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AUTHOR GUIDELINES

European Oral Research (Eur Oral Res) is an open access, peer-reviewed international title and it is the official scientific publication of Istanbul University Faculty of Dentistry. Eur Oral Res does not charge authors or authors’ institutions for submitting, processing or publication of articles. There is no fee for extra pages or color images.

Basic and clinical research papers, case reports and review articles on the main topics of oral and maxillofacial surgery, oral diseases, oral and dental health, oral and maxillofacial radiology, biomaterials, restorative dentistry, periodontics, pedodontics, orthodontics, endodontics, prosthodontics, oral biology, epidemiology, geriatric dentistry and dental education may be submitted for consideration. Letter to the Editor section is also available for authors who wish to comment on previously published articles in the journal. Authors should take collective responsibility for their work and for the content of their publications. Editor-in-Chief or the Editorial Board reserves the right to change the format, grammar or sentence structure of any part of the manuscript to comply with the guidelines to fit the standard format and style of Eur Oral Res and scientific journals in general.

Who is an author?

Eur Oral Res adheres to the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) standards to define the qualifications of authorship and recommends that it should be based on the following 4 criteria: substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Before you begin

Submission of a manuscript implies that the work described has not been published before; that it is not under consideration for publication anywhere else entirely or partially, nor will it be submitted for consideration of publication anywhere else until a final publication decision concerning this manuscript has been made by the Editor(s) of the Journal; that its publication has been approved by all co-authors, if any, as well as by the responsible authorities at the institute where the work has been carried out. The publisher cannot be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.

Eur Oral Res provides free access to and allows free download of its contents from the journal’s website (http://eor.istanbul.edu.tr/). Both anonymous or registered users can read and/or download articles for personal use. Unless otherwise indicated, the articles and journal content are licensed under Creative Commons License Attribution-Non-Commercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Users must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. Users may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the journal endorses its use. The material cannot be used for commercial purposes. If the user remixes, transforms, or builds upon the material, he/she may not distribute the modified material. No warranties are given. The license may not give the user all of the permissions necessary for his/her intended use. For example, other rights such as publicity, privacy, or moral rights may limit how the material can be used.

Articles may not be published elsewhere, in whole or in part, electronically or in print, without written permission from the Editor-in-Chief. The responsibility of the content(s) and/or opinion(s) provided in the articles which are published in the print and/or online versions of the journal, belong exclusively to their respective author(s). The publisher/editor/editorial board reviewers cannot be held responsible for errors, scientific or otherwise, in the contents or any consequences arising from the use of information contained therein. The opinions expressed in the articles published in this journal are purely those of their respective authors and in no way represent the opinions of the publisher/editor/editorial board reviewers of the journal.

Disclaimer and copyright

Publication ethics and avoiding allegations of plagiarism

Please refer to http://eor.istanbul.edu.tr/en/content/about/publication-ethics-and-publication-malpractice-statement to consult Eur Oral Res Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement. By submitting their manuscripts to Eur Oral Res, authors also accept that their manuscripts may be screened for signs of plagiarism using any means necessary and available; including, but not limited to, the use of plagiarism detection software.

Ethical approvals

Experimentation involving human subjects should be conducted in full accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of World Medical Association (http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.html) and legal requirements of the country where the research had been carried out. Manuscript must include a statement indicating that the informed consent was obtained from all participants. A statement confirming that the study has been reviewed and approved by an ethical or advisory board should also be included. The patient’s privacy should not be violated. Identifying information such as names, initials, hospital numbers, unnecessary details in photographs should be omitted from the submission. When detailed descriptions, photographs and/or videos of faces or identifiable body parts that might permit a patient to be identified must be included in the submission, authors must obtain written
informed consent for its publication from the patient or his/her parent/guardian.

Experiments on laboratory animals must comply with the Guidelines of the European Communities Council Directive 2010/63/EU and with local laws and regulations. A statement confirming that the study has been reviewed and approved by an ethical or advisory board should also be included (http://www.eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32010L0063).

Clinical trials
Randomized controlled clinical trials should be reported in full accordance with the guidelines available at http://www.consort-statement.org. The CONSORT checklist must be provided in the submission documents. Following free public clinical registries can be used to register clinical trials: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov, http://clinicaltrials.ifpma.org/clinicaltrials/, http://isrctn.org/. Registration number and project name must be published in the article.

DNA Sequences and Crystallographic Structure Determinations
Manuscripts reporting protein or DNA sequences and crystallographic structure should provide Genbank or Brookhaven Protein Data Bank accession numbers, respectively.

Submission forms
Authors who are willing to submit their manuscripts to Eur Oral Res are required to complete and sign Manuscript Submission Form, Copyright Transfer Agreement form and Disclosure of Potential Conflict of Interest Form. Please send these forms electronically when submitting your manuscript. Article evaluation process cannot be started until all documents are received.

All three documents are available for download at:

Manuscript preparation

Language
Authors should write their manuscripts in US English. Spelling and phrasing should conform to standard usage and be consistent throughout the paper. Authors whose native language is not English are encouraged either to consult with a native speaker or to collaborate with a colleague whose English skills are more advanced. Authors may also use professional translation services at their own expense. Please note that using language editing services does not imply that the article will be selected for peer-review or be accepted by Eur Oral Res.

Style and format
Use A4 page format in Microsoft Word® software, custom margins (top & bottom 3 cm, left & right 2.5 cm), Times New Roman Font, Font size 12, double line spacing for main text and single line spacing for “References” section. US English grammar check option should be enabled. Words and abbreviations in Latin should be written in italics: “et al., in vivo, in vitro, in utero, in situ, ad libitum, clostridium perfringens, Staphylococcus aureus”.

Unit abbreviations
Abbreviations used for units, prefixes, and symbols should comply with the International System of Units (SI) (http://physics.nist.gov/Pubs/SP330/sp330.pdf). If this is not possible, SI equivalents must be presented between parentheses. The complete names of individual teeth must be given in the main text (e.g. maxillary right central incisor), FDI 2-digit system should be used in table and figure legends (e.g. 11 for maxillary right central incisor).

Title page
Main title of the manuscript should not exceed 150 characters (including spaces) and it should be written in Times New Roman font, in bold capital letters of 12 font size. A running title not more than 50 characters (including spaces) written in lower case letters must also be provided below the main title. Names, surnames and affiliations of all authors should appear below the running title. Use superscript numbers “1,2,3” for authors from different institutions, do not use any numbers if all authors are from the same department. Name, surname, postal address, phone, fax and e-mail of the corresponding author should be mentioned separately. If the paper has been previously presented in a scientific meeting either orally or as a poster, the title of the manuscript should be followed by an asterisk (*), which refers to a footnote indicating the name of the organization, location and date of its presentation. Please do not use page numbers for this page.

Abstract & Keywords page
This page should start with main and running titles of your manuscript. It should not contain author names, affiliations or any citations. This section must describe the main objective(s) of the study, explain how the study was done without giving too much methodological detail and summarize the most important results and their significance. It should be as clear and concise as possible. Start numbering from this page on and place it at the lower right-hand corner of the page footer. Abstracts should not exceed 250 words for original research papers and should be structured to include Purpose, Materials and Methods, Results, Conclusion headings written in bold letters. Abstracts of case reports and review articles are limited to 150 words and should be unstructured.

If not absolutely necessary, do not include names of statistical tests or software in the Materials and Methods. In Results section, Provide p values between parentheses at the end of the sentence before the period. If p value is lower than 0.05 or 0.01 or higher than 0.05 (not significant), then provide its exact value using a maximum of three digits after the decimal point. If it is lower than 0.001, then use only less-than sign, e.g. p<0.078, p=0.048, p=0.009, p<0.001.
A maximum of five keywords should follow the abstract, preferably chosen from the Medical Subject Headings (MESH) terms (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh).

**In-text citations**

Eur Oral Res recommends the use of reference management software to ensure that the citations are correctly formatted. In-text citations should be numbered consecutively in the order of appearance with Arabic numerals between parentheses and be placed immediately after the author(s)’ name(s).

Articles with two authors are cited using their last names separated by “and”:
“John and James (1) demonstrated...”.

For articles with three or more authors, use the first author’s last name followed by “et al.”: “James et al. (2) showed...”.

Multiple quotations used within the same sentence should be cited immediately after each author(s)’ name(s).
“John and James (1) and James et al. (2) have suggested...”.

If author(s)’ name(s) are not to be used, the citation number(s) should be placed at the end of the sentence before the period. Use number ranges for consecutive citations.
“The validity and reliability of dental anxiety scales have been evaluated previously (7) or (4, 6, 8) or (12-19)”.

**Original research articles**

Original research articles are limited to 15 pages including main text, references, tables and figures. They should be organized into the following sections:

- Title page
- Abstract & Keywords
- Introduction
- Materials and Methods (or Subjects and Methods)
- Results
- Discussion
- Conclusion
- Acknowledgements (optional)
- Source of funding
- Conflict of Interest
- References

**Introduction** should provide a concise account of the research problem and introduce the reader to the pertinent literature. The objective(s) and/or hypothesis of the study should be clearly stated in the last paragraph. Writing style of this section should allow the readers outside the field of your expertise to understand the purpose and significance of the study.

**Materials and Methods** section should describe the study population/sample or specimens, the variables and the procedures of the study with sufficient detail to ensure reproducibility. Standard methods already published in the literature could be briefly described and the original reference should be cited. If your research includes direct involvement with human subjects, use ‘Subjects and Methods’ heading instead of ‘Materials and Methods’.

Feel free to use sub-headings written in italic letters (but not bold) to improve readability of your manuscript such as Population characteristics, Immunohistochemical staining, Experimental protocols or Light microscopy evaluation.

Include ethical approvals for clinical trials and animal studies in the first paragraph of this section. Provide the name of the responsible organization, year of approval and project number:
This project has been reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee of Istanbul University, Faculty of Medicine (2012/891-1085).

Include Brand name, Manufacturer, City, (state abbreviation for USA), Country details for each material used in the experimental protocol:
DNA was extracted using a MagNA Pure-Compact DNA Isolation Kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany)
Bone grafts were fixed with 2 mm biodegradable screws (Inion CPS system, Inion OY, Tampere, Finland).

Statistical analysis sub-heading must be included as the last paragraph of this section. Authors should provide the name of the statistical software, report which types of descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data, indicate how the distribution of the data was tested for normality assumptions (if applicable), which tests were employed to answer each hypotheses, the confidence interval and p values to determine the level of significance. Consult SAMPL guidelines for more detailed information on statistical reporting in biomedical journals: http://www.equator-network.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/SAMPL-Guidelines-6-27-13.pdf

Provide Name, Version, Company, City, (state abbreviation for USA), Country for statistical software:
GraphPad Prism version 3.0 statistical analysis software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA)

The following paragraph is a sample for statistical analysis section; please alter the paragraph so that it fits your study:
The collected data from all groups were imported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows software, version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The standard descriptive methods such as the mean, standard deviation, median, frequency, minimum and maximum were applied to determine the characteristics of the sample. The chi-square test was used to compare the categorical demographic variables among the groups. Because the distribution of the data did not meet the requirements for normality and homogeneity of variances assumptions, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance by ranks and Mann-Whitney U tests were used for the multiple and pairwise comparisons, respectively. The correlations between at least two continuous variables were examined using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Stepwise regression analysis was performed to understand the statistical dependence of the DFS and MDAS scores in the general population. Covariance analysis was used to determine whether the difference between the mean DFS and MDAS

---

The text is a continuation from a previous page, discussing the methodology and statistical analysis of a research study on dental anxiety scales. The author includes details on how the data was collected, the use of statistical software, and the interpretation of the results. The emphasis is on providing a concise and clear account of the research problem, introducing the reader to the pertinent literature, and describing the study population/sample or specimens with sufficient detail to ensure reproducibility. The methodology section includes descriptions of the study population/sample or specimens, the variables and procedures of the study. The statistical analysis section includes information on the types of descriptive statistics used, which tests were employed to answer each hypothesis, the confidence interval, and p-values to determine the level of significance. The author also mentions the use of specific software for statistical analysis and provides a sample paragraph for the statistical analysis section.
scores of the groups was statistically significant under a predefined effect. The confidence interval was set to 95% and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results** should be written clearly without subjective interpretation and be supported with tables and figures when necessary. Text should complement any figures or tables but it should not repeat the same information. When reporting your findings, follow the same order you have used in “Materials and Methods” section.

Use a maximum of two digits after the decimal point for descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation: “45.66±23.48”. If the last digit is 0 then use “45.6±23.4”.

Provide \( p \) values between parentheses at the end of the sentence before the period. If \( p \) value is lower than 0.05 or 0.01 or higher than 0.05 (not significant) then provide its exact value using a maximum of three digits after the decimal point. If it is lower than 0.001, then use only less-than sign, e.g., \((p=0.078), (p=0.048), (p=0.009), (p<0.001)\).

In the **Discussion** section, authors should state major findings, their meanings and clinical relevance, present any contrasts with the results of similar studies, describe unavoidable limitations in the study design and make suggestions for further research within the limits of their data. When discussing your findings, use the same logical order as in the Results section.

**Conclusion** should be supported by results and must be consistent with the objectives of the research.

**Case reports/Case series**

Case reports/Case series should not exceed 7 pages and a maximum of 6 tables or figures. They should make a significant contribution by presenting unusual occurrences of rare entities and/or highlight the need for revision of current therapeutic options. This type of manuscript should be organized as follows:

- **Title page**
- **Abstract & Keywords**
- **Introduction**
- **Case report (or case series)**
- **Discussion**
- **Conclusion**
- **Acknowledgements (optional)**
- **Source of funding**
- **Conflict of Interest**
- **References**

**Narrative or systematic reviews and meta-analyses**

Narrative review articles are limited to 10 pages including the main text, references, tables and figures. The manuscripts should summarize the current state of understanding on a particularly important topic in dentistry based on previously published data, preferably written by authoritative figures of that field. A minimum of 50 references must be cited. Authors are encouraged to use headings of their own choosing between Introduction and Conclusion sections.

This type of manuscript should be organized as follows:

- **Title page**
- **Abstract & Keywords**
- **Introduction**
- **Conclusion**
- **Acknowledgements (optional)**
- **Source of funding**
- **Conflict of Interest**
- **References**

Authors who are willing to submit a systematic review or a meta-analysis may use the same manuscript design as for the original research articles.

**Letter to the Editor**

Letters to the Editor are short articles (limited to 500 words and 5 references) in which readers can share their opinions and comment on articles published in the past 12 months. Authors should clearly cite the article to which they are referring. Letters will be evaluated by the Editor-in-Chief and, if accepted for publication, the author(s) of the original paper will be invited to submit a reply.

**Acknowledgements**

This section is optional. Authors must acknowledge all individuals who do not fulfill the requirements for authorship but who had contributed to the preparation of the manuscript by providing assistance in writing, literature search, data analysis and/or supply of materials.

**Source of funding**

This section is mandatory to be filled out. Financial support from any institutional, private or corporate sources must be disclosed. Clearly state the name of the funding organization, year and the project number:

“This study has been supported by a research grant from XXX foundation, university, government etc. (project number: 2012/828128) If you have no source of funding declaration to make, please write “None declared”.

**Conflict of Interest**

This section is mandatory to be filled out. Any financial or personal activities (royalties, grants, consultancy fee, patent registration, ownership, employment) that could be perceived as potential conflicts of interests must also be disclosed.

Clearly state the names of the author(s) and organization(s) and the type of payment(s):

“Dr. Smith is a consultant for the company X / receives a consultancy fee from the company X” or “Until recently, Dr. Smith was in an employment relationship with the company Z”. If you have no declaration to make, please write “None declared”.

**References**

Eur Oral Res recommends the use of reference management software to ensure that the references are correctly formatted. All authors must be included in this section, in contrast to the in-text citations. Journal abbreviations should be formatted according to the PubMed - NLM Journal Title Abbreviations (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/journals). Au-
thors are advised to consult a recent issue of the journal. Use single line spacing for this section. Please do not cite unpublished articles, abstracts, personal communications, non-scientific websites or documents such as pamphlets.
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Tables, Figures and Legends
Please set table format to custom borders, no vertical lines, no shades, no background colors, 3 pt. line for top and bottom borders, 1 pt. horizontal row lines, cell alignment center. Tables should be numbered consecutively with Arabic numerals in the order mentioned in the text. All tables must be included in the main body of the article and be placed near their first mention in the text. All tables should be self-explanatory. Please provide full explanation for abbreviations even if they were presented in the main text. Legends should be written in Times New Roman Italic font and be positioned right above the table.

Figures (photographs, graphs, charts, drawings, pictures, etc.) should be numbered consecutively with Arabic numerals in the order of mention in the text. High quality pictures with 300 dpi resolution in JPEG, TIFF, PNG or PSD file formats are generally acceptable for publication. Drawings and shapes should be in vector format. All figures must be included in the main body of the article and be placed near their first mention in the text. Legends should be written in Times New Roman Italic font and be positioned below the figure.

Figure 1. Panoramic radiograph of the patient taken 6 months after surgery, note irregular borders of the lesion.

Submission checklist
1. “Manuscript Submission Form” signed by the corresponding author.
2. “Copyright Transfer Agreement Form” signed by all authors.
3. “Disclosure of Potential Conflict of Interest Form” signed by all authors.
4. Title page
5. Abstract & Keywords page
6. Main text
7. Tables, figures and their legends should be embedded in the main text and are not to be sent separately.

How to submit?
Eur Oral Res is only accepting electronic submissions. Manuscripts may be submitted by registering at https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/eores

Need assistance?
Please contact editorial office by sending an e-mail to: dentistryeditor@istanbul.edu.tr or disdergi@istanbul.edu.tr
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What’s next?
If you have successfully submitted your forms and manuscript, please continue reading this document to know about the Eur Oral Res editorial process.

General information
Peer-review evaluation and publishing of articles submitted to Eur Oral Res are managed electronically through the online system via e-mail correspondence. Corresponding authors will be notified by e-mail upon receipt of a new manuscript and will have further information regarding the editorial process. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to communicate with the other participants of the study about the submission of the manuscript, its content and authorship requirements.

Who makes the decisions?
Eur Oral Res Editorial Board oversees the manuscript evaluation process. The Editor-in-Chief is the only person who can officially accept a paper.
Initial examination

Editor-in-Chief and editorial assistants check the submission files to confirm the availability of the required documents. Please note that the Manuscript Submission Form, Copyright Transfer Agreement Form and Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form must be included in the original submission. Corresponding authors of incomplete submissions will be notified via e-mail. Editorial process cannot proceed until all relevant documents are signed and submitted electronically.

Careful manuscript preparation is the crucial part of the peer-review process. Editorial assistants will evaluate the manuscript to ascertain conformity to the following standards: consistency to journal style, clear and concise writing, proper use of English grammar and spelling, technical quality, correct formatting of references and documentation of ethical conduct. All eligible manuscripts will also be scanned with anti-plagiarism software.

Manuscripts that fail to conform to journal expectations in any of the above mentioned issues will be returned to authors without review. This is a frequent cause of delay in the publication of articles and may even result in immediate rejection. All issues regarding the outline of the manuscript should be resolved before further evaluation. Manuscripts which pass the initial examination are presented to the Editorial Board by the Editor-in-Chief.

Peer-review

Eur Oral Res operates a double-blind peer review system. Identities of the Editorial Board members who perform the initial examination and those of the reviewers who evaluate the manuscript remain unknown to the authors. All manuscripts are treated as privileged information. Editorial Board members and reviewers are instructed to exclude themselves from reviewing any manuscripts that might involve a conflict of interest.

Editorial Board requests the opinion of, at least, two independent expert reviewers. Those who accept the invitation are expected to provide written critical reviews of the submission within 21 days of receipt. If one of the reviewers gives a negative feedback while the other’s response is positive, Editor-in-Chief or Editorial Board invites a third reviewer. Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board reserve the right to obtain reports from bio-statistics experts of their choosing at any time during the process, who might also suggest corrections in the manuscript.

21 day time limit will apply for the correction of the manuscript, at the end of which the corresponding author must return a revised version of the documents. Changes should be highlighted in red in the revised manuscript to facilitate reading. Authors should also provide itemized, point-by-point responses to reviewers’ comments in a separate file. The manuscript will be automatically rejected if no answer has been received from the authors. If authors submit the revised paper after the time limit is reached, it will be treated as a new submission. Revised manuscripts will be re-evaluated by Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board and will be sent back to reviewers.

Acceptance for publication requires at least, but not limited to, final positive responses from two reviewers. In light of their recommendations, the Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board members choose between the following options: “accept submission”, “revisions required”, “resubmit for review” or “decline submission”.

“Accept submission” indicates that the manuscript can be published as is. If there are “revisions required”, all major changes in the manuscript must be confirmed by the reviewer who had originally suggested the revisions. In some cases, editorial team may think that your manuscript deserves re-evaluation after substantial changes which cannot be completed within reasonable time limits. Therefore, the editor may encourage authors to re-submit their manuscript by selecting “resubmit for review”. Such conditions include, but are limited to, increasing the sample size, performing more statistical tests or correcting multiple errors that impede understanding. Re-submitted manuscripts will be treated as new submissions. On the other hand, if the “decline submission” decision has been reached, your manuscript has been found unsuitable for publication and you cannot submit the same manuscript to this journal.

An e-mail notification that includes the formal letter of approval will be sent to the corresponding author. Rejection e-mail will include reviewers’ comments and suggestions. Accepted manuscripts will be forwarded to the publisher.

After acceptance

Production department transforms the manuscript files into an article and sends the galley proofs to the corresponding author via e-mail. All authors should carefully check the final PDF proof version of the article for minor punctuation or spelling errors, correct presentation and positioning of the tables, figures and their captions. Corrected page proofs should be returned via e-mail within 7 days of receipt. Major changes such as adding new paragraphs, changing the title or the name order of the authors and modifying visual elements will not be allowed at this stage.

Table 1. Concise explanation of the table contents (SD: standard deviation, CTA: cartilage tissue area, NBA: new bone area).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group (Mean % ± SD %)</th>
<th>First group (Mean % ± SD %)</th>
<th>Second group (Mean % ± SD %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>21.41 ± 4.2</td>
<td>2.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>11.42 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBA</td>
<td>11.48 ± 0.2</td>
<td>21.41 ± 14.22</td>
<td>11.41 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
however, Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board reserve the right to modify this schedule in the presence of critical scientific issues.
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Fracture resistance of root-filled teeth after cavity preparation with conventional burs, Er:YAG and Er,Cr:YSGG lasers

Uğur Aydın¹, Fatih Aksoy², Samet Tosun³

Introduction

Fracture is an important risk for endodontically treated teeth (ETT) (1). These teeth are more prone to fractures compared with those free of any endodontic application (2). Although the fractures of ETT have been traditionally associated with loss of elasticity and moisture (3), the main reason is the reduction of dental hard tissue bulk as a result of cavity preparation which is routinely done by using rotary burs (4). Furthermore, microcrack formation occurring during cavity preparation and/or root canal instrumentation renders teeth more susceptible to fractures (5).

Currently, laser technology is being used for many dental procedures including cavity preparation. The absence of vibration, noise and no or minimal need for local anesthesia have been emphasized as the advantages of laser over conventional rotary instruments in the cavity preparation (6, 7). Furthermore, lasers have been reported to allow minimal invasive approach (8). Particularly, Erbium lasers including Er:YAG (AT Fidelis, Fotona, Ljubljana, Slovenia) and Er,Cr:YSGG (WaterLase® iPlus, Biolase, Irvine, CA, USA) lasers are contemporary systems used in order to excavate dental...
hard tissues (7-9). Following absorption of laser light, dental hard tissues heat above melting point and explode by releasing their mineral content (10).

Microleakage of restorations after cavity preparation with lasers (7-9) and their effectiveness during cavity preparation (10-12) have been previously investigated. However, to the best of our knowledge, fracture strengths of cavities prepared with laser devices and conventional burs have not been compared before. The aim of the present study is therefore to examine the coronal fracture resistance of root-filled teeth after access cavity preparation either with Er:Cr:YSGG, Er:YAG lasers or conventional rotary burs. The null hypothesis of this study is that there is no significant difference between Er:Cr:YSGG, Er:YAG lasers and bur groups in terms of fracture resistance.

Materials and methods

Sample preparation

The present study was approved by the ethical committee of Gaziantep University (Project number: 2015/125) and verbal consent was obtained from patient in order to use their extracted teeth for the present study. This experiment included 55 intact (N=55), human mandibular molars of nearly similar dimensions (15±1 mm mesiodistally; 8±1 mm buccolingually), extracted due to periodontal reasons having no decay, filling, or other hard tissue loss. Any remnants over the surface were removed with scalers. Specimens were kept in 0.1 M thymol solution for disinfection at room temperature until the experiment. The samples were randomly distributed into 7 groups including 3 study and 4 control groups by using an on-line randomizing software service. Negative control groups (group 1, 2 and 3) included 5 teeth per each group (n=5), while study groups (groups 4, 5 and 6) and positive control group (group 7) included 10 teeth per group (n=10). An easy inlet to the root canals is provided in all cavities. A size 15K-file (Sybron Endo, Scafati, Italy) was advanced throughout the canal until its tip was visible at the apical foramen. Working length was calculated as 0.5-1 mm shorter of this point. Following each instrument, the canals were rinsed with 2 mL of NaOCl solution. Root canals were prepared up to an apical diameter of 1 mm after each file until size 80 file. Coronal one-third was enlarged by using size 2-4 Gates-Glidden burs (Thomas, Bourges, France). Excess irrigants were dried with paper points (Dentalplus, Choochong, Korea). Root canal filling was achieved with lateral condensation technique by using gutta-percha (Dentalplus, Choochong, Korea) and sealer (AD seal, Meta-Biomed, Cheongwon, Korea). Excess gutta-percha was cut with a heating tool from canal tips (Gutta Cut, VDW, Munich, Germany). All cavities were modified to MOD configuration with cylindrical burs to reach a thickness of 2.5 mm at the buccal occlusal wall, 3.5 mm at the buccal cemento-enamel junction, 1.5 mm at the lingual occlusal surface and 2.5 mm at the lingual cemento-enamel junction by using caliper. Pulp chambers were filled with resin-modified glass-ionomer cement (GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 1).

Cavity preparation

The teeth were grouped as follows: Group 1 and Group 4: Access cavities were prepared with diamond round burs (Medin, Nove Mestona Morave, Czech Republic) attached to a high-speed hand piece under water cooling. Group 2 and Group 5: Access cavities were prepared by using a non-contact tip (RO2 Handpiece) attached to Er:YAG laser at a wavelength of 2940 nm (AT Fidelis, Fotona, Ljubljana, Slovenia). The energy settings were; 300 mJ 30 Hz (9 W) 6 water (65%) and 4 air (45%) in Medium Short Pulse (MSP=100 microseconds) mode for enamel, 225 mJ 15 Hz (3.35 W) 5 water (55%) and 3 air (35%) in MSP mode for dentin. Average energy used for each sample was approximately 2700 joule for enamel and 3000 joule for dentin. Group 3 and Group 6: Access cavities were prepared with a non-contact tip Turbo handpiece (MX7 tip) attached to Er:Cr:YSGG laser at a wavelength of 2780 nm (WaterLase® iPlus, Biolase, Irvine, CA, USA). The energy settings were; 8 W 20 Hz 70% Air and 80% water in H mode for enamel, 6W 15 Hz 50% Air and 70% Water in Hard Mode (H mode=60 microseconds) for dentin. Average energy used for each sample was approximately 2800 joule for enamel and 3200 joule for dentin. Group 7: No treatment was applied (intact teeth).

Coronal restoration

Coronal restorations of groups 4, 5 and 6 were done as follows: After applying self-etching bonding agent (Single Bond Universal Adhesive, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, ABD) for 20 seconds, it was gently dried and light-cured for 10 seconds with light-emitting diode device (Valo Cordless, Ultradent Products Inc., South Jordan, UT, USA) at 1000 mW/cm² intensity. Cavities were restored with composite resin (Filtek Z550, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) by using incremental technique. Two mm resin was placed in each layer (Figure 2). To provide standardization, the light source was applied by positioning it just over the cusp tips. Following each 10 samples, the density of the light was checked with a dental radiometer (Demetron, Kerr, Orange, CA, USA) because the intensity of light source should not decline under 1000 mW/cm². Coronal segments of the samples in groups 1, 2 and 3 (negative control) were left unfilled. Roots of all samples were embedded in cylindrical molds filled with self-curing polymethylmethacrylate (Imicryl, Istanbul, Turkey) up to cemento-enamel junction.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of cavities without coronal restoration.
B: Buccal, L: Lingual, RMGIC: Resin Modified Glass Ionomer Cement, GP: Gutta Percha
Fracture strength after laser cavity preparation

All specimens were thermocycled for 5000 cycles between 5 and 55 °C, using a dwell time of 30 seconds in each bath. Following thermocycling process, the samples were placed in a Universal Testing Machine (AGS-X, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). A round-shaped steel tip in 5 mm diameter was connected to the testing machine in contact with restoration surface, buccal and lingual walls of the teeth (Figure 3). Fracture resistance of each group was measured by applying force parallel to the long axis of each tooth at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min (Figure 4). Force necessary to fracture each tooth was recorded in Newton. Fracture test was applied by another blinded researcher. Fracture modes were classified according to the study of Taha et al. (1); Type 1: Horizontal cuspal fracture above cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) (Restorable). Type 2: Vertical fracture of either lingual or buccal wall above CEJ (Restorable). Type 3: Vertical fracture of either lingual or buccal wall below CEJ (Non-restorable).

Statistical analysis

Prior to statistical analysis, the normality of the data was analyzed with Shapiro-Wilk test. Due to normal distribution of the data, statistical analysis was performed with one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) tests by using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) (IBM Corp.; Released 2010. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 19.0. Armonk, NY, USA) software. Confidence level was set to 95% and p values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

The mean force required for the fracture to occur in each group in Newton (N) and their standard deviations are presented in Table 1. Fracture resistance of group 7 (intact teeth) was significantly higher than all other groups (p<0.001). Differences between the fracture resistance values of groups 4, 5 and 6 were not significantly different, while they were significantly higher those of the groups 1, 2 and 3 (p<0.001). There were no statistically significant differences between the mean fracture resistances of Group 1, 2 and 3. Fracture modes of the groups are presented in Table 2. The percentage of non-restorable fractures were; 80% in group 1, 100% in group 2, 80% in group 3, 50% in group 4, 50% in group 5, and 60% in group 6. All fracture occurred in dental hard tissues while coronal restorations were observed to be intact.

Discussion

Erbium lasers work by ablating water either present within the structure of dental hard tissues or supplied as a spray by laser devices. Ablation causes microstructural changes that include flaking, charring, microcrack and pore formation in dental hard tissues which may lead to fractures. The studies of Meister et al. (13) and Ekwarapoj et al. (14) pointed out that Er:YAG laser ablates endogenous water found in collagen of intertubular den-
Thus, less hard tissue removal leads to increased resistance. This can be minimized and more conservative cavities can be prepared. Use of lasers results in similar microstructural damages and thus, similar fracture modes observed in each group. Table 1. Mean fracture resistances and standard deviations of 7 experimental groups. Same superscript symbols indicate no significant difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Bur cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>375.56†</td>
<td>72.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Er:YAG cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>469.58†</td>
<td>129.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Er,Cr:YSGG cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>208.69†</td>
<td>74.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bur+composite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2249.99†</td>
<td>402.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Er:YAG+composite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1767.18†</td>
<td>384.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Er,Cr:YSGG+composite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1930.50†</td>
<td>442.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Intact</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2745.83†</td>
<td>628.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1676.44</td>
<td>964.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Er:YAG: erbium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet laser; Er,Cr:YSGG: erbium, chromium: yttrium scandium gallium garnet laser; SD: standard deviation

Table 2. Fracture modes observed in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Bur cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Er:YAG cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Er,Cr:YSGG cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bur+composite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Er:YAG+composite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Er,Cr:YSGG+composite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Intact</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Er:YAG: erbium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet laser; Er,Cr:YSGG: erbium, chromium: yttrium scandium gallium garnet laser

Re-restorability of root-filled teeth following fracture is another issue of concern. If fracture occurs in non-restorable form, extraction may be required. However, according to our results, 50-60% of the fracture modes in coronally restored groups are non-restorable, while this percentage is 90-100% in non-restored groups. This may be due to the irrelevancy of cavity preparation techniques with the reinforcing capacity of coronal restoration. Better strengthening of coronal restoration results in more restorable fracture modes. Although different cavity preparation techniques have been used in the present study, all coronal restorations were performed with the same material in a similar manner.

Thermocycling was performed in the present study to simulate aging effects of intra-oral conditions. Eakle (21) stated that the thermocycling process reduces the strengthening capacity of resin restorations, therefore, long-term use of the root-filled and restored teeth can be simulated. The study of Kružić et al. (22) reported that micro cracks leading to fractures occur as a result of fatigue cycling rather than the force load itself. For these reasons, samples of present study were subjected to thermocycling before the fracture strength tests.

Zadik et al. (23) stated that mandibular molars are the most likely to be extracted following endodontic treatment resulting from fractures compared to other teeth. For this reason, mandibular molars were included in the present study. Dental hard tissue bulk remained following caries removal and cavity preparation is another risk factor in terms of fracture occurrence. Tang et al. (24) found that MOD cavities carry more fracture risk compared to MO and OD cavities. In the present study, all cavities were modified to MOD configuration to increase fracture risk. Furthermore, all fractures have notably occurred between dental tissues and composite restoration (adhesive failure). This may be related to the low thickness of the cavity walls, particularly at the cavity base (3.5 mm for buccal, 2.5 mm for lingual) which may have led to fracture of these thin walls before composite restoration. Fracture resistance values were determined with a universal testing machine by applying force parallel to the long axis of teeth. However, magnitude and directions of physiological chewing forces may be different from those of simulators (25). Therefore, the results of in vitro studies must be confirmed by clinical trials.
Conclusion

Within the limitations of this in vitro study, it can be stated that preparing access cavities with either laser or bur does not have any deleterious effect on the fracture resistance of teeth with root canal treatment.
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The influence of the irrigant QMix on the push-out bond strength of a bioceramic endodontic sealer

Purpose
The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of a commercially available irrigant, the Qmix 2 in 1, on the push out bond strength of Endosequence BC which is a bioceramic based root canal sealer.

Material and methods
Sixty extracted maxillary central incisors were prepared with Protaper Next rotary instrument up to X4 (# 40). The specimens were randomly divided into four groups of equal sample size (n=15), according to the final irrigation regimen as follows: Group 1: 2.5% sodium hypochloride (NaOCl) (control), Group 2: 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), Group 3: 2% Chlorhexidine (CHX), Group 4: QMix 2 in 1. After rinsing, teeth were obturated using gutta-percha with Endosequence BC sealer. Slices were obtained from coronal, middle, and an apical section of the root canal. Push-out test was performed to evaluate the bond strength between the root canal dentin and the sealer. Data was statistically analyzed.

Results
The push-out bond strength of the root canal sealer was significantly affected by the type of irrigation solution. Highest bond strengths were found in canals irrigated with Qmix solution, and these results were regardless of anatomical section of the root canal (p< 0.05).

Conclusion
Final irrigation of the root canals with QMix had a positive effect on the bond strength of Endosequence BC sealer throughout the root canal.

Keywords: Endosequence BC sealer; irrigant; push-out test; Qmix; endodontics

Introduction
The main aim of endodontic treatment is to eliminate micro-organisms from the root canal system and inhibit reinfection (1). Mechanical instrumentation is definitely one of the important factors in reducing the bacterial load of the infected root canals (1), although it is not completely effective in removing all bacteria and debris (1). Ability of instrumentation alone to debride and clean the canal is limited (2-5). Peters et al. (2, 3) reported that large areas of the root canal walls could remain untouched, regardless of the instrumentation technique. Accurate instrumentation and debridement of root canals is often very complicated and difficult because of their anatomical characteristics. Most of them demonstrate oval morphology in shape and include the lateral canals, isthmuses, and deltas (2, 3). Rödig et al. (4) showed that debridement with the nickel-titanium (Ni-Ti) rotary instruments of buccal and lingual extensions of oval canals may still be inadequate. Similarly, Wu and Wesselink (5) reported that uninstrumented areas may be left in many oval canals after the instrumentation. Therefore,
irrigation of root canals is the only way left to eliminate microorganisms and debris from the root canal walls, which could not be reached by mechanical instrumentation.

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solutions in concentrations ranging from 0.5% to 6.15% have been used as endodontic irrigants for more than 70 years, and they are still the most commonly used solutions for this purpose (5). Sodium hypochlorite solution has bactericidal and virucidal properties and it dissolves necrotic tissue (6). Moreover, NaOCl has a low viscosity and long shelf life (6). On the other hand, this solution may be toxic and cannot break up inorganic contents of the smear layer (7). Because of this, NaOCl has been used with chelating agents like ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) in 15-17% concentrations for smear layer removal. Combined use of these irrigants represents the current optimal irrigation protocol. However, NaOCl and EDTA should not be combined in situ, because EDTA strongly reduces free chlorine in NaOCl solutions, mostly rendering them ineffective (8, 9). Furthermore, it has been shown that using NaOCl as a final irrigant after the use of EDTA may compromise the structural integrity of the dentin (8, 9). Chlorhexidine (CHX) solution in 2% concentration is also a widely used root canal irrigation solution. It has long term antimicrobial effect, comparatively less toxicity (7). However, when CHX comes in contact with residual NaOCl, subsequent chemical reaction produces para-chloroaniline precipitate which is potentially toxic (10). Thus, it requires to remove any remaining NaOCl solution from the root canal with saline, alcohol or distilled water prior to CHX application (10).

To overcome these problems in the irrigation of the root canals, a new irrigation solution, QMix 2in1 (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK), has been introduced to market for smear layer removal with antimicrobial efficiency. It comprises of EDTA, CHX, a detergent and deionized water. It is designed as a final irrigant to replace 17% EDTA final wash protocol, and is used for 60-90 seconds (11). QMix is a ready-to-use clear solution, and requires no chair-side mixing. It has been shown that QMix 2in1 is effective in both removing the smear layer and killing bacteria such as Enterococcus faecalis in one application (11). Elnaghy (12) reported that QMix 2 in 1 could remove the smear layer more effectively than 17% EDTA and 17% EDTA/2% CHX based on the completely opened dentinal tubules. Elliot et al. (13) have also stated that QMix removed more smear layer than EDTA. Furthermore, QMix eliminates some disadvantages of both EDTA and CHX. It does not interact with residual NaOCl if used for the purpose of final irrigation (14).

Using gutta-percha with various types of sealers is the standard technique in endodontic obstructions. Tricalcium silicate based Endosequence BC Sealer (Pulpdent, Watertown, Massachusetts USA) has recently been used to treat root canals. It is an aluminum-free material comprised of calcium, calcium phosphate, zirconium oxide and calcium hydroxide that requires the existence of water to harden. It shows alkaline pH, antibacterial activity, radio-opacity and biocompatibility (15).

The use of irrigants before the obturation of root canals is very important to dissolve organic and inorganic contents of the smear layer, to clean the dentin walls and enhance the bonding of root canal sealers. The data regarding the effects of QMix irrigation solution on the bond strength of root canal sealers is limited. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the effect of QMix irrigant on the push out bond strength of a bioceramic endodontic sealer. The main null hypothesis tested in the present study is that the push-out bond strength of Endosequence BC sealer in root canals irrigated with Qmix is not different from those irrigated with NaOCL, EDTA and CHX.

Materials and methods

Specimen selection

This in vitro study was conducted on 60 maxillary central incisors extracted within 6 months prior to the experiments and stored in 0.1% thymol solution at 4°C. The inclusion criteria were straight canals and completely formed apices. The exclusion criteria were teeth with root caries, cracks, resorption, incomplete apices, or those with root length less than 15 mm. This study was confirmed by the Research Ethics Committee of Medipol University (project no: 324).

Specimen preparation

The specimens were stored in 1% Chloramine T solution (Ricca Chemical Company, Arlington, TX) for 48 hours for disinfection. Then, the external root surfaces were scaled with ultrasonic instruments, and rinsed with distilled water for the elimination of remnants from the root surface. The crowns were sectioned transversally at the cementoenamel junction and the root length was set to 15 mm, and later access cavity was established, the working length was detectioned by a direct method of withdrawing 1 mm from the real root length. Root canals shaping were done with Protaper Next (Dentsply / Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) up to X4 (#40) master apical file size. During the shaping, the root canal was irrigated by 2 mL 2.5% NaOCl (ImidentMed, Konya, Turkey) solution after preparation with each file. The roots were then randomly divided into four groups (n=15) according to the final irrigation protocol as follows: Group 1: 5 mL of 2.5% NaOCl (ImidentMed, Konya, Turkey) for 60 seconds (control), Group 2: 5 mL of 17% EDTA solution (Pulpdent, Watertown, Massachusetts USA) for 60 seconds, Group 3: 5 mL of 2% CHX (Consepsis, Ultradent, South Jordan, UT) for 60 seconds (washed with distilled water before CHX application), Group 4: 5mL QMix 2in1 (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK) for 60 second.

Root canals irrigated with a 30-g side-perforated irrigation probe (Canal Clean, Biodent Co. Ltd, South Korea) and a syringe. Final rinsing was done for one minute in each canal. At the procedure of irrigation, the needle was used with up and down movements in the canal to within 1-2 mm of the working length. Subsequent to the procedures, all the canals were dried using paper points and obturated with Endosequence BC sealer (Brasseler USA, Savannah, GA) using the single cone technique with matching taper X4 gutta-percha cones (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) to achieve standard samples for push-out test. After root obturation, the coronal accesses of the root canals were sealed with temporary filling material. Teeth were stored at 37°C and 100% relative humidity for seven days to allow the sealer to set.
Push-out bond strength

Each samples was horizontally cut with a low-speed diamond saw (Isomet, Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) under cold water irrigation. Three slices in 2±0.1 mm thickness were obtained from the coronal, middle, and apical sections of the roots. Apical and coronal aspects of each slice were examined under a stereomicroscope (Imaging Systems, Leica Ltd., Cambridge, England) to measure the diameter of each hole.

Push-out bonding strength was calculated with a universal testing machine (TSTM02500, Elista Inc., Konya, Turkey) at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. As for the tapered design of the root canal, three different sizes of cylindrical pins were used for the push-out test. The diameter of the cylindrical pins was 1.2 mm for the coronal slices, 1.0 mm for the middle slices, and 0.8 mm for the apical slices to make sure that the strength was implemented as adequately as possible to the adhesion area during the loading phase. The highest load implemented was implemented as adequately as possible to the adhesion and 0.8 mm for the apical slices to make sure that the strength was 1.2 mm for the coronal slices, 1.0 mm for the middle slices, and 0.8 mm for the apical slices to make sure that the strength was implemented as adequately as possible to the adhesion area during the loading phase. The highest load implemented to the obturation material before debonding was recorded in Newton (N). The bond strength was calculated in Mega Pas-cals (MPa) by dividing the load (N) by the adhesion area of root filling (A) (mm²), with the equation: Mpa = F/A. The parameter A was calculated with this equation: A = π (R + r) x g, where R is the coronal radius, r is the apical radius, and g is the height relative to the tapered inverted cone (mm). The parameter g was calculated with this equation: g² = (R - r)² + (2.0)².

There was a significant difference between the push out bond strengths of Endosequence BC sealer with respect to type the irrigation solution (p<0.05). Endosequence BC sealer showed the highest bond strength values when QMix 2in1 was used as the final irrigant (p<0.05). On the other hand, Endose-quence BC sealer showed the lowest bond strength values when NaOCl was used as the final irrigation solution (p<0.05). These results were regardless of anatomical section of the root canal (p<0.05).

Discussion

Adhesion capability is a crucial factor for root canal sealers. An ideal endodontic sealer must bond to both the gutta percha and root dentin surface and must seal the root canal space (16). The effects of different irrigation solutions on the bond strength of resin based sealers to dentin have earlier been investigated (15-19). However, there is no comparative data regarding the effects of Qmix and other irrigants on the push out bond strength of a bioceramic based endodontic sealer. In the present study, we used Endosequence BC as a root canal sealer to test the effects of different irrigation solutions on its bond strength to the dentin surfaces.

The smear layer may negatively affect the bond strength of root canal sealers by acting as a barrier. As it also contains organic and inorganic contents, it should be effectively removed by different irrigation solutions (16). Studies have shown that, removing the smear layer makes it easier for the canal sealer to penetrate dentin tubules (20-23). EDTA and Na-OCl solutions are commonly used to remove the smear layer from the root canals (24). Because of its residual antimicrobial activity, CHX has been proposed as a supplemental final irri-gation procedure after smear layer removal (25). However, the concomitant use of CHX and NaOCl leads to color changes and formation of a possibly toxic, insoluble precipitate which reduces the sealing ability of the root canal filling procedure. Also, this precipitate involves a substantial amount of para-chloroaniline, which has been shown to be carcinogenic and toxic (14, 24, 25). This substance acts as a chemical smear layer by coating the dentin surface and by changing permeability of dentin surface (26). Moreover, the mixture of CHX and EDTA was found to form a white precipitate which has a chemical structure of salt. This precipitate may also cover the dentin surface and alter dentin permeability. The chemical pattern of QMix prevents this, when CHX is combined with EDTA or NaOCl.

Previous research concerning the smear layer elimination efficacy of EDTA and Qmix revealed contradictory results (11, 12, 18, 27-29). Some studies reported that QMix could eliminate the smear layer as effectively as 17% EDTA (27-29), while others concluded that QMix was better than 17% EDTA (12, 13). QMix solution is composed of EDTA, CHX and a detergent. It not only penetrates and removes the smear layer in the dentin surface but also kills bacteria within the tubules. Tuncer (18) reported that the percentage of bond strength of sealer was importantly greater in the EDTA + CHX and QMix groups than the NaOCl group. On the other hand, author stated that there was no difference between the efficiency of QMix and EDTA + CHX irradiation for smear

Results

The effects of the type of irrigant on the push-out bond strength of the root canal sealer are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Mean and standard deviations (SD) of push-out bond strength values of study groups in Megapascal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHX: chlorhexidine; EDTA: ethylenediamine tetra acet acid; NaOCl: sodium hypochlorite

Different uppercase letters in columns show statistically significant differences at p<0.05 level.

Statistical Package for Social Sciences SPSS version 21.0 (IBM-SPSS Inc, Armonk, NY, USA) software was used for statistical analysis. Data was first examined by use of the Shapiro-Wilk test to verify the assumption of normality and with Levene’s test to check for variance equality. As the data was found to be normally distributed, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test for multiple groups and Tukey HSD test for pairwise comparisons were performed. Confidence limit was regulate to 95% and p values less than 0.05 were planned as statistically important.
layer elimination. Shokouhinejad et al. (30) showed that the existence of smear layer did not substantially affect the bond strength of obturation materials. In the present study, EndoSequence BC indicated the highest bond strength in root canals irrigated with Qmix. This may be the result of more efficient elimination of the smear layer by the QMix when used as a final irrigant.

Different irrigation solutions may alter the permeability and solubility of the dentin surface and therefore influence the adhesion of root canal sealers to dentin surfaces (22). Adhesion process mainly depends on the wettability of the rigid surface which is provided by the internal dentin wetness as a result of water in dentinal tubules (31). Ballal et al. (24) indicated that the wettability of the root canal dentin which is filled with AH Plus sealer is higher in canals treated with Qmix compared to those flushed with EDTA, when both solutions are used as final irrigants. This may be owing to the combined reaction of CHX and the detergent existence in QMix. In addition, Uzunoğlu et al. (32) showed that bond strength of AH Plus sealer to root canal dentin is improved with QMix. However, Aranda Garcia et al. (27) stated that the surfactant compound in Qmix did not increase the bond strength of AH Plus compared to 17% EDTA. Carvalho et al. (33) indicated that EDTA did not influence the push-out bond strength of MTA Fillapex and AH Plus. In the present study, various irrigants affected the bond strength of EndoSequence BC to the root canal wall differently. EndoSequence BC showed higher bond strength after irrigation with QMix than with EDTA and CHX.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of this in vitro study, our findings suggest that using QMix may lead to superior retention of EndoSequence BC sealer when compared to EDTA and CHX. From clinical perspective, Qmix solution can be used for removing the smear layer and may be considered as an alternative to using EDTA following NaOCl as final irrigant.
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Introduction

Tooth color has been considered as one of the most important indicators of wealth, beauty and prosperity since ancient times. Discoloration can negatively impact quality of life, so tooth whitening procedures have become a popular dental application in recent years. Different combinations such as honey, burned salt and vinegar or calcium hypochlorite and oxalic acid were used for tooth whitening before peroxide was found in 1884 (1). In modern dental practice, bleaching procedures with various agents have been accepted as simple, safe, effective and predictable both for dentists and patients (2).

Tooth sensitivity caused by bleaching procedures is commonly experienced as a side effect (3), which is characterized by a short, sharp pain in response to cold, hot or sweet stimulus (4). The “hypodynamic theory” is generally accepted to explain the cause of sensitivity. According to this theory, chemical, thermal or physical changes create the movement of fluids in dentinal tubules and these movements generate a stimulus on the related nerve receptor causing the initiation of sensitivity or pain (5). For this reason, patients frequently benefit from desensitizing agents that can be applied easily and safely (6).

Because cosmetic dentistry showed progress, some patients with dental malocclusion are interested in both orthodontic treatment and dental bleaching (7). During initiation of fixed therapy, the enamel structure is very important for bonding success (7) and the enamel structure is affected by bleaching and desensitizing agents (6). Controversial findings have been reported in the literature about bonding success of bleached enamel. Some studies showed significant shear bond strength (SBS) decrease after dental bleaching (8, 9), while no significant difference was also found (10).

Amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP) is a biologically active material for repairing tooth structure and reducing dentin hypersensitivity. Casein phosphopeptide is a milk protein derivative used as a remineralizing agent and contains phosphoseryl sequences which are stabilized with ACP (11). Casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP) compound prevents the dissolution of calcium and phosphate ions and provides a supersaturated solution of bioavailable calcium and phosphates (12), so CPP-ACP has also been proposed by manufacturers for the prevention and treatment of dentin hypersensitivity (13, 14).

Different desensitizer agents have been used after bleaching, however it is important to determine the appropriate agent for increased bonding success. Although the effects of different desensitizers on SBS have been reported previously, to our knowledge, no study has compared ACP, CPP-ACP and potassium nitrate–fluoride agents in orthodontic bonding success. Therefore, the aim of this in vitro study was to evaluate the effects of different desensitizers on SBS of orthodontic brackets bonded to bleached teeth. The null hypothesis of this study was “no difference exists between SBS values of control and bleaching plus desensitizer applied groups”.

Materials and methods

Sample size estimation

The experiment protocol was approved by ethical committee of Erciyes University (approval code: 2016/73), and informed consent was obtained from all patients whose teeth were extracted for orthodontic purposes. A priori power analysis was completed using G*Power Ver 3.1.9.2 (Universität Kiel, Germany) software. Based on a 1:1 ratio between groups, a sample size of 20 specimens in each group is able to provide 90% power to detect significant differences with 0.86 effect size at a significance level of α=.05. Totally 100 intact freshly extracted human permanent upper first premolar teeth were randomly allocated to five groups with 20 teeth in each.

Specimen preparation

The teeth were embedded in acrylic resin blocks with ten teeth to a block. Acrylic resin was formed 1 mm below the cervical lines of the teeth. Alginate impressions were taken from the blocks and plaster models were obtained. Nail varnish was applied on the vestibular surfaces of the teeth at a thickness of approximately 0.5 mm to 1.0 mm to provide reservoir spaces on the bleaching trays. Low-density polyethylene plates were applied to the plaster models and bleaching trays were prepared using a vacuum thermoforming machine (ProForm, Dental Resources Inc., Minn., USA) (Figure 1).

Bleaching and Bonding Procedures

The teeth surfaces were cleaned and polished with pumice and rubber cups for 10 seconds to simulate a routine clinical procedure.

Group I: This group was the control group and received no bleaching. Etching was performed with 37% orthophosphoric acid gel (3M Dental Products, Minn, USA) for 15 seconds. The etching material was removed from the teeth surfaces with air-water spray for 10 seconds, and teeth were dried for

Figure 1. Acrylic resin blocks and bleaching trays.
10 seconds. A thin uniform coat of the sealant Transbond XT primer (3M Unitek, Monrovia, USA) agent was applied to the etched surface. Premolar brackets were bonded using Transbond XT (3M Unitek Monrovia, USA) composite and light cured with a light-emitting diode curing unit (Valo, South Jordan, USA) for 20 seconds according to the manufacturer’s instructions (10 seconds per each approximal side). Teeth were stored in salivary buffer for 24 hours before the SBS test in order to obtain the highest adhesive bond strength (15).

Group II: Teeth were bleached with 22% carbamide peroxide (CP) agent (Hollywood Smiles Bleaching Pen; Onuge Oral Care Co, Henan, China) according to manufacturer’s instruction. The procedure was repeated every day for one week. Bleaching material was applied to the vestibular surfaces of the teeth with a pen applicator and spread across the surface with the pen tip brush. Then, trays were placed onto the teeth and blocks were covered with salivary buffers. Half an hour later, trays were removed and kept for later use. The surfaces of the teeth were washed with saliva and stored in the salivary buffers.

Group III: 22% CP and desensitizer agent containing potassium nitrate–fluoride (UltraEZ; Ultradent Products Inc., South Jordan, USA) was applied to the teeth consecutively. The procedure was repeated daily for 1 week. For each application, the bleaching procedure was performed in the same manner as for Group II. Then, the teeth were washed and dried with a sponge. Desensitizer material containing potassium nitrate–fluoride (UltraEZ; Ultradent Products Inc., South Jordan, USA) was applied to the vestibular surfaces of the teeth with bonding brushes. Trays were placed for the desensitizer and blocks were covered with salivary buffers. Trays were removed after 30 minutes and the teeth were covered with salivary buffers until the next application.

Group IV: CPP-ACP gel (GC Tooth Mousse gel; GC Int Corp, Tokyo, Japan) was applied to the teeth after bleaching with 22% CP. The application procedures were repeated as for Group III.

Group V: 22% CP gel containing ACP (NiteWhite ACP; Discus Dental, Culver City, USA) was used. 22% CP and ACP combination gel (NiteWhite ACP; Discus Dental, Culver City, USA) was applied to the teeth every day for a week. Bleaching material was applied to the vestibular surfaces of teeth and spread on the surface. After, trays were placed on the teeth and blocks were covered with salivary buffers. Half an hour later, trays were removed and the surfaces of teeth were washed with saliva and stored in the salivary buffers.

During the experimental procedure, blocks were stored in buffers with saturated artificial saliva (Table 1) to imitate intraoral conditions and the buffers were renewed twice a day.

At the end of the seventh day, all teeth were washed and pumiced. The bonding procedure was performed in the same manner as for the control group. Upper first premolar metal brackets were used (American Orthodontics Roth system, Master series, Sheboygan, Wisconsin, USA) for orthodontic bonding.

Shear bond strength testing

Shear strength tests were performed using an Instron Testing Machine (Instron Corp., Norwood, USA). A steel rod with one flattened and sharpened end was attached to an upper grip (movable head) connected to the load cell. The blade was positioned at the bracket-enamel interface (Figure 2) and an occluso-gingival directed load was applied. Cross-head speed was adjusted at 1 mm/min during recording the data.

After debonding, the teeth and brackets were examined to detect existence of any remnant adhesive after bracket removal. These results were scored according to the adhesive remnant index (ARI) (16). The examination of the enamel surface was performed by a blind investigator to group allocations. The ARI scores ranged from 0 to 3 (Table 2).

Table 1. Composition of the artificial saliva

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl₂·6H₂O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂HPO₄</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl₂·2H₂O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Carboxymethyl Cellulose</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion-Exchanged Water</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Test apparatus used for shear bond strength testing.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences, software (Version 20.0, IBM Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk W test was used to test the data for normality. Data was not normally distributed, so non-parametric tests were used. Group differences for SBS values and ARI scores were tested using Kruskal-Wallis and Chi-Square tests, respectively. A multiple comparison procedure (Student-Newman-Keuls Method) was used to isolate the group or groups that differ from the others. Confidence level was set to 95% and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The null hypothesis was rejected. A statistically significant difference was found between the SBS values of groups (p<.001, Table 3). SBS values of Group I (control; 13.6±3.7 MPa) and IV (12.8±4.0 MPa) were significantly greater than other groups (p<.05 for all comparisons). Group III had the lowest SBS value (8.0±2.2 MPa). No statistically significant difference was observed between Group II (10.0±2.7 MPa) and Group V (10.8±2.9 MPa).

Adhesive remnant index scores are presented in Table 4. No significant differences were found between groups in ARI evaluations.

Discussion

The decreasing SBS values of orthodontic brackets after bleaching were attributed to the changes in the enamel structure. Conventional acid etching with 37% phosphoric acid leads to prism core demineralization, prism sheath demineralization or both types of demineralization (17). Composite resin adheres to etched enamel by mechanical bonding through unfilled resin penetration and polymerization in these surface irregularities. However, bleached teeth lose these retentive areas which are prepared by etching and required for bonding (6,17). Titley et al. (18) observed sparse, short, poorly defined enamel tags immediately after hydrogen peroxide (HP) bleaching. In this study, bleaching with 22% CP led to a statistically significant reduction in SBS. Oskooe et al. (19) reported reduction of SBS with bleaching, while Miles et al. (9) showed

| Table 2. The Adhesive Remnant Index (ARI) scores |
|----------------|----------------|
| Index | Enamel Adhesive Remnant |
| 0 | No adhesive left on the tooth (_10%) |
| 1 | Less than half of the adhesive left on the tooth |
| 2 | More than half of the adhesive left on the tooth |
| 3 | All adhesive left on the tooth (_90%) |

| Table 3. Descriptive statistics and multiple comparisons of the shear bond strength values of groups |
|----------------|----------------|
| Groups | Shear Bond Strength (MPa) | Significance | *Multiple Comparison |
| | N | 25% | Median | 75% | Mean | SD |
| Control | 20 | 10.0 | 13.5 | 17.0 | 13.6 | 3.7 |
| CP | 20 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 2.7 |
| CP+D | 20 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 2.2 |
| CP+CPP-ACP | 20 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 12.8 | 4.0 |
| CP+ACP | 20 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 2.9 |

CP: carbamide peroxide; CP+D: carbamide peroxide plus potassium nitrate–fluoride containing desensitizer; CP+ACP: amorphous calcium phosphate containing carbamide peroxide; CP+CPP-ACP: carbamide peroxide plus casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate

*pGroups with different letters are significantly different from each other

| Table 4. Frequency Distribution of the Adhesive Remnant Index (ARI) Scores |
|----------------|----------------|
| Groups | Adhesive Remnant Index Score |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Sig. |
| Control | 20 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
| CP | 20 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| CP+D | 20 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| CP + CCP-ACP | 20 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| CP + ACP | 20 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 8 |

n: sample size; NS: non-significant; CP: carbamide peroxide; CP+D: carbamide peroxide plus potassium nitrate–fluoride containing desensitizer; CP+ACP: amorphous calcium phosphate containing carbamide peroxide; CP+CPP-ACP: carbamide peroxide plus casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate

Chi-square test revealed no significant differences between groups
decreased SBS values when bleaching was carried out with CP. In contrast, Bishara (20) showed that both in-office bleaching with 25% HP and at-home bleaching with 10% CP did not affect the SBS of orthodontic brackets to enamel.

Another reason for reduction in SBS with bleaching was accepted as residual peroxide on the enamel surface (21). Torneck et al. (22) found a significant decrease in bond strength related to the presence of residual peroxide or peroxide-related substances on the enamel surface. Neutralizing these substances with antioxidants increases the bond strength of bleached enamel (23).

Sensitivity after bleaching is a common adverse effect, and a desensitizer including potassium nitrate and fluoride ions decreases sensitivity complaints by obstructing the tubules (24), which can lead to the failing of the most important stage of bonding: adhesion between enamel and resin. Our findings on CP plus desensitizer containing potassium nitrate–fluoride indicated that using this desensitizer after CP bleaching can reduce SBS, and possible reason for this reduction may be the weakening of enamel adhesive linkage. Similar to our findings, Turkkahraman et al. (6) also reported that bleaching and desensitizer applications significantly reduce the SBS of orthodontic brackets.

Amorphous calcium phosphate and CPP-ACP compositions treat hypersensitivity of the teeth by releasing free calcium and phosphate ions in a different way to the desensitizer mechanism (12, 14). According to results of this study, SBS values of samples which used CPP-ACP were similar to the control group. SBS values were higher in the ACP bleaching group compared to bleaching plus desensitizer containing potassium nitrate–fluoride applications. An increase in SBS values with CPP-ACP was also reported by Lu et al. (25). Similarly, Oskoe et al. (19) found the same SBS values between the control group and the group that received CPP-ACP after bleaching.

In this study, CPP-ACP application after bleaching provided higher SBS than the application of bleaching agent containing ACP. This difference may be attributed to the difference in application procedures for these two materials. Saliva can enhance the effectiveness of CPP-ACP, so more effective results can be obtained if increased contact of CPP-ACP and saliva is maintained (26). ACP was applied within the CP gel, so there is no direct contact between ACP and a salivary environment, while CPP-ACP gel was applied separately after CP gel. So, remineralized enamel following CPP-ACP application after bleaching may cause a higher SBS value than ACP samples. In addition, the obliterated enamel structure with calcium and phosphate ions on the destructed core and sheath of prisms during peroxide application in the CP-containing ACP group may cause a more acid-resistant surface for etching with CP-containing ACP, compared with CPP-ACP plus CP. Machado et al. (27) found similar lowering SBS with peroxide-ACP combined gel and peroxide gel applications, compared with the control group. Although no significant difference was found between CP and CP with ACP applications in this study, a slight increase was observed with CP containing ACP gel compared with only CP application.

The evaluation of ARI scores showed that the site of bond failure is not significantly affected by the experimental procedures of this study. Reynolds (28) reported that successful clinical bonding should provide bond strength of 5.9 to 7.8 MPa, and these results have been accepted as threshold values for SBS studies. The SBS values of our study ranged between 8 to 15 MPa, meaning there is no contraindication for using ACP, CPP-ACP and the desensitizers for the elimination of sensitivity caused by bleaching in terms of bonding failure. Even though SBS values of experimental groups of this study were higher than acceptable clinical limits, using the CPP-ACP agents for the elimination of sensitivity before the fixed orthodontic therapy can be more safer than CP alone, CP containing ACP, and CP plus desensitizer containing potassium nitrate–fluoride in terms of bonding success. In the light of these findings, the clinicians will be able to recommend patients who need orthodontic treatment to use CPP-ACP agents as a desensitizer.

Artificial saliva was used to simulate the oral environment, but heat and humidity conditions in the oral cavity are highly variable. A direct correlation with in vitro design and in vivo oral conditions might be inaccurate during the interpretation of results. Further studies simulating in vivo settings which provide real heat, stress, acidity and humidity are required for more valid and reliable results.

Conclusion

Bleaching with 22% carbamide peroxide, 22% carbamide peroxide containing ACP and 22% carbamide peroxide bleaching plus desensitizer containing potassium nitrate–fluoride applications significantly decreased shear bond strengths of orthodontic brackets bonded to human enamel compared with the control group. CPP-ACP application after 22% carbamide peroxide bleaching and the control groups showed similar shear bond strength values. No statistically significant difference was observed in the site of bond failure for all groups. According to these findings, clinicians may recommend patients who need orthodontic treatment to use CPP-ACP after 22% carbamide peroxide bleaching as a safer desensitizer for the prospective orthodontic bonding procedure.
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Farklı hassasiyet giderici ajanların ortodontik braketlerin ev tipi beyazlatma sonrasındaki bağlanma dayanımı üzerine etkileri. Amaç: Bu çalışmada, ev tipi beyazlatma sonrası farklı hassasiyet giderici ajan uygulanımının, ortodontik braketlerin bağlanma dayanımı üzerine etkilerinin değerlendirilmesi ve karşılaştırılması amaçlanmıştır. Gerek ve Yöntem: Çalışmamızda 100 adet insan premolar diş 3 grubu ayrılmıştır. Grup I hiçbir beyazlatma uygulaması yapılmayın kontroll grubu olarak belirlenmiştir. Grup II de yalnız beyazlatma işlemi gerçekleştirilmiştir. Grup III ve Grup IV'e beyazlatma uygulaması yapılanın kontrol grubu olarak belirlenmiştir. Grup V olarak ise beyazlatma işlemi ile amorf kalsiyum fosfat içeriği beyazlatma ajanı ile gerçekleştirilmiştir. Braketlerin bağlanma dayanımı testleri için Instron Test Cihazı kullanılmıştır. Test sonrasında dış ve bracket yüzeyinde kalan adeziv, artık adeziv endeksi ile skorlanmıştır. Veri analizinde Kruskal-Wallis ve Ki-kare testlerinden faydalanılmıştır. Bulgular: Gruplar arası bağlanma dayanımı karşılaştırılmasında istatistiksel olarak anlamaz farklı bulunmuştur (p>0,01). Grup III'in bağlanma dayanımı değeri (8,0±2,2 MPa) diğer gruplardan anlamalı olarak düşükür (p<0,05). En yüksek bağlanma dayanımı değerlerinin Grup 1 (13,6±3,7 MPa) ve Grup IV'de (12,8±4,0 MPa) olduğu gözlenmiştir; Grup II (10,0±2,7 MPa) ve Grup V (10,8±2,9 MPa) arasında anlamalı farklılıklar yoktur. Gruplar arası artık adeziv endeksiarası skorları arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamalı farklı bulunmamıştır. Sonuç: Kaşin fosfopeptit amorf kalsiyum fosfat uygulaması ile kontrol grubuna yakın braket bağlanma değerleri gözlenmiş; diğer hassasiyet gidericiler braket bağlanma dayanımını azaltmıştır. Anahtar kelimeler: Ortodontik bonding; diş beyazlatma; dentin hassasiyet gidericiler; kasein fosfopeptit amorf kalsiyum fosfat; braket bağlanma dayanımı
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In-vitro analysis of maxillary first molars morphology using three dimensional Micro-CT imaging: considerations for restorative dentistry

Introduction

The main aim of restorative treatment is to ensure the integrity of the teeth and their supporting tissues. Successful restorative procedures lies in the understanding the complex anatomy of the teeth (1). Minimal intervention has been proposed as the primary aim of modern caries therapy (2). Medical and dental interventions should be determined by the underlying scientific paradigms that guide the treatment and the progress of the disease (3). Moreover, it is crucial to select the appropriate treatment strategy in order to minimize the risk of creating pulp complications, as it can affect the quantity of caries excavation, risk of pulp injury and exposure, size of cavity preparation, and selection of capping materials (4). Various complications may occur during access cavity preparation or when locating the canal orifices because of the anatomical differences in maxillary molars.
As maxillary molars usually represent complex anatomy and canal morphology, some studies assessed their anatomical characteristics to contribute to the treatment strategies (5, 6). These teeth may exhibit some anatomic variations and can be challenging cases while performing restorative treatment (7). Previous studies also indicated that access cavity preparation is performed subjectively, which mostly depends on the clinician’s tactile perception and knowledge of dental anatomy (1, 4). Two-dimensional methods used for studying morphology of dental tissues are being replaced by three-dimensional ones. The conventional three-dimensional data is obtained by the in vitro reconstruction of the images of sample sections under light microscopy (8-10). Micro-CT is an innovative technique that provides three-dimensional data of the teeth, as it can produce this information without destruction of the dental tissue specimen (11). There is a lack of information concerning teeth morphology and pulp orifices in maxillary molar teeth in the literature (12, 13).

The present study therefore aims to evaluate the positional relationship between the crown contour and the pulp chamber as well as morphological characteristics of maxillary first molars using micro-CT system. The null hypothesis tested in this study is that the anatomical and morphological characteristics of right and left maxillary molars do not differ in any of the micro-CT based three dimensional measurements.

Materials and methods

Study sample

Based on the literature, (14-18) a power analysis (Power and Precision software, Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA) was conducted to determine the sample size. At least 20 teeth at a power of 0.8 (alpha=0.05) was indicated. Thus, this study was conducted using 21 teeth (11 from left, 10 from right) of subjects aged between 20-30 years (mean age for left: 26 and for right: 25). The teeth used in this study had been extracted from the patients who had periodontal problems without carious lesions. Ethics committee approval and written consents from the patients were obtained before the study.

Micro-CT evaluation

A desktop, Micro-CT system in high resolution (Skyscan 1174, Skyscan, Kontich, Belgium) was used to scan the specimen. Before scanning, teeth were rinsed and stored in 0.9% saline solution within a tube. The teeth were placed in upright position on the scanning platform, to which the resorbed roots were fixed with wax. The teeth were scanned at 50 kvp, 100 mA beam current, 0.5 mm Al filter, 18.5 μm pixel size, rotation at 0.5 step, three frame averaging. Furthermore, after scanning of a tooth, in order to minimize ring artifacts, air calibration of the detector was carried out prior to each scan. A ring artifact correction of 0 and beam hardening correction of 40% were applied. Each sample was rotated 360° within an integration time of 5 min. Mean time of scanning was around 2 hrs.

Micro-CT image reconstructions

Reconstructions were performed using NRecon software (v 1.6.7.2, Skyscan, Kontich, Belgium), by means of Feldkamp et al. modified algorithm, obtained using a three-dimensional density function based on a series of two-dimensional projections. The NRecon software, by using this algorithm, created axial two-dimensional images. Other settings included beam-hardening correction and input of optimal contrast limits (0–0.0005) were set prior to teeth reconstructions. Contrast limits were applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To obtain density scale of zero origin, the lowest limit was set to zero. The top of the brightness spectrum was the maximum limit, representing the highest density value. The image data set was approximately 900 axial tomographic slices, each measuring 1024x1024 pixels with a sixteen bit gray level (Figure 1). A 21.3-inch flat-panel color-active matrix TFT medical display (NEC MultiSync MD215MG, Muenchen, Germany) with a resolution of 2048 × 2560 at 75 Hz and 0.17-mm dot pitch operated at 11.9 bits was used to perform all reconstructions and measurements (Figure 1).

Volumetric rendering software analysis

After obtaining the axial images from Micro-CT scanning, the original grayscale images were processed with a Gaussian low-pass filter in order to reduce noise, and then for subtraction of teeth and pulp, an automatic segmentation threshold was used with CTAn (ver. 1.16.1.0, SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium). The images were rendered, and sagittal, axial, and coronal slices and the 3D models were reconstructed (Figure 2). The crown contour, pulp orifices and their positional relationships could be observed three-dimensionally by making the enamel and dentin translucent.

Three-dimensional measurements

The topographic relationship between the crown contour and the pulp orifices were measured. The crown volume/pulp volume and crown/pulp (ratio) was also calculated with the help of the software CTAn in which the user can designate...
the desired volume from the given three-dimensional structure. Also, the observer could remove the unwanted voxels before calculating the final volumes by adjusting brightness and opacity values (Figure 2). Mesial canal orifice length and width (mm), distal canal orifice length and width (mm), palatal canal orifice length and width (mm), the closest distance from pulp chamber to mesial enamel surface (mm), the closest distance from pulp chamber to distal enamel surface (mm), max. pulp chamber length and width (mm), closest distance of mesio-buccal pulp horn to enamel surface (mm), the closest distance of disto-buccal pulp horn to enamel surface (mm) (Figure 3, 4). All reconstructions and measurements images were done twice by a dentomaxillofacial radiologist with 18 year of experience (KO) and a restorative dentist with 10 years of experience (IHB) with Micro-CT own CTAn software. Software allows the operator to measure the distances, areas, and volume in life size without dependent of the operator skills. All measurements were done twice by the same observers. To detect intra-observer variability, observers performed their observations twice with an interval of 2 weeks.

Examiner reliability and statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 17.0.1 software (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Intra- and inter-examiner validations were measured. To assess intra-observer reliability, the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for repeated measurements. The inter-observer reliability was determined by the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and the coefficient of variation (CV) \[CV=(\text{standard deviation}/\text{mean})\times100\%\]. Values for the ICC range from 0 to 1. ICC values greater than 0.75 showed good reliability, and the low CV demonstrated the precision error as an indicator for reproducibility (19). Differences in side, dentin thickness and pulp volumes were evaluated using chi-square and paired t-tests. Confidence interval was set to 95% and p-values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

Intra-observer consistency

Repeated CBCT evaluation and measurements indicated no significant intra-observer difference for both observers. Overall intra-observer consistency for observer 1 was rated at 92.2% and 96.4%, while the consistency for observer 2 was found 91.8% and 94.4% between the two evaluations and measurements, respectively. All measurements were found to be highly reproducible for both observers and no significant difference was obtained from two measurements of the observers.

Inter-observer consistency

The ICCs between Observer 1 and Observer 2 ranged from 0.940 to 0.992. There was a high inter-observer agreement, while a high ICC and low CV demonstrated that the procedure was standardized between the evaluations and mea-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Variables</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulp Volume (mm³)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>32.91</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>38.65</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.704</td>
<td>0.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33.71</td>
<td>34.48</td>
<td>28.78</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>-1.381</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33.35</td>
<td>33.48</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>38.65</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Volume (mm³)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130.90</td>
<td>130.50</td>
<td>124.00</td>
<td>138.00</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>133.82</td>
<td>132.00</td>
<td>128.00</td>
<td>141.00</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>132.43</td>
<td>132.00</td>
<td>124.00</td>
<td>141.00</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown/Pulp (Ratio)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial Canal Orifice length (x) (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>-0.992</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial Canal Orifice Width (y) (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal Canal Orifice Length (x) (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>-0.318</td>
<td>0.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal Canal Orifice Width (y) (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatinal Canal Orifice Length (x) (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>-3.672</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatinal Canal Orifice Width (y) (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closest distance from pulp chamber to mesial enamel surface (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-1.167</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closest distance from pulp chamber to distal enamel surface (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>-0.464</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Pulp Chamber length (mm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>-1.731</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measurements of the observers. No statistical differences were found among observer’s evaluations and measurements. The means of all observer’s evaluations and measurements were therefore calculated for further analysis.

Repeated measurements of images showed no significant intra-observer difference. Intra-observer consistency was 92.2% between two examinations, 96.4% between measurements.

Observation of the pulp chamber showed a clear morphological image of the mesiobuccal, distobuccal, mesiopalatal and distopalatal pulp horns. Moreover, a pulp horn corresponding to Carabelli’s cusp was seen under the mesiopalatal cusp (Figure 2). The mesiobuccal pulp horn projected the most, followed by the distobuccal, the palatal pulp horns (Table 1) (Figure 2, 4). The pulp horn of the mesiobuccal and distobuccal cusp showed protrusion to the crown.

Table 1 shows the results of the evaluations and measurements. There were no statistical significant difference between right and left maxillary teeth canal orifices’ length and width. There were also no significant difference in terms of closest distance from pulp chamber to mesial enamel surface (mm) and closest distance from pulp chamber to distal enamel surface (mm) of the maxillary molar teeth.

However, significant difference was found in terms of closest distance of mesio-buccal pulp horn to enamel surface (mm). Mean distance was 2.5±0.20 mm for right; and 2.29±0.17 mm for left teeth (p<0.05). Similarly, closest distance of disto-buccal pulp horn to enamel surface (mm) was also significant between left and right teeth (p<0.05).

Table 1 also shows the crown, pulp volumes and crown/pulp ratio. There was no statistical significance between pulp volume ratios of pulp chamber and gender. This results indicated that the volume ratio of the pulp chamber to the total crown was approximately same for both sides.

### Discussion

Knowledge of the structures of teeth and their relationships to each other contributes to the success of treatment, especially when treating dental caries. Before tooth preparation localization of the caries usually diagnosed radiographically but a 2-dimensional image may not always be accurate. Generally, conventional clinical radiography is used to examine the pulpal anatomy; however, this method only produces a 2D record rather than providing more realistic 3D information (20).

When considering restorative procedures on vital teeth; 3D information of the internal structures is crucial not only for having a proper seal of the remaining tissue under the restoration, but also affect the type of the restoration that will be applied to the teeth (21). The findings of this study suggested that right and left maxillary first molars can differ in terms of internal anatomical appearance. Hence, during tooth preparation in maxillary first molars, care must be taken before any restoration procedure. Moreover, based on the limited findings of the study for this particular population; mesio-buccal pulp horn in maxillary molars are more prominent than the other pulp horns, thus they are more likely to get exposed during tooth preparation.

Different restorative materials require varied thickness to provide resistance of the restorations. Conventional preparations require specific wall forms, depths, and marginal forms because of the properties of the restorative (22). Adequate thickness for amalgam restorations is 1.5–2 mm in occlusal surface and 0.75 mm in axial areas (23), depending on the region, cast metal restorations requires 1 to 2 mm and ceramics 2 mm thickness (24). In addition, these restorative materials require conventional tooth preparation. The use of adhesive restorations, primarily composites and glass ionomers, has allowed a reduced degree of precision of tooth preparations (25). Although some author suggest that composite materials
dimensional needs depends on the occlusal wear potential of the restored area, it is generally accepted that, in areas of occlusal loading, minimal thickness of resin composite restorations should be 1.5 to 2 mm (26).

The management of dental caries has evolved from G.V. Black’s “extension for prevention” to “minimally invasive”, because of advance in adhesive dentistry and restorative materials. Therefore, clinicians should prefer minimal tooth preparation with modified cavity designs and use adhesive dental materials (27). In the present study, it can be suggested that especially in maxillary first molars, adhesive restoration techniques should be preferred to conventional restorations. On the other hand, even when adhesive restoration techniques are used, minimal dentin thickness must be around 0.5 mm with capping or 2 mm without capping (28-30).

Over preparation may perforate pulp chamber or can cause reversible or irreversible pulpitis. It must be kept in mind that capping procedures may be necessary when remaining dentin thickness gets reduced. Hence, future studies must be done regarding modified techniques with newly manufactured materials.

Clinical knowledge on the anatomy of crown-root pulp structure is the key for successful endodontic treatment. Due to the complex root canal system of maxillary first molars, various errors could occur during access cavity preparation or when locating the canal orifices. Having three dimensional information on dental anatomy would definitely help clinicians in the preparation of access cavity. Also, 3-D measurements of pulp chamber, canal anatomy, root orifices will enhance their success in root canal treatment (1).

Conventional methods used for morphological studies on internal anatomy of teeth are destructive in vitro methods that generally result in irreversible changes to the specimen (15). As a non-destructive analysis technique, Micro-CT imaging provides objective data. Specimens can be evaluated both quantitatively and qualitatively. In addition, the volumes can be calculated and it is possible to pinpoint specific details with visual image analysis. Filling materials, voids, and tooth structures can be distinguished with high accuracy and spatial resolution (31). With proper lighting, color and texture use during rendering of the image, micro-CT is able to provide a better understanding of internal anatomy of the teeth that could be examined from different angles (14). For the reference, the internal crown anatomy of the teeth investigations especially with and without different restoration materials must be performed using this device.

The study had several limitations. Most importantly, its sample size can be considered low, even though the power analysis indicated that at least 20 teeth should be included in the study for the detection of differences. Micro-CT analysis is an expensive technique; therefore, we had to limit our sample size. The second limitation was the possible effects of sexual dimorphism which were not taken into account due to small sample size. Further studies with larger samples should focus on possible age-, gender- and population-related differences. Also, volumetric and morphologic changes of pulp chamber related to age, chronic caries lesions, and formation of tertiary or reparative dentin, calculations and other factors were not considered in the present study, which acts as the third limitation factor.

**Conclusion**

Within the limits of this in vitro study, it can be suggested that right and left maxillary first molars should be treated differently during preparation of cavities. Further studies must be done with larger samples, as well as on other molar teeth, in different populations to reveal the morphology of the molars for considerations in restorative dentistry. Development of non-destructive analysis techniques such as micro-CT is of utmost importance to provide clinicians with accurate three dimensional information.
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**Türkçe**:

Üst birinci büyük dişlerin morfolojisinin üç boyutlu mikro-BT görüntülemesi ile in-vitro analizi: restoratif dış hekimliği için değerlendirilmelere. Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı üst üst sağ ve sol birinci büyük azı dişlerinin kron konturu ve pulpa odası arasındaki konumsal ilişkinin farklılıklarını ve morfolojik özelliklerini mikro-bilgisayarlı tomografi (BT) sistemi ve hacimsel yöntemlerin yanetinde programı kullanarak belirlemektir. Güncel ve Yöntem: Bu çalışmada toplam 21 üst büyük azı dişi (11 sol, 10 sağ) kullanılmıştır. Dişlerin kron konturu, pulpa odası ve morfolojileri arasındaki konumsal ilişki mikro-BT görüntüleme yöntemleri ile üç boyutlu olarak incelenmiştir. Bulgular: Dişlerde mezo-bukkal pulpa boynuzu ile mine yüzeyi arasındaki en yakın mesafe sağ böle için 2,5±0,20 mm, sol böle için 2,29±0,17 mm olarak ölçülmüş ve bu farklılığın istatistiksel olarak anlamlı olduğu belirlenmiştir (p=0,017). Disto-bukkal pulpa boynuzu ile mine yüzeyi arasındaki en yakın mesafede de anlamlı farklılık bulunmuştur (p=0,001). Sağ bölgeden alınan dişlerin ortalama pulpa hacmi (32,94±3,19 mm3) ile sol tarafan alınanların (33,71±2,82 mm3) ortalama pulpa hacimleri arasında anlamlı bir fark bulunmamıştır. Sonuç: Bu çalışmanın bulguları kavite preparasyonu sırasında sağ ve sol disleri farklı farklılıklarla dikkat edilmesi gerektiği görüşmektedir. Diğer azı dişlerin de içerisinde, farklı toplulukları incelenen ve daha geniş ömeklem hacmine sahip olan azı dişlerinin morfolojik özelliklerini ortaya çıkartarak restoratif tedavi alanına katkida bulunacaktır. Anahtar kelimeler: Mikro BT, üst büyük azı dişleri; anatomiy; pulpa boyutları; restoratif dış hekimliği
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Effect of dentin pretreatment on shear bond strength of three resin-based luting cements

Purpose
The aims of this study were; to compare the shear bond strength values of resin-based luting cements using etch-and-rinse, self-etching or self-adhesive techniques and to evaluate the effects of pretreatment with 0.2%, and 2% chlorhexidine (CHX) solutions on the bonding stability to dentin.

Materials and methods
Ninety specimens were divided into 9 groups of equal sample size (0.2% CHX, 2% CHX and no CHX application groups). Variolink N (multi-step etch-and-rinse technique), Panavia F2.0 (self-etching technique), or RelyX U200 (self-adhesive technique) resin-based luting cement was applied. All specimens were subjected to shear bond strength test (SBS) after bonding procedure. The mode of failure was analyzed by using a stereomicroscope.

Results
There were no significant differences among study groups for the dentin treatment factor. However, for luting cement factor, significant differences were found and Variolink N showed the highest SBS values.

Conclusion
CHX application has no immediate effect on the SBS values of any resin cements. Despite the development of simplified cementation techniques, etch-and-rinse technique is still the most reliable technique because of its high bond strength to dentin.

Keywords: RelyX U200; Panavia F 2.0; Variolink; chlorhexidine; shear strength

Introduction
Chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX) is one of the most commonly used antimicrobial agent in dentistry and is commercially available as mouthwash, irrigation solution, gel, spray, and aerosol formulations (1). Previous studies (2-6) have shown that CHX demonstrates anti-microbial activity, substantivity, biocompatibility and it also inhibits proteolytic enzymes referred to as metalloproteinases (MMPs) and cathepsins (CTs). These enzymes are responsible for the degradation of bonding interface and can compromise the longevity of the luting cement (7-9). Degradation retarding effects of CHX on the bonding interface have been previously examined by different authors. Kul et al. (5) reported the efficacy of different irrigation solutions on the bond strength of a fiber post attached with a self-adhesive resin cement and authors found no difference among the CHX, phosphoric acid, and distilled water groups. In addition, CHX activity on the bonding process of root dentin on different luting agents has been widely examined. De Araújo et al. (7) investigated the influence of 2% CHX solution on the bond strength of glass fiber posts to root dentin.
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Materials and methods

This in vitro study involved the analysis of two main factors: type of resin-based luting cement (three types); and dentin pre-treatment using different CHX concentrations (0.2% and 2%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Commercial brands, compositions and manufacturers of luting cements and chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX) solutions used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panavia F 2.0</td>
<td>ED Primer II: liquid A: 10- methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogenphosphate, 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, N,N-diethanol-p-toluidine, N-methacryloyl 5-aminosalicylic acid, water; liquid B: N,N-diethanol-p-toluidine; sodium benzen sulphinate, N-methacryloyl 5-aminosalicylic acid, water. Panavia F: paste A: silanated barium glass, colloidal silica, bisphenol A polyethoxy dimethacrylate, 10- methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogenphosphate, hydrophilic dimethacrylate, hydrophobic dimethacrylate, benzoin peroxide, dl- camphoroquinone; paste B: silanated barium glass, silanated titanium oxide, sodium fluoride colloidal silica, bisphenol A polyethoxy dimethacrylate, hydrophilic dimethacrylate, hydrophobic dimethacrylate, N,N-diethanol-p-toluidine, sodium</td>
<td>Kuraray, Osaka, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX U200</td>
<td>Basepaste:glasspowdertreatedwithsilane,2-propenoinacid,2-methyl,1,1’-[(1-hydroxymetil)]-1,2-ethanodily) ester dimethacrylate, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA), silica treated silane, glass fiber, sodium persulphate, per-3,5,5-trimethyl hexanoate t-butyl. Catalyst paste: glass powder treated with silane, substitute dimethacrylate, silica-treated silane, sodium p-toluensulphonate, 1-benzyl-5-phenyl-acid barium, calcium, 1,12-dodecane dimethacrylate, calcium hydroxide, titanium dioxide.</td>
<td>3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity Cleanser</td>
<td>0.2% Chlorhexidine digluconate</td>
<td>Drogan Pharmaceuticals, Ankara, Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2% CHX)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bisco Inc., Schaumburg, IL, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variations of CHX has no effect on the luting cements’ shear bond strength of resin-based cements to dentin specimens. The null hypothesis tested in this study were; there are no differences in bond strength according to luting cement and the use of different concentrations of CHX has no effect on the luting cements’ shear bond strength.

Effect of dentin pretreatment on shear bond strength

Specimen preparation

Ninety mandibular third molar teeth (N=90) were collected, cleaned and stored in 0.5% Chloramine-T (9.0 g sodium chloride and 5.0 g chloramine–trihydrate dissolved in 1000 mL distilled water) solution to prevent dehydration at 4°C for a maximum of 1 month until use. Inclusion criteria were the absence of caries and/or restorations. After cleaning and drying, teeth were embedded in autopolymerizing acrylic resin (Simplex Rapid, KemDent, Wiltshire, UK). Specimens were wet-ground flat with silicon carbide abrasive papers ending with 600 grit (11) to obtain flat dentin surface at 1 to 2 mm distance from the pulp, which was measured by using a digital caliper. For each type of resin-based cement and concentration of CHX, the specimens were randomly divided into nine groups of ten specimens each (n=10) (0.2% CHX, 2% CHX and no CHX groups for each of the three resin-based luting cements) (Table 2).

Ninety disk shaped specimens, 4 mm in diameter and 2 mm in height, were produced with IPS e.max Press lithium disilicate glass ceramic system (IPSe.maxPress, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein). IPS e.max Press ingots (MO1 shade, IPSe.maxPress, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) were heat pressed with the lost wax/heat pressed technique according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Ceramic disks were then allowed to bench cool at room temperature and divested by 50-μm Al₂O₃ at 0.2 MPa pressure from a distance of 10 mm. Ceramic disks were ultrasonically cleaned (Innex-Liquid, IvoclarVivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) for 10 minutes to remove the reaction layer and polished with 600 grit silicon carbide paper under water-cooling to adjust final thickness.
and to standardize surface roughness. All ceramic disk surfaces were acid-etched for 20 seconds with hydrofluoric acid (HF) in less than 5% concentration (IPS Ceramic Kit Etching Gel, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Lichtenstein), rinsed thoroughly under running water for 60 seconds and dried for pre-cementation surface treatment (Figure 1).

**Bonding procedure**

Three resin-based luting cements (Panavia F2.0/ Kuraray, Osaka, Japan; Variolink N/ Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein; RelyX U200/ 3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany) were used in this study. All ceramic disks received pre-treatment in the bonding areas, according to the luting cement, study group, and manufacturer’s instructions (Table 3). After pre-treatment, bonding areas were isolated by adhesive tape with a 4 mm in diameter circular hole to prevent excess flash adhering to the specimens. Finger pressure was used for cementing all specimens with an approximate thickness of 5 μm. Dentin-cement-ceramic specimens were left in the air for polymerization of the cement according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Before shear bond strength test (SBS), all specimens were stored in distilled water for 24 hours at 37°C.

**Shear bond strength (SBS) test**

All specimens were mounted to a universal testing machine (Autograph AG-IS Series, Shimadzu, Japan) and SBS tests were performed at a crosshead speed of 1mm per minute until fracture occurred. Bond strength was recorded in Newtons (N) and converted into Megapascals (MPa). Average shear bond strength (MPa) was calculated by dividing the load (N) at which failure occurred by the bonding area (mm²) (Figure 2).

**Fracture types**

Bond failure sites and fracture analysis on all specimens were performed visually with a stereomicroscope (OlympusSZ61, Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan) at 40x magnification. Fractures were classified into one of the three categories as: adhesive failure (if the complete fracture was seen at the luting-dentin interface) or cohesive failure (if the cohesive fracture was seen in the luting cement) or mixed failure (if the adhesive fracture was seen at the resin-based luting cement-dentin interface combined with cohesive fracture in the luting material).

Ethics committee approval and informed consent were not considered to be necessary.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 15.0 (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to determine whether the distribution characteristics of the data meet the requirements of normality assumptions. Levene’s test was employed to check the homogeneity of variances. As the data is normally distributed and the variances are homogenous, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) tests were used for multiple and pairwise comparisons, respectively. Confidence interval was set to 95% and p values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Luting cement</th>
<th>Dentin pre-treatment with CHX</th>
<th>Group description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panavia F 2.0</td>
<td>0.2% CHX</td>
<td>GR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2% CHX</td>
<td>GR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variolink N</td>
<td>0.2% CHX</td>
<td>GR4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2% CHX</td>
<td>GR5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GR6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX U200</td>
<td>0.2% CHX</td>
<td>GR7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2% CHX</td>
<td>GR8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GR9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHX: chlorhexidine digluconate
The results of the two-way ANOVA of SBS data are presented in Table 4. Results of two-way ANOVA indicated that the type of luting cement affected the bond strength values (p<0.05). Tukey’s HSD test (Table 5) showed that there were significant differences between Variolink N and the other luting cement groups. Variolink N exhibited significantly higher SBS value than Panavia F2.0 (p=0.021) and RelyX U200 (p=0.031). There was no significant difference between the SBS values of Panavia F2.0 and RelyX U200. As presented in Table 5, dentin pre-treatment with any of the two CHX solutions demonstrated no significant difference in the SBS values.

Fracture types

Figure 3 presents the distribution of the various fracture types in percentage. Majority of the fractures occurred during the SBS tests were adhesive type failures (56.67%). Adhesive failures were associated with the lowest SBS values while cohesive failures were associated with greater SBS values. 40% cohesive and 70% adhesive failure rates were found in GR6 GR2 groups, respectively.
Discussion

This study investigated the shear bond strength of three resin-based luting cements to dentin with and without prior CHX application. Because there were statistically significant differences in bond strength according to luting cement in dentin, the first null hypothesis was rejected. In the present experimental settings, three resin-based luting cements with different dentin pre-treatment protocols in terms of the number of steps of adhesive application were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Variolink N luting cement was used with multi-step etch-and-rinse technique including etching and rinsing, application of primer and bonding agent. Panavia F2.0 luting cement was used with self-etching technique in which application of self-etching primer and application of adhesive paste applied step by step. RelyX U200 luting cement system uses a self-adhesive application technique and no dentin pre-treatment is required according to the manufacturer’s claim.

When compared to that of RelyX U200 Variolink N revealed significantly higher bond strength to dentin (p=0.031). This can be explained by the removal of the smear layer and dissolving of the mineral during the rinsing step of etch-and-rinse technique. According to the manufacturer, RelyX U200 luting cement consists of methacrylate monomers modified with phosphoric acid that can mineralize the dentin, and cement infiltrates the hybrid layer with resin tags, thus, no prior removal of the modified smear layer is needed. In contrast, it was reported that adhesive resin composites which contain phosphoric acid, have very low pH and these systems appear not to have a chemical affinity for bonding to the dentin (12, 13). In addition, this poor micromechanical infiltration for attachment to the dentin can be explained by inadequate demineralization on dentin layer and weak formation of the hybrid layer (14).

Variolink N also revealed significantly higher bond strength to dentin, compared to that of Panavia F2.0 (p=0.021), which is in accordance with the results of previous studies (15-18). This may be explained by, firstly, the high filler content and viscosity of the Panavia F2.0 luting cement, which may decrease infiltration depth of the adhesive into the primed dentin (18, 19). Secondly, water diffusion may occur from the dentin across the ED Primer during the slow polymerization in the dual cured mode and water droplets along the primer-cement interface may affect adhesive permeability (20), and finally, the residual acids of ED primer may impede the chemical curing of the luting cement (21). The results of the present study showed that RelyX U200 specimens’ bond strength to dentin was not statistically different from those of Panavia F2.0. These results are in agreement with previous literature. Using the microtensile bond strength (μTBS) test of simplified resin-based luting cements, Bacchi et al. (22) observed no statistically significant difference and the self-etching primer along with a conventional dual-curing cement (ED Primer+Panavia F2.0) led to μTBS similar to that of the self-adhesive resin cement (RelyX U200).

![Figure 3. Percentage distribution of the failure types in study groups.](image)

Table 4. Two-way analysis of variance test results for luting cement and dentin pre-treatment with chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luting cement</td>
<td>116.573</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58.286</td>
<td>3.304</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin pre-treatment with CHX</td>
<td>13.805</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.903</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luting cement*dentin pre-treatment with CHX</td>
<td>22.883</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.721</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant p values are written in bold

Table 5. Pairwise comparisons of the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Luting system or pre-treatment</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luting cement</td>
<td>Panavia F2.0 / Variolink N</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panavia F2.0 / RelyX U200</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variolink N / RelyX U200</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentin pre-treatment with CHX</td>
<td>0.2% CHX / 2% CHX</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2% CHX / No dentin pre-treatment with CHX</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2% CHX / No dentin pre-treatment with CHX</td>
<td>0.672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHX: chlorhexidine digluconate
Statistically significant p values are written in bold
The results of the present study showed that dentin pre-treatment with CHX did not significantly affect the bond strength to dentin in any group; therefore, the second null hypothesis was accepted. CHX is a non-specific MMP inhibitor and it has shown success in inhibiting both MMPs and cysteine cathepsin; thus preserving the integrity of the hybrid layer (23). To improve bond strength, CHX can be applied to dentin as a primer after phosphoric acid-etching for rehydration (24, 25), be incorporated in the acid etchant (26, 27), or with CHX-containing dental adhesives (28, 29). However, the effectiveness of using CHX as a primer after phosphoric acid application on bond strength in previous studies is controversial. The aforementioned studies reported that CHX used as a therapeutic primer on acid-etched dentin does not interfere with immediate bond durability and significantly higher bond strengths were observed after only 6 to 12 months (24, 25). A recent study controversially reported that the application of the 2% CHX as dentin pretreatment decreased the number of adhesive failures compared with untreated controls after 9 months of aging, however, this effect was not significant and was seen on the etch-and-rinse adhesive but not on the self-etching adhesive (30). In contrast, Ricci et al. (31) found that 2% CHX application significantly increased the μTBS values of adhesives to the acid-etched dentin and positive immediate efficacy on bond durability.

The bond strength test results of the present study confirmed that different concentrations of CHX used as a therapeutic primer in etch-and-rinse adhesive, primer self-etching adhesive and self-adhesive groups have no positive or negative immediate effect on bond strength, which is mostly in accordance with the literature. On the other hand, authors show, for the first time, that RelyX U200, a self-adhesive luting cement that consists of methacrylate monomers modified with phosphoric acid, produced similar improvement in SBS values when applied to CHX-treated dentin. Although no negative or positive effects of 0.2% or 2% CHX solutions were observed, further studies are needed to ascertain the time-dependent efficacy of CHX on dentin bond strength.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of this in vitro study, the etch-and-rinse technique shows highest bond strength to dentin and CHX is not effective on the bond strength of luting cements to dentin. The benefits of CHX application prior to bonding may still be observed after long-term clinical studies, encouraging further clinical investigations in the evaluation of bond strength over longer periods of time. Despite the development of simplified cementation techniques, etch-and-rinse technique seems to be the most reliable one because of high bond strength to dentin.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics committee approval was considered not to be necessary.

Informed Consent: There were no participated patients in this study and written informed consent was not obtained.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.


Evaluation of deformation and fracture rates for nickel-titanium rotary instruments according to the frequency of clinical use

Purpose
To evaluate the deformation and fracture rates for ProTaper Universal (PTU) nickel-titanium rotary instruments according to the frequency of clinical use.

Materials and Methods
A total of 619 PTU instruments (S1, S2, F1, F2, and F3) that have been used in the clinic by a single endodontist were collected over a period of 4 years. These instruments were grouped on the basis of one to three (Group A), four to six (Group B) and seven to nine (Group C) clinical uses (one canal = one use). All instruments were evaluated by a blinded investigator under a stereomicroscope at 15×–45× magnification for the presence of deformation and fracture.

Results
The overall rates of deformation and fracture were 10% and 1.2%, respectively. The deformation and fracture rates for the S2, F1, and F2 instruments showed no significant differences among groups. However, fracture rate for S1 instruments in Group A was significantly higher than for those in Group B (p=0.025) and Group C (p=0.004). In Group C, the S1 instruments showed a significantly higher deformation rate compared with the S2 (p=0.04), F1 (p=0.008) and F2 (p=0.049) instruments; there were no other significant differences within groups.

Conclusion
Under the conditions of the current study, frequency of use seemed to influence the deformation rates of PTU rotary instruments. Except S1, these instruments could be used without any fracture or deformation in up to 9 clinical cases by an experienced endodontist.

Keywords: NiTi rotary system; ProTaper Universal; dental instruments; deformation; fracture

Introduction
Nickel-titanium (NiTi) rotary instruments have gained increasing popularity since their first introduction and are now widely used in endodontic practice. Currently, a variety of NiTi rotary systems marketed by various manufacturers are available. However, despite improvements in their cutting efficiency and flexibility, the possibility of unexpected separation during use remains a major concern (1, 2). Separation can be caused by torsional failure or flexural fatigue (3); the former is generally accompanied by macroscopic distortion or unwinding of the flutes, whereas the latter often presents unexpectedly with no unwinding defects (4).

It is widely accepted that NiTi rotary instruments fail with incorrect or excessive use (5). Furthermore, there is a perception among clinicians and researchers that the frequency of use may be an important factor affecting instrument failure (6). Most manufacturers advocate that their NiTi rotary files should be used only once to minimize the frequency of fracture. Others recommend that the instrument should be regularly discarded after a certain number of uses, generally one to 10, to prevent fracture (7-11).
However, there is no consensus regarding the optimal number of uses.

The ProTaper Universal (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) system is originally comprised six instruments, including three shaping files (SX-optional, S1, and S2) and three finishing files (F1, F2, and F3). Subsequently, F4 and F5 finishing files for wider canals were introduced. These files have a convex triangular cross-sectional shape with sharp cutting edges and no radial lands. A unique design element is the presence of varying tapers over the length of the shaft of each instrument. The taper of the three shaping files increases coronally, while that of the finishing files increases apically (12).

The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the deformation and fracture rates for ProTaper Universal NiTi rotary instruments with regard to the frequency of clinical use.

Materials and methods

A total of 619 ProTaper Universal NiTi rotary instruments (S1, S2, F1, F2, and F3) were included in this retrospective study. These instruments were collected after clinical use by a single endodontist at the Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Istanbul University over a period of 4 years (2007–2010). The risk of instrument fracture during treatment was explained to all patients, and written informed consent was obtained from each patient as a routine clinical procedure.

Instrumentation technique

After appropriate access cavity preparation, root canals were cleaned and shaped using the ProTaper Universal rotary instruments attached to an air-driven Endo NiTi WD 73M handpiece (W&H Dentalwerk, Bürmoos, Austria) at 150–200 rpm by an endodontist with nearly seven years of experience with this system. The operative sequence is described below.

i. Number 10 and 15 K-type hand files (Dentsply Maillefer) were pre-curved and passively inserted into the coronal two-thirds of the root canal as pathfinding files.

ii. The coronal two-thirds were prepared using ProTaper Universal S1 and S2 files with a brushing motion.

iii. Hand files were then used to secure a glide path and determine the working length with an electronic apex locator and/or radiograph.

iv. S1 and S2 files were used up to the full working length.

v. The preparation was finished with F1, F2 or F3 files according to the complexity of the root canal anatomy. The finishing files were used with a non-brushing motion (12).

vi. Glyde File Prep (Dentsply Maillefer) was used as a lubricant, and 2 ml of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution was used for irrigation after the use of each file.

All instruments were autoclaved under standardized conditions before use. Following instrumentation, they were ultrasonically cleaned and autoclaved under standardized conditions.

Collection of discarded instruments

After each use, the instruments were wiped with a piece of gauze soaked with isopropyl alcohol and examined with the naked eye for the presence of any defects. The instrument was discarded when it showed deformation and was classified into one of the groups based on the frequency of clinical use. In severely curved or calcified canals, a new set of ProTaper Universal rotary instruments was used and discarded even if they showed no deformation. The decision regarding the frequency of use was at the discretion of the endodontist. Other routinely used instruments were randomly discarded without any signs of deformation under the naked eye and grouped accordingly.

All collected instruments were classified into three groups on the basis of one to three (Group A; n=113), four to six (Group B; n=168) and seven to nine (Group C; n=338) clinical uses. Instrumentation of a single canal represented one clinical use. Accordingly, instrumentation of one tooth with three canals represented three clinical uses.

Examination of discarded instruments

All groups of instruments were evaluated for the presence of deformation and fracture under a stereomicroscope (Leica MZ75, Leica Imaging Systems Ltd, Cambridge, UK) at 15×–45× magnifications by another experienced pre-calibrated endodontist who was blinded to the number of clinical uses. All defects such as unwinding, curving or bending were simply defined as deformation, regardless of the magnitude (Figure 1).

During the stereomicroscopic evaluation, a new, unused, sterilized ProTaper Universal rotary instrument of the same type was placed beside the used instrument for easy and accurate assessment of deformation or fracture in the same screen (Figures 2, 3).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using NCSS 2007 Statistical Software (NCSS, LLC, Kaysville, Utah, USA). Frequency values were used for the descriptive statistics. All data were statistically analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1. a-d. Stereomicroscopic images of ProTaper Universal instruments. Deformation (a, c, d) and fracture (b) can be observed (arrowheads) under 24× magnification.
The overall rate of instrument deformation was 10%, while that of instrument fracture was 1.2% (Table 1).

The S2, F1, and F2 instruments showed no statistically significant differences with regard to the deformation and fracture rates among the three groups (p>0.05). However, the S1 instruments showed a significantly higher incidence of fracture when used one to three times than when used four to six (p=0.025) and seven to nine (p=0.004) times; the deformation rate was not significantly different (p>0.05).

When instruments were compared within each group, the S1 instruments showed a higher rate of deformation compared with the S2 (p=0.04), F1 (p=0.008) and F2 (p=0.049) instruments when used seven to nine times. No other statistically significant differences were noted.

Because larger and stiffer ProTaper Universal instruments were reported to be reused with caution (4, 9), to use F3 in...
Discussion

The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the deformation and fracture rates for ProTaper Universal NiTi rotary instruments with regard to the frequency of clinical use. The frequency of use of NiTi rotary instruments depends on the complexity of the root canal anatomy and structure (4). One of the main causes of instrument fracture is a severely curved root canal (13, 14). However, one study has reported that there is no correlation between the frequency of use and the fracture rate (15). In the present study, the overall rate of instrument fracture was 1.2%, and S1 instruments showed a higher incidence of fracture when used one to three times than when used four to six (p=0.025) and seven to nine (p=0.004) times. The protocol for challenging cases is to use a new set of ProTaper Universal rotary instruments and discard these instruments after a single clinical use; possible deformation of only S1 instruments from the set after single use in challenging cases seems to be a plausible explanation for the statistical results obtained in the current study.

The overall rate of instrument deformation was 10% in the present study, with no significant differences among any instrument types in each group except Group C (seven to nine uses), where S1 showed the highest incidence. Shen et al. (4) reported a 0% failure rate for all ProTaper Universal files except S1 files, and their findings corroborated with those in studies showing a high incidence of distortion and separation with smaller NiTi instruments (11, 15). Ullmann and Peters (16) also found that SX and S2 files were more resistant to torque than S1 files. These findings support those of the present study, where a higher deformation rate was found for S1 than for S2 (p=0.04), F1 (p=0.008) and F2 (p=0.049) with seven to nine uses. This was possible because S1 has the smallest diameter among the ProTaper files and is the first rotary instrument used in canals as per the routine protocol (12).

The fracture rate is reported to vary according to the evaluation method (17). Wolcott et al. (9) reported a 2.4% rate of instrument fracture after the treatment of five teeth, including retreated teeth. In contrast, Wei et al. (18) found a 12% incidence of instrument separation after the treatment of 30 canals. In addition, Vieira et al. (6) mentioned that the use of ProTaper rotary instruments by an experienced endodontist allowed for the cleaning and shaping of up to 24–32 root canals without fracture. In their study, operator experience was found to affect the incidence of fracture and plastic deformation of files during shaping (6). In the present study, the fracture rate was 1.2%, which was lower than that in the above-mentioned studies. Taken together, these findings indicate that the endodontist who performed all procedures in our study performed the treatment meticulously.

The deformation rate has also been reported to vary from 2.9% (19) to 12% (15) with different evaluation methods. In the present study, the deformation rate was higher than that in a study by Shen et al. (19), who evaluated instruments used only once. On the other hand, our findings were similar to those of Parashos et al. (15), who evaluated instruments used multiple times.

Wu et al. (17) mentioned that the fracture rate of reused ProTaper Universal rotary instruments remains low in endodontic practice, where the separation rate based on the number of treated canals is more reliable than that based on the number of treated teeth. In the present study, assessments were made on the basis of the number of treated canals.

Gambarini (20) reported that torque-controlled motors, which have been used for several years, increase operational safety. However, some authors reported that torque-controlled motors might be primarily useful for inexperienced clinicians (21), whereas others have found no significant difference in the instrument fracture rate between air-driven and electrical handpieces (22). In the present study, ProTaper Universal instruments were used with an air-driven W&H Endo NiTi handpiece according to the preference of the endodontist.

To avoid the risk of cross-contamination with the increased frequency of use, we sterilized each instrument before each use, which is mandatory. Some authors (23) have pointed out the negative effects of sterilization on the instrument durability, although others have reported contradictory results (24). In future studies, the sterilization process of the files may be undertaken after each use in a canal rather than a tooth to standardize the number of sterilizations and avoid the possible variable effects on the instrument durability.

Several recommendations have been proposed to prevent NiTi rotary instrument fracture, such as following a specific instrumentation protocol, shaping coronal third before the negotiation of the root canals, avoiding application of excessive apical pressure on the rotary instruments, preventing the rotation of the file at a single spot and the use of lubricants. In previous studies on the defect rates for clinically used NiTi rotary instruments, the most important factor was reported to be the skill of the operator (25-27). Parashos et al. (15) also concluded the same, and associated the defect rate with clinical skills or a conscious decision to use the instruments for a specified number of times or until the defects were evident. There is no agreement in the literature with regard to an association between the frequency of use and instrument fracture. Many authors have accepted that the failure of NiTi rotary files is influenced more by the manner of use than by the frequency of use (19). Although all clinical procedures were performed by an experienced endodontist and all recommendations and criteria were fulfilled with great care, the lack of comparisons with results obtained by an inexperienced user was a limitation of the present study.

Conclusion

ProTaper Universal has been one of the most extensively studied NiTi rotary instrument systems in the field of endodontics. However, the deformation and fracture rate results remain equivocal because of the presence of several influencing factors such as sterilization, handpiece use instead of torque-controlled motors, root canal anatomy, operator skill, and frequency of use. Under the conditions of the current study, frequency of use seemed to influence the deformation rates of PTU rotary instruments. Except S1, these instruments could be used without any fracture or deformation in up to 9 clinical cases by an experienced endodontist.
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Skeletal changes following surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion (SARME)

**Purpose**
Surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion (SARME) is a common treatment technique in the correction of maxillary transverse deficiency. The purpose of this study was to evaluate nasal and palatal skeletal changes following SARME using Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) and posterior anterior (PA) cephalograms.

**Materials and Methods**
In this retrospective study, the radiographic images obtained from 14 patients with transverse maxillary deficiency before treatment and 6 months after SARME operations were evaluated. The changes in nasal bone width and palatal bone width were measured on CBCT. The changes in basal maxillary width, nasal cavity width and angular measurements were evaluated on PA cephalograms.

**Results**
Nasal floor width was measured at the levels of upper first premolar teeth and molar teeth which significantly increased following SARME (p=0.005 and 0.017 respectively). Palatal bone width between first premolar teeth and molar teeth also significantly increased (p=0.003 and 0.002 respectively). Basal maxillary width (p=0.026), nasal cavity width (p=0.024) and other angular measurements also significantly increased (p<0.05).

**Conclusion**
Nasal and palatal skeletal transverse dimensions increased following SARME. Due to the enlargement of the nasal floor and nasal cavity, it is likely to improve air pass through the nose.

**Keywords:** Transvers deficiency; maxilla; rapid expansion; skeletal change; CBCT

**Introduction**
Maxillary transverse deficiency is a skeletal deformity characterized by unilateral/bilateral cross bite, crowded teeth, and a constricted maxillary arch. Transverse deficiency can either be managed by orthodontic treatment or by combination of orthodontics and surgery depending on patient’s age, and bone growth (1). Surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion (SARME) is a recognized treatment approach in patients with transverse maxillary deficiency. Its primary goal is to achieve skeletal expansion rather than dental expansion and to minimize dental tipping by separating the midpalatal and lateral maxillary sutures. In this technique, the expansion procedure is based on distraction osteogenesis of palatal bones after a surgical operation. SARME also causes craniofacial structural changes such as enlargement of nasal cavity width, nasal volume and palatal vault (2-5). The influence of SARME on the nasal cavity is based on the separation of the nasal lateral walls. The increase in the distance between the nasal cavity lateral walls enlarges the cross-sectional area and increases nasal volume.
and thus facilitates breathing. The aim of this study was to evaluate the short-term palatal and nasal changes following surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion. Null hypothesis tested in this study is that the SARME procedure does not affect any of the skeletal measurements.

Materials and methods

Study sample

Fourteen patients with transverse maxillary deficiency underwent SARME procedure under general anesthesia at Medipol University School of Dentistry. All the patients were referred from orthodontics department after initial examination and treatment planning. This study was approved by the university local ethical committee (No: 10840098-604.01.01-E.21268) and written consents were obtained.

Surgical technique

The surgical technique was similar to that of Le-Fort 1 osteotomy with an exception of performing down fracture. Surgical technique was performed in all patients by the two oral and maxillofacial surgeons. A mucoperiosteal incision in the maxillary vestibule extended from the right first molar to the left first molar. Maxillary corticotomies were achieved with reciprocating micro-saw. A horizontal cut was done from pyriform aperture to pterygomaxillary fissure, midpalatal and pterygomaxillary sutures were separated and anterior nasal wall was osteotomized. Nasal septum was also separated to prevent deviation during activation period. To prevent irregular fractures, midpalatal suture was separated as well. The tooth borne Hyrax appliance was activated 8 turns (2 mm) at the end of the surgery to verify success of osteotomy and symmetrical separation of the bone segments. The appliance was then deactivated 4 turns and the wound was primarily closed. After one week of latency period the appliance was activated two times a day. Activation was carried on until the planned expansion was achieved. Distractor appliance was left in situ to prevent relapse.

Imaging protocols and measurements

All patients underwent a CBCT scan using i-CAT Next Generation Cone Beam Computed Tomography (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA, USA) (16 x 8 cm FOV, 0.2 mm slice thickness) being seated and in standard head position. The CBCT scans were taken from all the patients immediately before (T0) and 6 months after the surgery (T1). To assess the nasal changes, the following measurements were performed on PA images with the same methods by Altug-Atac et al. (7, 8) and Krykanides et al. (9). Following parameters were measured. (Figure 2). MxR-MxL: Basal maxillary width MxR/cg/MxL: Angle between crista galli and maxillary base points. NC/Lom/ VL: Right nasal cavity angle. CN/Lom/ VL: Left nasal cavity angle. NC/Lom/CN: Total nasal cavity angle. NC-CN: Nasal cavity width. sn/Lom/ VL :Nasal septum angle.

Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 (SPSS IBM Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA) software. The coherence of parameters to normal distribution was evaluated with Shapiro-Wilks test. Parameters that display normal distribution were evaluated with Paired Samples test, whereas those display asymmetrical distribution were evaluated with Wilcoxon sign test. Confidence interval was set to 95% and p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Images obtained from 14 patients (6 male, 8 female) aged between 18 to 30 years (mean 21.3 years) before SARME (T0) and at 6 months postoperatively (T1) were evaluated. Nasal floor width at the level of upper first premolars and first molars increased following SARME (p=0.005 and 0.017 respectively). The increase in palatal bone width at the level of
Table 1. Findings of cone beam computed tomography measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T0 Mean±SD</th>
<th>T1 Mean±SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFW4</td>
<td>27.42±3.61</td>
<td>30.63±4.61</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBW4</td>
<td>18.52±4.37</td>
<td>21.9±2.79</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFV6</td>
<td>29.28±3.91</td>
<td>31.09±3.73</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBV6</td>
<td>26.97±4</td>
<td>30±4.04</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: p<0.05 in Wilcoxon signed rank test; SD: standard deviation

Table 2. Findings of posteroanterior cephalometric measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T0 Mean±SD</th>
<th>T1 Mean±SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MxR/mxL</td>
<td>41.7±3.56</td>
<td>44.75±4.02</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MxR/cg/mxL</td>
<td>47.17±3.27</td>
<td>50.58±3.47</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC/Lom/VL</td>
<td>1.25±0.69</td>
<td>0.67±0.52</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN/Lom/VL</td>
<td>17.12±2.31</td>
<td>19.63±2.65</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC/Lom/CN</td>
<td>33.22±3.48</td>
<td>37.67±3.67</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>20.58±3.06</td>
<td>22.92±2.93</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN/Lom/VL</td>
<td>16.1±2.78</td>
<td>18.04±2.59</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: p<0.05in Wilcoxon signed rank test; SD: standard deviation

both first premolars and first molars was found statistically significant (p=0.003 and 0.002 respectively). Basal maxillary width (p=0.026), angle between crista galli and maxillary base (p=0.02), left nasal cavity angle (p=0.026), right nasal cavity angle (p=0.027), total nasal cavity angle (p=0.027), nasal cavity width (p=0.024) also increased significantly. Nasal septum deviation was evaluated measuring the nasal septum angle at T0 and T1 did not yield any significant difference. The findings of the study were presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

Discussion

SARME is a well-known technique for the correction of transversal maxillary discrepancies. After SARME, skeletal alterations occur in the maxilla and in the midfacial bones. In the present study we evaluated the outcomes of SARME with posterior-anterior cephalometric radiographs (PA) and cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). Computed tomography is a more precise method for capturing the extent of expansion than the two-dimensional imaging. Three-dimensional visualization of the structures and their movements can be easily inspected with CT images with eliminating the magnification and distortion (7, 10). In this study we also used PA cephalograms for angular measurements since the area of Field of View (FOV) in CBCTs was not wide enough to capture crista galli to minimize radiation dose.

The main resistance to distraction movement occurs in the pterygomaxillary, zygomaticomaxillary, and frontomaxillary sutures. These are the buttress areas which are effecting the center of rotation during expansion. Inverted V-shaped horizontal opening movement of the maxillary segments was reported in previous studies (1, 5, 11) demonstrated a higher amount of expansion in the first premolar than in the molar area following tooth borne SARME. Seeberger et al. (12) reported a V shaped opening of the nasal floor and the palatal arch, but a parallel expansion of the alveolar crest with tooth borne SARME devices. Goldenberg et al. (13) suggested that the greatest expansion occurred in the most inferior and anterior region of the maxilla; however, Zandi et al. (6) reported that transpalatal distractor placed at the molar level provided parallel widening of dental arch, palatal vault and nasal floor. There is also an inverted V shaped opening that becomes smaller in superior parts as described in other studies with SARPE (14, 15). In the present study the alteration of nasal width at the level of first premolars and first molars was statistically significant. SARME provides transversal distraction of lateral nasal walls as well as the maxillary segments.

Posterior anterior cephalometric radiographies were useful in the evaluation in the transversal changes of maxilla and nasal bones. Altug-Atac et al. (7) used PA radiography to evaluate the outcomes of rapid maxillary expansion and orthopedic rapid maxillary expansion. In our study basal maxillary width, angle between crista galli and maxillary base points, right nasal cavity angle, left nasal cavity angle, total nasal cavity angle, nasal cavity width, nasal septum angle were evaluated in PA cephalometric radiographies.

In several studies it was demonstrated that the increase in transversal dimensions of maxilla, enlarges the nasal cavity and decreases the degree of nasal obstruction (3, 16, 17). However the presence of any nasal deformities or diseases such as polyps or hypertrophic mucosa may prevent air passage through the nasal cavity. Warren et al. (18) found that nasal volume increased 45% after rapid maxillary expansion and 55% after SARME. Basciftci et al. (19) reported that both maxillary width and nasal cavity width increased significantly after both RME and SARPE. Enoki et al. (20) presented the results of their study investigating the effect of RME on the dimension of the nasal cavity and on nasal air resistance. They reported an increase in the bony dimension of the nasal cavity and significant improvement of breathing due to decrease in nasal resistance. Seeberger et al. (12) applied tooth borne distraction device after SARME and their results showed that transverse shift of the segments can be achieved over the whole bony plate.

Nasal airflow resistance and nasal volume can alter regarding by horizontal transversal changes of the maxilla. However individual responses vary and it is hard to correlate the nasal changes and subjective improvement. Magnusson et al. (21) reported the alterations in the volume of nasal cavity and the patient’s subjective sensation of nasal obstruction. Their results showed nasal volume increased and subjective sensation of nasal obstruction improved. Their findings presented that the improvement in subjective nasal obstruction evaluation was significant in both short and long terms; however, no correlations were reported between subjective parameters and nasal minimum cross sectional area and nasal airway resistance (21). In our study we did not evaluate change in nasal or mouth breathing in our patients; therefore we cannot comment on impact of surgery.
Intervention to the nasal septum during SARME is variable. The nasal septum is frequently released from palatal base to prevent septal deviation and nasal airway changes. It is believed that unseparated nasal septum is prone to septal deviation during distraction procedure (22); but there are some studies reporting the ineffectiveness of septum osteotomy to septal deviation (8, 12, 23). Reinbacher et al. (23) investigated the need for releasing the septum during SARME. The deviation of the nasal septum was evaluated by comparing measurements between pre and postoperative CTs. They reported low degrees of septal deviation or side shifting in non-released nasal septa. They declared that there was no compelling reason to release the septum (23). In our study the difference in the nasal septum angles between T0 and T1 radiographies was not statistically significant. We did not encounter septum deviation in our patients due to separation of the septum. SARME is a predictable surgical procedure for the correction of maxillary transverse deficiency. Its effect on nasal airway and breathing should be further evaluated particularly in patients with mouth breathing.

Conclusion

Nasal and palatal skeletal transverse dimensions increased following SARME. Due to the enlargement of the nasal floor and nasal cavity, it is likely to improve air pass through the nose.
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Evaluation of Shore A hardness of maxillofacial silicones: the effect of dark storage and nanoparticles

Pınar Çevik

Purpose
Little has been reported how the addition of nanoparticles could affect the hardness of maxillofacial silicones. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of different types of nanoparticle additives and dark storage for 2-years on the Shore A hardness of two types of maxillofacial silicone elastomers.

Materials and Methods
A-2000 and A-2006 Room Temperature Vulcanized (RTV) silicone elastomers were tested in this study. Silanized silica, fumed silica and titanium dioxide nanoparticles at concentrations of 10% by volume were used as fillers for the maxillofacial silicone elastomers. A total of 64 silicone specimens were fabricated, which includes 8 samples, 30 x 10 mm in size, from each silicone elastomers for each subgroup and also controls. After the initial Shore A hardness measurements, specimens were kept in dark conditions at room temperature for 2 years. The final measurements were then taken from the silicone specimens. All data were statistically analyzed.

Results
For both types of silicones, there was statistically significant differences among study groups (p<0.001). Fumed silica group showed the lowest hardness values in A-2000 after storage. However, no significant difference was observed between control and fumed silica groups. Control group showed the lowest hardness values in A-2006, while the highest hardness values were found in fumed silica group; there was no significant difference between silica and silane groups.

Conclusion
Shore A values of the specimens were within the acceptable range for the maxillofacial silicones after aging. Nanoparticle addition did not prevent hardening of the silicone elastomers with time.

Keywords: Aging; hardness; nanoparticles; silicone elastomers; Shore A

Introduction
Maxillofacial prostheses are still being used to treat congenital and acquired defects of the head and neck region, despite advances in plastic surgery (1, 2). Maxillofacial prostheses provide a practical alternative by giving patients a normal appearance, esthetics, and social acceptance (3, 4). Currently, several types of materials can be used in maxillofacial prosthetics such as chlorinated polyethylene, polymethylmethacrylates, polyurethanes, latex, and silicone elastomers. Silicone elastomers are widely used because of their favorable properties, including acceptable tear and tensile strengths, chemical inertness, high elongation percentage, ease of manipulation and biocompatibility (5, 6). Despite their wide use, they also suffer from deterioration of color and loss of physical, mechanical as well as dynamic properties in clinical practice. The average service life of a maxillofacial prosthesis ranges therefore from 6 to 18 months (6).

Limited research has been conducted to enhance the mechanical and physical properties of maxillofacial silicones by adding nanoparticles (1, 7). Nanoparticles could diffuse the silicone matrix, which leads to the formation of the three-dimensional network within the silicone chain (3, 7).

Surface hardness can be described as the resistance of the material against vertical perforation (8). The hardness of the maxillofacial silicone elastomer is determined by the density of cross-links and the surface characteristics of polymer network (9). An ideal maxillofacial silicone elastomer should have an optimum hardness value to mimic the surrounding tissues (10).

Previous studies have evaluated the effect of artificial aging and real time aging on the mechanical and physical properties of different types of maxillofacial silicones. However, no previous study evaluated the effect of long-term dark storage on the hardness of nanoparticle added two types of room temperature vulcanized (RTV) maxillofacial silicone elastomers. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of long-term dark storage on the hardness of nanoparticle added two types of RTV maxillofacial silicone elastomers.

The null hypotheses tested in this study were twofold; first, the natural aging would not affect the hardness of nanoparticle added maxillofacial silicone elastomers and second, Shore A hardness of the nanoparticle added specimens would be reduced after dark storage.

Materials and methods

Specimen preparation

The materials used in this study are given in Table 1. Platinum based A-2000 and A-2006 RTV type silicone elastomers were tested. A total of 64 silicone elastomer specimens (N=64) were fabricated from A-2000 and A-2006 silicones. Silane treated silica, fumed silica and titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles were used as fillers. A metal mold was fabricated in accordance with the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D2240-68 standard for the standardization of the silicone specimens for the hardness tests.

For the fabrication of specimens in control group (Group 1), the homogenous silicone mixtures were prepared from A-2000 and A-2006 silicone elastomers, respectively. The ratio of the silicone elastomers were 1:1 for part A and part B of the silicones. A thixotropic agent, which prevents air bubble formation, was added to the silicone mixture and mixed by hand, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Thus, Group 1 with no addition of nanoparticles served as the control group for A-2000 and A-2006 silicone elastomers. For Group 2, 12 nm hydrophilic fumed silica nanoparticles were added at 10% concentration by volume to the silicone mixture. For Group 3, 12 nm silane-treated hydrophobic silica nanoparticles were incorporated to the silicone mixture at 10% concentration by volume. For Group 4, 30 nm TiO₂ nanoparticles were added to the silicone mixture at 10% concentration by volume. The final silicone mixture was poured to the metal mold and silicone specimens were polymerized. The manufacturer recommends the polymerization to take place at 75°C for 3 or 4 hours in stone molds and dry oven. They also recommend higher polymerization degree and lesser time if the metal molds used. For standardization of the specimens, metal molds, instead of stone molds, and constant pressure with proper degree of temperature were selected. Therefore, the polymerization process was set for the specimens as 6 minutes in 60°C under a hydraulic press (HD80; Motor Operated, Hidrolıksan, Konya, Türkiye) in metal molds, according to the method described in a previous study (1). Eight silicone specimens were fabricated for each study group (n=8). Thus, a total of 64 silicone specimens from A-2000 and A-2006 silicone elastomers (32 in each) were used in this study for the hardness tests.

Shore A hardness measurements

Silicone specimens in 30 mm diameter and 10 mm thickness were prepared in a metal mold in compliance with the ASTM D2240-68 standard (Figure 1). The hardness measurements of the specimens were made by using a digital Shore A durometer (Shore Leverloader, Duratronic, Akron, OH, USA). Three hardness measurements were taken from each specimen as Shore units and the average values were calculated as the final Shore A value, before and after the dark storage of the specimens.

Storage conditions

Dark storage performed for the silicon specimens included storing the specimens in room temperature 23°C±2°C and relative humidity in pigment free plastic bags. Specimens then placed in a lightproof wood box for 2-years. At the end of this period, specimens were removed and tested by using the digital Shore A durometer (Figure 2).

Statistical analysis

The collected data from all groups were imported to Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software version 20
The standard descriptive methods such as the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum were applied to determine the characteristics of the sample. All data were first evaluated with Levene's test for homogeneity of variances and with Kolmogorov-Smirnov for normality. Paired t-test was used to evaluate the differences between the initial and the final hardness values of each nanoparticle groups in each silicone material. Because the data was normally distributed, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) tests pairwise comparisons was used to compare the nanoparticle groups in each silicone group, respectively. Confidence interval was set to 95% and p values less than 0.05 statistically significant.

Results

ANOVA results are presented as the mean and standard deviation in Table 2 and Table 3, and t-test results are presented in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

As can be seen from Table 2, ANOVA results revealed that statistically significant difference was found among groups after dark storage (p<0.001). The lowest hardness value was observed in fumed silica group in A-2000 silicone elastomer. However, there was no significant difference between control and silica groups. While the highest hardness value was observed in TiO₂, the significant difference was found between control and TiO₂ groups (p=0.003). According to ANOVA results for the hardness values of A-2006 silicone (Table 3), there was statistically significant difference among the groups (p<0.001). The lowest hardness value was observed in control group. Furthermore, while the highest hardness value was observed in silica group, there was not statistically significant difference between silica and silane groups in A-2006 silicone elastomer. According to paired t-test results, there was a significant difference between the hardness of each type of silicone and silicone subgroups before and the after storage (p<0.001). Shore A hardness of all specimens significantly increased after dark storage.

Discussion

In this study, it was found that 2 years of dark storage adversely affected Shore A hardness of the silicone specimens. Furthermore, regarding after-storage specimens, nanoparticle incorporation did not reduce the hardness of the silicone elastomers as compared to control groups. The hardness values of silicone elastomers after 2-years dark storage varied from 47.28 to 22.75, for A-2000 and A-2006, respectively. Both silicone elastomers, with or without nanoparticles, demonstrated significant hardness increase after dark storage. However, Shore A values of stored specimens were found to be consistent with the hardness values of silicone elastomers reported by Veres et al. (1, 11).

Many studies evaluated Shore A hardness of silicone elastomers for periods of 2 (12), 6 (13-15) or 12 (16, 17) months with measurements taken at the initial and the final observation periods. Because the standard clinical longevity of the...
The relative hardness of a maxillofacial silicone elastomer should imitate the surrounding tissues and resemble the human skin (7). Facial prostheses during clinical service should be resistant and yet to soft and flexible to mimic the facial movements (24, 25). The acceptable limits of Shore A values for a facial silicone elastomer range from 25 to 55 units (26).

The reason of different values considered to be acceptable clinically is due to the hardness variations that exist in the maxillofacial area.

It was reported that physical changes of maxillofacial elastomers that occur during natural aging could result from polymerization by-products, initiators (15), pigments and other fillers (2, 27). In this study, nanoparticle fillers adversely affected the hardness values of silicones after dark storage. However, hydrophilic fumed silica nanoparticles decreased the hardness value of A-2000 silicone as compared to control group. Hydroxyl groups of the hydrophilic fumed silica could generate hydrogen bonds between the silica and silicone. Thus, fumed silica could increase the mechanical properties of silicones (28). Most studies reported that fumed silica nanoparticles could increase the mechanical properties of silicone elastomers (1, 29, 30).

Nano-oxide particles have been used as fillers in silicone elastomers to strengthen the mechanical properties of elastomers. By cross-linking reactions, nano-oxide particles could increase the surface energy of silicone matrix, which leads to a reinforced matrix structure (31, 32). In recent studies, titanium dioxide nanoparticles were found to increase the mechanical properties of silicone elastomers (1, 7, 33). According to the results of this study, it could be stated that fumed silica nanoparticles might be effective on the mechanical properties of A-2006 silicone elastomer while TiO₂ nanoparticles might be effective on the mechanical properties of A-2000 silicone elastomer.

Most studies on silicone elastomers subjected to dark storage are generally based on color stability (2, 23, 25, 34, 35). However, limited research investigated the mechanical properties of silicone elastomers subjected to dark storage (17, 25, 27, 36). Furthermore, our study has a main difference with recent studies in which we investigated the effect of nanoparticle addition on the hardness of silicone elastomers after being subjected to dark storage.

Hardness increment during dark storage could result from continuous vulcanization (12, 36, 37) of the silicone elastomers due to the presence of nanoparticles. A possible explanation of hardness increment could be a mechanism that may start due to oxygen intake during dark storage and generates cross-linkers which cluster in the silicone matrix, leading to denser network and higher hardness for silicone materials (17, 38).

The hardness of the silicone elastomers is controlled by the surface characteristics of the polymer network and the density of crosslink as reported by Polyzois et al. (17). Furthermore, the density of the cross-links could affect the length of the polymer chain (39) which results in degradation of mechanical properties of silicon elastomers in time. Therefore, another possible reason for increased hardness of nanoparticle added silicones could be that nanoparticles maximize the network of silicone matrix, lengthen of the polymer chain, leading to increased hardness values.

Lai and Hodges (40) and Raptis et al. (41) reported that the silicone elastomers are not completely vulcanized in stone molds. Furthermore, stone molds have more surface irregularities than the metal molds do (1). Accordingly, new generation silicone elastomers are polymerized in metal molds (40).
Although stone molds can imitate the clinical fabrication techniques, metal molds were preferred for the polymerization process of the silicone elastomers to provide accurate and standard data in this study.

Various studies have used nano particles as fillers at different concentrations. Fillers used in this study were at 10% by volume, which is consistent with previous studies (30, 33) and it is based on the results of a recently published article (1), in which the mechanical properties of silicones were evaluated after nanoparticle incorporation. Thus, different concentrations of nano particles could lead to differences in mechanical and physical properties of silicone elastomers. Therefore, future research should address the effects of adding such nanoparticles at different concentrations on the mechanical and physical properties of maxillofacial silicone elastomers subjected to aging process.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of this in vitro study, it can be stated that the hardness of A-2000 and A-2006 silicone elastomers increased after 2-years dark storage. On the other hand, both silicone elastomers, with or without nanoparticles, showed clinically acceptable Shore A hardness values even after dark storage. Nanoparticle addition did not prevent silicone elastomers from hardening effects of time and, finally, A-2000 silicone revealed maximum hardness values in all study groups.
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Comparative assessment of 3D reconstruction technique and Cavalieri’s principle in predicting the mandibular bone defect volumes

Purpose
The objective of this study was to compare the accuracy of the Cavalieri’s principle and 3D reconstruction in predicting the volume of a bony defect.

Materials and Methods
Defects of the same approximate size were created on nine artificial mandibles. The actual volume of the defect on each mandible was measured by water displacement, and served as the control. Each mandible was then scanned using a CBCT and volume measurements were made for each defect using two techniques: Cavalieri’s principle and 3D reconstruction. For each defect, the volume obtained by each of the two techniques was compared to the control volume using the analysis of variances (ANOVA) with p<0.05.

Results
ANOVA between the control, 3D reconstruction and Cavalieri’s principle groups showed no statistically significant differences (p=.058). When the control group was further analyzed by Dunnett’s post-hoc test, the results from Cavalieri’s principle were found to be statistically different than the control group (p=.035), whereas the results of 3D reconstruction technique did not reach the level of significance (p=.523).

Conclusion
Cavalieri’s principle significantly underestimates the actual control volume, and is less accurate than the 3D reconstruction technique. The 3D reconstruction method is a reliable technique in measuring volume of bony defects.

Keywords: Cone-beam CT; three-dimensional imaging; Cavalieri’s principle; defect; image reconstruction

Introduction
Many surgical advances have been made in the last several decades that have given patients excellent options to regain esthetics and functionality after dentition has been lost. As an example, dental implant therapy has been widely accepted to be a predictable procedure with good long-term results. However, some clinical parameters should be considered prior to surgery, including the quantity of bone surrounding the implant in both vertical and horizontal dimensions (1).

Implant success rates are strongly associated with adequate bone volume, which ensures the placement of dental implants at the correct position and encourages osseointegration (2). Sufficient buccal bone volume around implants is essential, especially for achieving esthetic results in the anterior region (3, 4). Following extraction, the remodeling of the alveolar process leads to a predictable pattern of resorption in both the apico-cor-
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onal and bucco-lingual dimensions. The presence of buccal bone defects increases the risk of mechanical implant failure (1, 5). Pre-prosthetic reconstruction techniques have been proposed to re-establish the anatomic morphology to optimize prosthetic outcome.

Of the various augmentation techniques that have been described for the reconstruction of buccal bone defects, autogenous bone grafting is currently considered the gold standard for alveolar ridge augmentation, although resorption of the graft always remains a concern (6-8). Thorough preoperative planning and evaluation is essential to determine the precise amount of bone graft needed to reconstitute a defect. This will assist in proper selection of the donor site for bone grafting and minimize surgical morbidity.

Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) is an emerging technology, which provides essential three dimensional (3D) information about the maxillofacial region for preoperative planning. The use of CBCT to quantify the volume of a bony defect in pre-prosthetic surgery can be very useful in determining the quantity of graft to be harvested, which aids in the selection of the appropriate donor site. It has been shown that CBCT technology can accurately measure periodontal defects as well as the depth and diameter of artificially created defects using Cavalieri’s principle and volume extrapolation (8-12).

No attempt has been made in the literature to compare different techniques of measuring bony defects using CBCT technology to gauge whether one method provides more accurate measurements. In-Vivo Dental Image Analysis Software (Anatomage Inc, San Jose, CA) has developed a program that approximates the volume of a bony defect, referred to as the 3D reconstruction tool. An alternative method to measure volume was proposed by Italian mathematician Bonaventura Cavalieri, and is referred to as Cavalieri’s principle. Using this principle, the volume of an arbitrary shaped object or defect can be measured by adding the surface area of each slice of the object of interest on a CBCT cross sectional view, and multiplying by the thickness of each slice. Several studies have incorporated this method to measure volume (8, 12-14). Figure 1 demonstrates the method and its application in volume estimation of an organ, a commonly applied stereological method in biomedical research (15).

The purpose of the current study is to compare the 3D reconstruction technique to Cavalieri’s principle in regards to measuring the volume of bony defects in the maxillofacial region from CBCT imaging to determine if one method gives a more accurate representation of the actual bony defect. Furthermore, once the most accurate method for measuring volume is elucidated, we assess the degree of inter-user reliability of the technique. In the light of the findings of the present study, it is anticipated to enable clinicians to use CBCT imaging more accurately to predict the volume of defects in the maxillofacial area, which will aid in the planning of maxillo-mandibular surgery.

Materials and methods

Sample preparation

Nine artificial, adult-sized, foam cortical shell artificial mandibles (Sawbones; Vashon Island, WA, USA) were obtained. A surgical drill was used to create a geometric defect in the buccal aspect of the alveolus in each of these mandibles (Figure 2a).

A standard rectangular defect of the same size was made in the same location on each artificial mandible. A putty material was molded to fill in the defect for each artificial mandible (Figure 2b).

The putty material was then placed into a graduated cylinder filled with a known volume of distilled water. The amount of water that was displaced after placement of the putty was removed from the graduated cylinder and weighed. Hence, the volume of each defect (in cubic centimeters, which was converted to cubic millimeters) was obtained. This volume was recorded as the control volume for the defect on each artificial mandible.

Image acquisition and 3D reconstruction

Once the control volume for each mandible was acquired, CBCTs were obtained with CB Mercuray® (CB Mercuray; Hitachi Medical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at the Craniofacial Imaging Center. Artificial mandibles were positioned in the center of a scanning table with the mandibular plane horizontal and the mid-sagittal plane vertical, and imaging was performed using 15 mA, 120, kVp, a 4-inch field of view, and resulting in a voxel size of 0.38 mm. All CBCT imaging data were stored in the DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communication) format and then imported to be viewed using InVivo Dental Image Analysis Software. (InVivo Dental Image Analysis Software-Anatomage Inc; San Jose, CA, USA) (Figure 3).

Two techniques were used to measure the volume of bony defects on the artificial mandibles using CBCT (to minimize inter-user error, all measurements were taken by the same individual, who was trained how to obtain measurements using the two techniques, but was blinded to the purpose of the study): 1. The volumetric Reconstruction tool of InVivo Dental Image Analysis Software was used, as described, to measure the volume of the defect created in each mandible (Figure 4).
After the defect was completely isolated, the program was set to measure the defect at -1000 Hounsfield units to -500 Hounsfield units (HU). This was done to ensure the program measured only the volume of defect filled with air, and not any tissue that was not part of the defect (air can be detected at -1000 HU, and tissues start to be detected at -500 HU) (16).

2. Next, the volume of the bony defect in each artificial mandible was measured by using Cavalieri’s principle. All imaging was again opened via In Vivo software. The thickness of slices was selected to be 2 mm. A scroll tool was used to navigate through all the sections with the defect on the artificial mandible, and in each slice, the two dimensional cross sectional area of the defect was measured. The areas were added, and multiplied by the thickness of each slice to obtain the measured volume of the bony defect (Figure 5).

Ethics committee approval or informed consent was not required for this study.
Statistical analysis

The collected data from all groups were imported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows software, version 22.0 (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA). Normality and homogeneity of the data were tested using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene’s tests, respectively. The standard descriptive methods such as the mean and standard deviation were applied to determine the characteristics of the sample. The analysis of variances (ANOVA) was used to determine differences between control, 3D and Cavalieri groups, for which the results were further compared by Dunnett’s post-hoc analysis. Data reliability was assessed by an intra-class correlation analysis. The confidence interval was set to 95% and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

It was hypothesized that both methods of volumetric measurement utilizing CBCT technology would give accurate results, and that there would be no statistical difference between the control volumes and the results obtained by 3D reconstruction and Cavalieri’s principle. Table 1 displays results for control defect volumes in the artificial mandibles as compared to the volume of defects obtained by 3D reconstruction method and Cavalieri’s principle. The results showed that at a 95% confidence interval, there was no statistical difference between the control group and the 3D volumetric reconstruction group. However, Cavalieri’s principle underestimates the actual control volume by 21%, an amount determined to be statistically significant (p<0.05) (Table 2, 3).

Discussion

The clinical importance of buccal bone volume around implants has been emphasized by several authors (1-5). Defects in the buccal aspect of the alveolar bone are not only unfavorable for the initial planning and placement of implants, but may also jeopardize clinical success when encountered during the maintenance phase. Bony defects in the maxillo-mandibular complex may arise due to several reasons and the reconstruction of these defects commonly requires consideration to a number of factors for ideal prosthetic rehabilitation with dental implants. These factors include the type of graft being considered for reconstruction, patient preferences, morbidity associated with each surgical procedure, and the amount of graft needed to reconstitute a defect. Knowledge of the volume of bony defect can guide pre-prosthetic surgery by helping evaluate which donor site is appropriate for bone grafting if considering an autogenous graft. Hence, an accurate measurement of the defect to be filled can aid tremendously in pre-operative planning and in justification of appropriate surgical grafting procedures. Two-dimensional techniques are regarded as the mainstays for radiologic evaluations of jaws. However, they provide limited information regarding bone morphology, and are not suitable for

| Table 1. Control, 3D reconstruction and Cavalieri’s principle volume measurements |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Mandible** | **Control Volume** | **3 D** | **Cavalieri’s principle** |
| 1 | 860 mm³ | 790 mm³ | 727.12 mm³ |
| 2 | 1060 mm³ | 866 mm³ | 704.12 mm³ |
| 3 | 1170 mm³ | 1173 mm³ | 896.94 mm³ |
| 4 | 630 mm³ | 601 mm³ | 628 mm³ |
| 5 | 830 mm³ | 769 mm³ | 619.62 mm³ |
| 6 | 830 mm³ | 769 mm³ | 708.32 mm³ |
| 7 | 1140 mm³ | 972 mm³ | 895.12 mm³ |
| 8 | 1130 mm³ | 1160 mm³ | 798.84 mm³ |
| 9 | 1050 mm³ | 902 mm³ | 937.18 mm³ |
| Mean | 966.66 | 889.11 | 768.36 |
| Standard Error | 61.89 | 62.71 | 39.66 |
| Standard Deviation | 185.67 | 188.15 | 118.99 |
| Sample Variance | 34475 | 35403.61 | 14160.87 |
| p=0.39 | p=0.017 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Multiple comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(I) Technique</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavalieri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Dunnett t-tests treat one group as a control, and compare all other groups against it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Dependent Variable: Volume, Dunnett t (2-sided)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Intraclass correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intraclass Correlation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Measures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
volumetric assessment of alveolar defects. Though multi-slice CT (MSCT) scan is an established method to assess the bone morphology in implant planning and is commonly regarded as the gold standard, it is associated with 40-60 times more radiation than CBCT systems that have been introduced more recently. In addition to lower dose of exposure, CBCT systems offer several other advantages over a medical CT, including shorter acquisition times, low cost, and adequate image quality of the mineralized tissues (17, 18).

Different studies have utilized various techniques using CBCT to approximate defects, but no study has directly compared these techniques. It was hypothesized in this study that there would be no difference between the control values and the actual measurements obtained for both techniques. No statistical difference was found using only the 3D volumetric reconstruction method. The study showed that, compared to Cavalieri’s method of measuring facial bony defects, the 3D reconstruction method was more accurate, although both methods underestimated the actual volume of a maxillofacial defect. One would expect that the mathematically based Cavalieri’s principle would be more accurate compared to the 3D volumetric reconstruction method used, however results from Table 1, show the Cavalieri’s principle frequently underestimated the actual volume significantly.

It has been shown that jawbone width measurements on dry mandible using CBCT and spiral tomography are reliable, and on average, they are slightly underestimated; a similar trend was seen in this study (16). Anatomic variations seen in the bone itself can be one possible explanation for the consistent underestimation of volumes using both the 3D volumetric reconstruction and Cavalieri’s principle. Trabecular pattern in bone may be misinterpreted as air rather than part of the osseous anatomy that potentially may lead to exclusion of such spaces in the calculation of bony volumes, leading to an underestimation of volumes (13). Although anatomic variations and trabecular pattern of bone may lead to underestimation of bony volumes, it did not explain the underestimations recorded in this study. In a previous study by Kayipmaz et al. (13), trabecular structure of bone on artificially created “lesion” margins was reported to complicate determination of boundaries of radiographic CBCT sections. In effort to address this issue, defects in this study were created in artificial mandibles that were uniform in internal structure. We believe that the clear internal margins of these defects enabled us to obtain radiographic sections with dimensions similar to those of the actual defects.

Further studies have investigated the dependence of intra-cranial volume (ICV) measurements upon section thickness when using Cavalieri’s principle. In a previous study Sahin et al. (19) reported lower ICV values with wider section thicknesses and an underestimation of ICV measurements in planimetry evaluations derived from sections greater than 2 mm in thickness and recommended selection of thin sections. Conversely, Gadeberg et al. (20) reported overestimation with wider section thickness in MR imaging. Another possible reason for the inaccuracy encountered with Cavalieri’s principle in the present study is the assumption that the area of each section measured is the same throughout the 2 mm slice, and this may not be the case. It should, however, be noted that utilizing a section thickness of 2 mm in the present study represents a technique that is reproducible and applicable in the dental office setting, which would otherwise remain impracticable if thinner sections were used.

After comparing the different techniques to actual control volumes, we believe CBCTs remain useful in predicting the volume of bony defect, especially using the 3D reconstruction method, which was found to be a valid and precise method of volume approximation. This study suggests that Cavalieri’s principle is less accurate than 3D reconstruction in determining the volume of bony defects, although other studies have successfully used this principle to give an accurate representation of volume (Table 2) (8, 12-14). In a previous study by Smolka et al. (8), volumetric measurements of calvarial bone grafts were successfully performed using software based on Cavalieri’s principle. Pinsky et al. (12) applied a similar technique for determining osseous defect sizes using 3D CBCT and suggested that clinically acceptable accuracy can be obtained with such a technique when performing volumetric analysis of small osseous defects of the human mandible. Moreover, Cavalieri’s principle was also used in several other medical fields to assess the volume of lesions or to evaluate the regression of tumors after chemo-radiotherapy (21, 22).

The lack of soft tissue simulation and the relatively small sample size are among the main limitations of this study that need to be taken into consideration when interpreting its findings. Further studies with qualified simulation of both hard and soft tissues on larger sample sizes may provide clinicians with a better understanding of the comparative evaluation of these two techniques. Additionally, Hounsfield’s scale, the validity of which remains an issue of debate in CBCT was obtained with such a technique when performing volumetric analysis of small osseous defects of the human mandible. Furthermore, the regression of tumors after chemo-radiotherapy (21, 22).

This study did not reveal statistically significant difference in volumetric analysis performed with 3D CBCT reconstruction and the control values, whereas statistically significant underestimation was observed with the method based on Cavalieri’s principle. When our findings are evaluated in consideration of contradictory findings reported in a limited number of previous studies, we believe that further research is required to determine the true efficacy of the techniques applied in this study.

**Conclusion**

This study did not reveal statistically significant difference in volumetric analysis performed with 3D CBCT reconstruction and the control values, whereas statistically significant underestimation was observed with the method based on Cavalieri’s principle. When our findings are evaluated in consideration of contradictory findings reported in a limited number of previous studies, we believe that further research is required to determine the true efficacy of the techniques applied in this study.
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Türkçe öz: Alt çene kemiğindeki defektlerin hacimlerinin ölçülmeleri amacıyla kullanılan 3 boyutlu rekonstruksiyon ve Cavalieri yöntemlerinin karşılaştırılması olarak değerlendirilmiştir. Amaç: Çalışmanın amaçça kemik defektlerinin hacimsel tahmininde Cavalieri prensibi ve 3 boyutlu rekonstruksiyon tekniğinin doğruluklarının karşılaştırılmasıdır. Gerçek ve Yöntem: Dokuz adet yapay mandibula üzerinde yapılan bu çöyrükarda defektler oluşturulmuştur. Her mandibulaki defektin gerçek hacmi suyun yer değiştirmesi ile ölçülmüş ve kontrol grubu olarak belirlenmiştir. Ardından, her mandibula konik itiş bilgisayarlı tomografi (cone-beam computed tomography – CBCT) ile taramış ve defektlerin hacimsel ölçümleri iki teknik kullanılarak yapılmıştır: Cavalieri prensibi ve 3 boyutlu rekonstruksiyon. Defektlerin her iki tekniğde edilen hacimsel ölçümleri, kontrol hacimleri ile karşılaştırılmış ve istatistiksel anlamlılık düzeyi p<0.05 olacak şekilde varsayım analizi ile (ANOVA) değerlendirilmiştir. Bulgular: Kontrol, 3 boyutlu rekonstruksiyon ve Cavalieri'nin prensibi gruplarının ANOVA ile değerlendirilmesi sonucunda gruplar arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı bir farklılık bulunamamıştır (p=.523). Kontrol grubu Dunnett’in post-hoc testi ile ili analiz tabi tutulduğunda, Cavalieri’nin prensibine dayalı yapılan ölçümlerin kontrolle kıyasla anlamlı bir fark göstermediği (p=.035); ancak 3 boyutlu rekonstruksiyon teknikinin anlamlılık seviyesine ulaşmadığı görülmüştür (p=.523). Sonuç: Cavalieri prensibi, kontrol hacminin olması gerekrenden daha az ölçümesine neden olmaktadır. Bu prensbinin doğruluğu, 3 boyutlu rekonstruksiyon tekniğinin doğruluğu daha düştür. Bu boyutlu görüntüleme; Cavalieri prensibi, deekt; görüntü rekonstruksiyonu
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The aim of this article was to review the literature and present a case of regional odontodysplasia (ROD) with special emphasis on clinical and radiographic features. A 6-year-old girl was referred to our department with the chief complaint of missing her permanent maxillary left central incisor, lateral incisor, and both of her canines. The gingiva of the involved region was enlarged, fibrous, and tense. Radiographic examination showed abnormal tooth formation and shortened roots. After 3 years of follow up with temporary prosthetic rehabilitation, periodontal surgery was performed. Following forced eruption and levelling, abnormal tooth eruption and root development were observed. ProRoot MTA (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was used for root canal treatment. Intracanal fiber posts selected and access cavities were restored with composite resin. Prosthetic rehabilitation was completed with zirconia ceramic crowns. The time of diagnosis, characteristics of the present/existing symptoms, and functional and esthetic needs of the patient should be considered to determine the optimal treatment modality for ROD.

Keywords: Odontodysplasia; ghost teeth; tooth eruption; shell teeth; developmental anomaly

Introduction

Regional odontodysplasia (ROD) is first described by Hitchin (1) in 1934. ROD can also be defined as ghost teeth, nonhereditary amelogenesis imperfecta, odontogenesis imperfecta, and odontogenic dysplasia (2). ROD is a rare and unique dental abnormality that involves enamel, dentin, pulp, and follicle of both primary and permanent dentitions, generally affecting the teeth of one quadrant (3-6).

Diagnosis of this nonhereditary disorder is made by clinical as well as radiographic examination and, occasionally, supported with microscopic examination of the affected teeth (1, 2). An irregular surface contour with pitting and grooves is observed in the affected teeth during clinical examination (2, 5-7). The affected teeth appear hypoplastic and hypocalcified (3). These teeth also show extreme erosion and underlying periapical abscesses, with discoloring to yellow, or even yellowish brown. Radiographs display these teeth with having large pulp spaces and short roots with open apices. Due to lack of contrast between enamel and dentin tissues, the ROD tooth appears ghost-like. Eruption of the affected teeth is delayed or may not occur (1-10). Generally, affected permanent teeth replace affected primary teeth; however, normal permanent teeth may also replace affected primary teeth (5).

There are histological alterations present in ROD. Enamel structure is hypoplastic and hypocalcified, with changing thickness and uneven surfaces. Enamel prisms are randomly distributed, possibly including aprismatic regions with degenerated globular calcifications. Another common feature...
of ROD includes the presence of different degrees of pulpal calcification. Reduction of the dentin layer yields randomly distributed tubules that are lesser in amount. Extensive interglobular and amorphous dentin areas, predentin layer enlargement, and clefts, which might enable the pulp and oral cavity to communicate, were additional characteristics observed. Dense fibrous connective tissue constructs the dental follicle, which might demonstrate calcification areas (2-4, 8, 9, 11). The affected enamel has been detected to have more mineral content than dentin in microradiographic studies (9).

The prevalence of this condition is still not clear since the literature have mainly been based upon case reports (1, 4). Males have been less affected than females. The mandible has been less frequently affected than maxilla (ratio, 1.6:1). The condition is usually unilateral, rarely crosses the midline, and is more common in the anterior dentition. Teeth may be affected in different degrees, even in the same arch. A tooth’s inability to erupt has been the most distinctive clinical symptom of ROD (1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 10).

The etiology of ROD is unknown. Various assumptions such as local circulatory disorders, viral infections, teratogenic drugs, neural crest cell defects, vascular defects, irradiation, Rh incompatibility, local trauma, local somatic mutation, hypophosphatasia, hypocalcemia, hyperpyrexia, nutritional deficiency, circulatory disorders, and idiopathic factors have been discussed (1, 2, 4, 9, 11, 12). Systemic anomalies, like facial asymmetry, may be present in some patients (9). In addition, this anomaly has been found to be associated with hemangioma, epidermal nevus, vascular nevi, ectodermal dysplasia, hydrocephalus, hypophosphatasia, and gingival swelling (2, 11). As Courson et al. (13) stated, there may be a link between odontodysplastic changes and metalloproteinases (MMPs), besides their inhibitors (TIMPs), in relation to ROD.

Regional odontodysplasia may be a separate syndrome or a symptom of oculodentodigital syndrome or epidermal nevus/Schimmelpenning-Feuerstein-Mims syndrome (7). A differential diagnosis of ROD includes hereditary conditions, such as dentin dysplasia, dentinogenesis imperfecta, amelogenesis imperfecta, oculodentodigital dysplasia, segmental odontomaxillary dysplasia, odonto-onycho-dermal dysplasia, or odontocondrodysplasia (1, 6).

The aim of this paper was to review the literature, present a case of regional odontodysplasia, and to discuss the clinical and radiographic characteristics and treatment of odontodysplasia.

Case report

In 2007, a 6-year-old girl was referred to Istanbul University Faculty of Dentistry Department of Pedodontics with the chief complaint of a missing or unerupted permanent maxillary left central incisor, lateral incisor and both canines. There was no previous history of dental anomalies in either parent and no similar cases among family members. Her prenatal, natal, and medical history was unremarkable. Family is non-consanguineous. The mother of the patient had a natural pregnancy and childbirth. The patient’s past and medical history were not significant for any systemic abnormalities. When she was 18 months old, the patient fell on the left side of her face causing trauma. No history of previous extraction was seen in

Figure 1. a-d. (a, b) Intraoral (c, d) radiographic view during the first presentation.
the patient. It was learned from the mother that the patient's primary teeth were normal and exfoliated on time.

The patient showed normal maxillary dentition for her age during intraoral examination. The gingiva was enlarged, fibrous, and tense in the affected area. Permanent maxillary left central, lateral, and both canine teeth were unerupted. No dental abscess was seen clinically. All other teeth in the maxilla and mandible were normal. Radiographically, development of the maxillary left incisors and canine teeth was delayed, and these teeth showed abnormally formed and shortened roots, with a ghostlike appearance due to their reduced radiodensity (Figure 1).

After written informed consent was obtained from family, ROD was diagnosed and without extraction, a temporary prosthetic rehabilitation was applied (Figure 2). In order to keep track of the development of dentition and craniofacial growth, a periodic recall was offered.

The patient had periodontal surgery in the affected teeth after 3 years of temporary prosthetic rehabilitation follow-up. The teeth were misshapen, pitted, hypoplastic, and yellow or yellowish brown (Figure 3).

The teeth (#21, #22, #23) showed abnormal eruption and root development. Orthodontic treatment was planned for tooth positioning. Brackets were applied to maxillary teeth for forced eruption and levelling for a period of 2 years (Figure 4). Periodontal surgery was then applied during levelling procedures.

When the patient was 11 years and 5 months old, root canal treatment was begun due to the apical lesions. A calcium hydroxide paste was applied to the teeth for reinstrumentation and redressing. After the removal of the intracanal dressing af-
ter 1 year, ProRoot MTA (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was used as an apical plug. Intracanal fiber posts were selected considering the diameter of each canal. Composite resin restorations were used for the access cavity as a core (Figure 5). Each tooth was treated with a separate zirconium crown.

At the end of 10 years of treatment, the teeth presented no signs of external root resorption. Crowns compatible with gum and periodontal tissue were normal in color and shape (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

Approximately 200 cases have been reported in the literature regarding ROD. These articles describe the clinical, radiographic, and histological features of ROD as well as treatment alternatives.

For instance, endodontic approaches are considered conservative, while prosthetic rehabilitation is a less-conservative alternative. A fixed restorative prosthesis might be used in less affected patients, while patients with more severe cases must wait for the placement of implants or the final prosthetic reconstructions. Therefore, in more severe cases, extraction and removable temporary prostheses are more favorable (4, 6).

Canela et al. (11) presented a regional odontodysplasia case which occurred in the maxilla of a 10-month-old. After radiographic examination, the diagnosis was confirmed and follow-up went on for 5 years. Canela et al. (11) case supports the theory that an early diagnosis is critical to be able to determine the most convenient moment to intervene as well as to follow up and monitor ROD.
Gondim et al. (3) reported a case of a 2.5-year-old patient. There was a fistula in the related area of the central incisor apex, which caused damage in the crowns of the right central and lateral incisors. The partially erupted right canine was healthy and hypoplastic. Initially, the maxillary right incisors underwent endodontic treatment, thus a conservative approach was adopted. The maxillary right incisors were then cleared from the radicular remnants. Prophylaxis was used as a treatment for the canine, and professional fluoride was applied topically at monthly follow-ups (3).

Babu et al. (1) presented a delayed eruption of teeth in the mandible of a 33-month-old boy. Intraoral examination revealed an adequate maxillary arch with fully erupted primary teeth. All the maxillary teeth appeared normal. Although all the primary teeth were visible in the mandibular arch, they were not fully erupted and seemed to be embedded in abnormal, slightly hyperplastic alveolar mucosa. Furthermore, these teeth revealed abnormal crown morphology with yellowish discoloration and hypoplastic enamel. Radiographically in the mandibular arch, all the primary teeth showed a striking “ghost-like” appearance. The treatment plan for the child was mainly conservative. Maintenance of proper oral hygiene and regular follow-up examinations for monitoring the developing dentition was advised (1).

Mukhopadhyay et al. (2) reported a 3½-year-old girl with a chief complaint of noneruption of maxillary teeth. Extraoral examination of the patient showed a bilaterally symmetrical face. On intraoral examination, the patient presented with primary dentition. On the left quadrant of the maxilla, a hypoplastic, partially erupted primary central incisor and the tip of the adjacent lateral incisor were seen. As the condition was asymptomatic, a conservative treatment approach was followed (2).

An 8-year-old male from Turkey presented with a yellowish-brown color of the permanent canine tooth on the right maxilla. There was no indication of gingival swelling or abscess formation in the unerupted maxillary right permanent central incisor. In contrast to the unaffected teeth, in the radiographs, radiodensity was lowered in the maxillary right deciduous canine tooth and germs of the maxillary right permanent central, lateral, and canine teeth. The extraction of maxillary right permanent central and canine teeth was performed, and an acrylic partial denture was used to rehabilitate the affected quadrant (12).

Gurunathan et al. (10) reported an RO case in an 11½-year-old with condition of noneruption of the maxillary right permanent incisor. At 1-2 years old, the child had trauma to the maxillary anterior region. Generally, this tooth abnormality affects teeth in a single quadrant. The posterior teeth are less influenced than central and lateral incisors, along the midline or with possible involvement of each tooth (10). Likewise Ramakrishnan and Menon (14) presented a case with RO in involving single tooth, the maxillary right central incisor, which showed a ghost-like appearance. The patient’s mother gave a history of intrusive trauma to the maxillary anterior region at 2 years old, and the history of extraction of the corresponding primary tooth (14).

Thimma Reddy et al. (15) reported a case with RO in the entire maxillary right quadrant. A temporary partial acrylic denture was used for rehabilitation, and periodic recalls followed treatment. After extraction was performed, dental implants were used for rehabilitation (15, 16). A similar case was in the right maxillary quadrant and left maxillary central incisor, which were affected by RO. The treatment was planned to follow the eruption process (17).

The progress of the development of teeth are described in many articles involving transplantation. However, orthodontic treatment such as autotransplantation of a mature tooth has been reported in few cases. The reviewed case is a rare one in that regards odontodysplasia as affecting only a single tooth.

Arx et al. (5) reported a case with RO in left mandible for a 6-year follow-up. In the treatment plan, the patient was given general anesthesia and underwent surgery to remove the primary molars, the permanent canine, premolars, and first and second molars of the right mandible. Immediately following, autotransplantation of the first premolars of the three other quadrants was done in the right mandible. Despite being affected by RO as well, the incisors that erupted fully were not extracted. This aggressive treatment approach was chosen due to recurrent infections (5).

An uncommon case of RO involving three quadrants of the jaws was reported. An 8-year-old boy had no history of hereditary anomaly in his family. Intraorally, the crowns of the maxillary and mandibular right permanent first molars were hypoplastic. The anterior region of the mandible was affected, and gingival overgrowth was observed. The maxillary and mandibular permanent molars and the mandibular permanent incisors were submitted to endodontic treatment. Prosthetic restoration will be performed after their eruption (18). Badger (19) presented a case with RO in maxillary left second primary molar. All these authors planned the treatment of RO by following long-term follow-up of tooth development.

There is an ongoing controversy regarding the treatment of ROD. ROD cases require constant and multidisciplinary treatment approaches. Many clinicians support the idea of removing the tooth affected by ROD immediately and placing a prosthetic replacement. Restorative procedures are preferred by some other clinicians so as to preserve the affected erupted teeth. In this present case, the affected teeth demonstrated most of the distinctive features of ghost teeth as characterized in the literature, both clinically and radiographically. The treatment was planned according to the age of the case, the development of the teeth, and the aesthetic need. A long-term follow-up after tooth development with orthodontic, endodontic, periodontal, and restorative treatments were performed. The development of root has been found to have been affected by orthodontic treatment forces and periodontal treatment.

**Conclusion**

There are some factors to consider in determining the best treatment option for ROD; whether it is an early diagnosis, what the present symptoms and signs consist of, what the functional and esthetic needs of the patient are, and which treatment modalities are available. A delay or failure in the process of tooth eruption may be caused by the noneruption of the affected teeth. Additionally, structural defects and the
bacterial infection may often be seen as well. The age of the patient, the degree of anomaly, and the functional and esthetic needs of an individual case should all be taken into consideration when treatment plan is discussed. In addition to all, treatment of ROD requires a multidisciplinary approach.
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