences in the framework of legislative regulations), which guarantee diversity, effective decision-making, adaptability and stakeholder representation and provide suitable ground for the realization of the institutional goals, are adopted in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1	Member 1		
Member 2		Member 2		
Member 3		Member 3		
	Member 4	Member 4		
	Member 5	Member 5		
Member 6		Member 6		
	Member 7	Member 7		
Member 8	Member 8			
	Member 9	Member 9		
Member 10		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

E.1.2. Process management

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have defined processes for its practices regarding learning and teaching, research-development, social contribution and governance system.	The institution has defined processes for its practices regarding learning and teaching, research-development, social contribution and governance system. But the existing practices in this regard are not compatible with the processes or do not cover all the units.	All the processes in the institution are defined in a way to encompass all the units and fields and all the practices are implemented in line with the processes. But the process performance results are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The results regarding the processes that are managed in a way to encompass all the units and fields in the institution are systematically monitored with performance indicators and stakeholder opinions are received in this regard. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and make updates according to the needs and demands.	The institution's process management model that is in compliance with the strategic objectives and encompasses all the fields is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution through sustainable and matured practices. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
		Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
		Member 4		
		Member 5		
		Member 6		
		Member 7		
	Member 8			
		Member 9		
		Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

E.2. Resource Management

The faculty has some practices for the management of human resources in accordance with its human resources policy and strategic objectives and certain results have been obtained from them. It can monitor these results and employ them in decision-making processes.

E.2.1. Human resources management

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have a defined policy or process for the management of human resources.	The institution has a defined policy and processes for the management of human resources. But no implementation or mechanism is available to put them into practice.	The institution has some practices for the management of human resources in accordance with its human resources policy and strategic objectives and certain results have been obtained from them. But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The results regarding the processes for the management of human resources that encompass all the units in the institution are systematically monitored and stakeholder opinions are received in this regard. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The institution's human resources management model that is in compliance with the strategic objectives and encompasses all the fields is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution through sustainable and matured practices. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1	Member 1		
	Member 2	Member 2		
	Member 3	Member 3		
	Member 4	Member 4		
	Member 5	Member 5		
	Member 6	Member 6		
	Member 7	Member 7		
Member 8	Member 8			
	Member 9	Member 9		
	Member 10			
		IAC Decision		

E.2.2. Management of financial resources

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have defined processes for the management of financial resources.	The institution has defined processes for the management of financial resources. But these processes are not put into practice, or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has some practices for the management of financial resources in accordance with its strategic objectives and defined processes and certain results have been obtained from them. But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The results regarding the practices for the management of financial resources that encompass all the fields in the institution are systematically monitored and stakeholder opinions are received in this regard. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The institution's management of financial resources that is in compliance with the strategic objectives and encompasses all the fields is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution through sustainable and matured practices. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1				
Member 2				
Member 3				
Member 4				
Member 5				
Member 6				
Member 7				
Member 8				
Member 9				
Member 10				
IAC Decision				

E.3. Information Management System

The faculty has an integrated information management system that periodically collects, stores and analyses the required data and information in order to guarantee the effective management of the administrative and operational activities and enhance its processes. In this context the faculty may have the chance to update its integrated information management system according to its new quality manual. On the other hand, the faculty can improve the processes and plans to enhance the information security.

E.3.1. Integrated information management system

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any information management system to support the acquisition, storage and usage of information.	The institution has information management systems to support the acquisition, storage and usage of information. But these systems are not integrated to each other or do not cover all the fields.	The institution has an integrated information management system that covers all the fields and supports all the processes (learning and teaching, research and development, social contribution, quality assurance) and some practices are available for the use of this system. But the information system is not employed in decision-making processes and the results regarding the use of the system are not monitored.	The results regarding the use of the integrated information management system that supports all the processes are systematically monitored and stakeholder opinions are received in this regard. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures and make updates according to the needs and demands.	A sustainable and matured integrated information management system that is in line with the institutional goals is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
Member 4	Member 4			
Member 5	Member 5			
Member 6	Member 6			
Member 7	Member 7			
Member 8	Member 8			
Member 9	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

E.3.2. Information security and reliability

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any practice to provide information security and reliability.	The institution has defined processes and plans to provide information security and reliability. But these processes and plans are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has integrated practices to provide information security and reliability and some results have been obtained from these practices. But the results of these practices are not monitored or used in decision-making mechanisms.	The practices to provide information security and reliability in the institution are systematically monitored and the monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The security and reliability of the institutional information is adopted and guaranteed in the entire institution with sustainable and matured practices. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
Member 1	Member 1			
Member 2	Member 2			
Member 3	Member 3			
Member 4	Member 4			
Member 5	Member 5			
Member 6	Member 6			
Member 7	Member 7			
Member 8		Member 8		
Member 9	Member 9			
Member 10	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

E.4. Support Services

The faculty maintains cooperation with suppliers to provide outsourced goods and services. In addition the faculty can define an adequate process and more mechanisms to guarantee the suitability, quality and continuity of the outsourced goods and support services.

E.4.1. Suitability, quality and continuity of goods and services

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have any defined criteria or processes to evaluate the suitability and quality of the outsourced goods and support services.	The institution has defined processes and mechanisms (procurement process, suitability and quality criteria etc.) to guarantee the suitability, quality and continuity of the outsourced goods and support services. But these processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	The institution has practices for the planning, evaluation and improvement of the quality levels of all the outsourced goods and support services in accordance with the internal quality assurance system. But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The institution maintains close cooperation with suppliers to guarantee the quality of all the outsourced goods and services. The performance and satisfaction levels of suppliers are systematically monitored and evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The institution manages a supply chain to guarantee the quality levels of the outsourced goods and services in line with the institutional goals. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1	Member 1		
		Member 2		
		Member 3		
	Member 4	Member 4		
	Member 5	Member 5		
	Member 6	Member 6		
	Member 7	Member 7		
	Member 8	Member 8		
	Member 9	Member 9		
	Member 10	Member 10		
		IAC Decision		

E.5. Public Information and Accountability

The faculty can publish more information on its activities, including its academic programs and research and development activities, in a transparent, accurate, updated and easily accessible way. The faculty can improve its approach that enables assessing and evaluating the productivity of the managerial staff and ensure their accountability.

E.5.1. Public information

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have defined principles and mechanisms for informing the public on its activities.	The institution has designated its public information methods and processes and defined a policy to adopt informing the public on its activities as a principle. But this policy and the processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	Some results have been obtained from the practices of public information that cover all the fields in line with the principles and processes designated to inform the public. But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The findings regarding the institution's public information activities are monitored and stakeholder opinions are received in this regard. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The institution's public information activities are guaranteed and adopted in the entire institution with sustainable and matured practices in line with the institutional goals and values. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
Member 4	Member 4			
	Member 5			
Member 6		Member 6		
Member 7	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			

E.5.2. Accountability methods

Maturity level

1	2	3	4	5
The institution does not have accountability methods or mechanisms.	The institution has adopted accountability as a principle and designated its accountability methods and processes. But these principles and processes are not put into practice or the existing practices do not cover all the fields.	Some results have been obtained from the practices related to the institution's accountability principles and processes. But the results of these practices are not monitored or employed in decision-making processes.	The findings obtained from the institution's accountability activities are monitored and stakeholder opinions are received in this regard. The monitoring results are evaluated with stakeholders to take necessary measures.	The institution's accountability principles are guaranteed and adopted in the entire institution with sustainable and matured practices in line with the institutional goals and values. The institution has specific and innovative implementations in this regard, some of which serve as a model for other institutions.
	Member 1			
	Member 2			
	Member 3			
	Member 4			
	Member 5			
Member 6	Member 6			
	Member 7			
	Member 8			
	Member 9			
	Member 10			
	IAC Decision			