The prevalence of mesiodens in a group of non-syndromic Turkish children: a radiographic study
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Introduction
The most common type of supernumerary tooth which can appear in the maxillary midline area is defined as mesiodens (1). The reported prevalence in the permanent dentition ranges between 0.1-3.8% whereas, in the primary dentition the range is between 0.03-1.9% (2-5). Supernumerary teeth are estimated to occur in the maxilla more frequently than in the mandible (6). The mesiodens is the most frequent type of supernumerary tooth and accounts 80% of all (7-9). Mesiodens may occur either as single or multiple (8).

Mesiodentes can cause a variety of problems, including; retention of the primary tooth, delay or prevent of eruption of central incisors and can lead to ectopic eruption, tooth displacement, central incisor rotation, abnormal root development, dilacerations in the developing roots,
root resorption and loss of tooth vitality, crowding, spacing of the anterior teeth, dentigerous cyst formation, follicular cysts, eruption of the mesiodentes into the nasal cavity as well as other alterations requiring surgical or orthodontic intervention (10-12).

The etiology of mesiodens remains unclear; however, various theories have been suggested regarding the presence of supernumerary teeth. Supernumerary teeth have also been attributed to atavism. The first theory of atavism - referring to having more teeth - is widely rejected. For splitting of the dental follicle (the theory of dichotomy), some factors such as trauma or evolutionary mutations, can cause accidental follicle division into two or more fragments. The hyperactivity of the dental lamina and the combination of genetic and environmental factors may be considered as the most acceptable etiologic factors in the development of mesiodens (13-15). Familial occurrence of mesiodens is reported to involve more than one sibling, or one generation (16, 17).

Mesiodens may also occur in association with syndromes like; cleidocranial dysostosis, Gardner’s syndrome, especially cleft lip and palate, Down’s syndrome (18, 19).

However, the appearance of a mesiodens can occur in non-syndromic individuals. Positive family history is one of the predisposing factors and this condition might be found as an isolated finding (20).

The objective of the present study was to examine the prevalence and clinical status of mesiodens, with an analysis of the associated clinical-eruptive complications. The null hypothesis of the study is the appearance of a mesiodens don’t occur in non-syndromic individuals and there is no associated mesiodens complications.

Materials and Methods

The study was based on the evaluation of 58142 pediatric patients who attended the Istanbul University Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Pedodontics between September 2013 and December 2015. Ethical committee approval was obtained from the ethical committee of Istanbul University Faculty of Dentistry (Ref.Number 158; 2016/44). All patients and/or parents signed a letter of consent giving permission to use data for research purposes after related radiographs were taken.

Inclusion criteria

Only patients who accepted the use of their data for research purposes and visited the faculty for: treatment of caries, gingival conditions, tooth fracture, malocclusion or routine dental check-ups during the specified period and had no history of any previous extraction or tooth loss due to trauma, were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Patients without adequate documentation or patients who had any associated developmental anomalies, missing teeth adjacent to the mesiodens and with poor quality radiographs were excluded.

Results

The presence of a supernumerary tooth or tooth bud between two central incisors, or of unilateral or bilateral teeth in the midline of the maxilla was noted as mesiodentes on radiographs. Age, gender, number of mesiodentes, morphology (conical, tuberculate, supplemental, other), clinical status (erupted, impacted) were recorded in forms.

Statistical analysis

The variables were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 12 (IBM Corp.; 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY, USA). The Pearson chi-square test was used to analyse sex differences. A p value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results showed that among the total 58142 children screened (male-28733; female- 29409), 0.1% had mesiodentes. A total of 83 mesiodentes were diagnosed in 59 (18 girls, 41 boys) children from 58142 samples from a pediatric Turkish population with ages ranging from 6 to 14 years. No statistical significance was found between genders.

Age, gender, number of mesiodentes, morphology (conical, tuberculate, supplemental, other), clinical status (erupted, impacted) of the cases are presented in Table 1.

Forty one (49.4%) mesiodentes presence were detected in age group of 6-9 years, and 42 (50.6%) in age group of 10-14 years. Of the 59 children, 36 children (61.0%) had one mesiodens (Figure 1), 22 children (37.3%) had two mesiodentes (Figure 2) and 1 child (1.7%) had three mesiodentes.

Among the 83 mesiodentes, the conical shape was the most common type accounting for 48.2%, followed by tuberculate in 31.3% followed by incisor-like in 20.5% (Figure 3).

Of the 83 mesiodentes, 68.7% were fully impacted and 31.3% were either partially or completely erupted. Among 83 mesiodentes, 77.1% were located in the vertical direction, followed by inversion with 22.9%. The main complication associated with the mesiodentes was displacement or rotation of permanent teeth seen in 44 patients (73.3%) followed by the delayed eruption of permanent central incisors (24 patients, 40.0%), delayed or abnormal root development of permanent central incisors (7 patients, 11.6%). Six patients were asymptomatic.
In this study, the complete records of 58142 pediatric patients who presented mixed or permanent dentition were assessed. A total of 83 mesiodentes were diagnosed in 59 children (average of 1.4 mesiodentes per child), corresponding to 0.1% prevalence in the overall sample. This prevalence was less than that described in studies by Hurlen and Humerfelt (21) (1.4%) and Salcido-García et al. (22) (1.6%), Patil et al. (3) (1.4%) and was very close to the mean frequency observed in the prevalence values presented in Çolak et al. (2) (0.13%). The null hypothesis of the study was not supported.

Supernumerary teeth affect both dentitions equally, but mesiodens are the most frequently observed dental anomaly in permanent dentition (20) and it was more common among males. In the present study, there was a male to female ratio of 2.3:1, in the 59 patients with mesiodens. This ratio was 2.8:1 in the 200 patients (3-84 years old) in Asaumi et al. (1) study. Kim and Lee (7) examined 40 children, whose ages ranged from 4 to 26 years, also found that males were affected approximately four times as frequently as females.

The mean age at the time of diagnosis of the mesiodens in this study was 9.5 years, but of 59 cases, 32 (54.2%) were discovered at 9-11 years. This period is later than the eruption time of the maxillary central incisors. When the delay of eruption and malposition of the maxillary central incisors or supernumerary teeth, congenitally missing teeth were seen, the radiographic examination was performed as a screening aid. Usually, mesiodens are discovered when adjacent teeth are displaced or have delayed eruption. Furthermore, during a routine radiographic check-up, an unerupted mesiodens without significant effect on the adjacent teeth may be examined (15, 23). Most mesiodentes are discovered with radiographic evaluation in the eruption period.

Although, mesiodentes may be single or multiple, multiple supernumerary teeth are rare in individuals with no other associated diseases or syndromes (24). A single mesiodens was found in 61% of the sample, while the remaining 37.3% presented two and 1.7% had three mesiodentes in this study. These findings were similar to the findings of Asaumi et al. (1), Gunduz et al. (25), Kim and Lee (7), and Huang et al. (26), who recorded one mesiodens in most of the reported cases.

Among 83 mesiodentes, 57 (68.7%) were impacted and 26 (31.3%) had erupted in the oral cavity. With regard to the direction of the crown, a mesiodens is most often in an upright position, but it can be found in an inverted or even in a horizontal position (4, 25-27). In the present study of the 83 mesiodentes, 64 (77.1%) were in a normal direction and 19 (22.9%) in an inverted direction against the axis of the tooth. Roychoudhury et al. (28) have reported seeing inverted impacted mesiodens in 62.5% of impacted mesiodentes, yet such a relationship was not observed in our study.

A mesiodens is often unique (1, 4, 7, 25, 26) and different in shape and size (16), but may vary in morphology, from a small rudimentary conical shape (4, 7, 22, 25, 28), to a complex form with several tubercles. In the current study, the crown shape was mainly conical (48.2% of cases) and this was in accordance with Giacontti et al. (29), Seddon et al. (30) and Kim and Lee (7).

The main complications associated with mesiodentes in this study were: displacement or rotation of permanent incisors (74.6%), delayed eruption of permanent incisors (42.4%),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of subjects</th>
<th>Ratio of boys to girls</th>
<th>Number of mesiodens</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Clinical status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-14 years</td>
<td>2.3:1</td>
<td>One (61%)</td>
<td>Conical (48.2%)</td>
<td>Impacted (68.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Two (37.3%)</td>
<td>Tuberculate (31.3%)</td>
<td>Erupted (31.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Three (1.7%)</td>
<td>Incisor like (20.5%)</td>
<td>(partialy/completely)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. The percentage distribution of mesiodentes according to the age, gender, number, morphology, clinical status**

**Discussion**

In this study, the complete records of 58142 pediatric patients who presented mixed or permanent dentition were assessed. A total of 83 mesiodentes were diagnosed in 59 children (average of 1.4 mesiodentes per child), corresponding to 0.1% prevalence in the overall sample. This prevalence was less than that described in studies by Hurlen and Humerfelt (21) (1.4%) and Salcido-García et al. (22) (1.6%), Patil et al. (3) (1.4%) and was very close to the mean frequency observed in the prevalence values presented in Çolak et al. (2) (0.13%). The null hypothesis of the study was not supported.

Supernumerary teeth affect both dentitions equally, but mesiodens are the most frequently observed dental anomaly in permanent dentition (20) and it was more common among males. In the present study, there was a male to female ratio of 2.3:1, in the 59 patients with mesiodens. This ratio was 2.8:1 in the 200 patients (3-84 years old) in Asaumi et al. (1) study. Kim and Lee (7) examined 40 children, whose ages ranged from 4 to 26 years, also found that males were affected approximately four times as frequently as females.

The mean age at the time of diagnosis of the mesiodens in this study was 9.5 years, but of 59 cases, 32 (54.2%) were discovered at 9-11 years. This period is later than the eruption time of the maxillary central incisors. When the delay of eruption and malposition of the maxillary central incisors or supernumerary teeth, congenitally missing teeth were seen, the radiographic examination was performed as a screening aid. Usually, mesiodens are discovered when adjacent teeth are displaced or have delayed eruption. Furthermore, during a routine radiographic check-up, an unerupted mesiodens without significant effect on the adjacent teeth may be examined (15, 23). Most mesiodentes are discovered with radiographic evaluation in the eruption period.

Although, mesiodentes may be single or multiple, multiple supernumerary teeth are rare in individuals with no other associated diseases or syndromes (24). A single mesiodens was found in 61% of the sample, while the remaining 37.3% presented two and 1.7% had three mesiodentes in this study. These findings were similar to the findings of Asaumi et al. (1), Gunduz et al. (25), Kim and Lee (7), and Huang et al. (26), who recorded one mesiodens in most of the reported cases.

Among 83 mesiodentes, 57 (68.7%) were impacted and 26 (31.3%) had erupted in the oral cavity. With regard to the direction of the crown, a mesiodens is most often in an upright position, but it can be found in an inverted or even in a horizontal position (4, 25-27). In the present study of the 83 mesiodentes, 64 (77.1%) were in a normal direction and 19 (22.9%) in an inverted direction against the axis of the tooth. Roychoudhury et al. (28) have reported seeing inverted impacted mesiodens in 62.5% of impacted mesiodentes, yet such a relationship was not observed in our study.

A mesiodens is often unique (1, 4, 7, 25, 26) and different in shape and size (16), but may vary in morphology, from a small rudimentary conical shape (4, 7, 22, 25, 28), to a complex form with several tubercles. In the current study, the crown shape was mainly conical (48.2% of cases) and this was in accordance with Giacontti et al. (29), Seddon et al. (30) and Kim and Lee (7).

The main complications associated with mesiodentes in this study were: displacement or rotation of permanent incisors (74.6%), delayed eruption of permanent incisors (42.4%),
delayed or abnormal root development of associated permanent teeth (11.8%). Similar findings have been previously reported (7, 25, 27). Von Arx (31) reported the retention and malposition of the adjacent permanent incisors in 34.5% of 113 mesiodentes.

The treatment of mesiodentes should be planned, after consideration of all clinical and radiological findings. Management always depends on the type of supernumerary teeth, its position in relation to other teeth, and its effects on adjacent teeth. Extraction is not always the preferred treatment. Surgical removal should be avoided if unerupted supernumerary teeth remain asymptomatic and are sometimes best left and kept under observation (24). Late or delayed removal of supernumerary teeth is recommended in order to prevent damage to tooth buds and/or adjacent teeth, decrease the surgical burden for a child, and to avoid repetitive surgery. However, periodic follow-up is necessary (32). Most recommendations for early and late removal of supernumerary teeth are anecdotal rather than evidence based (33). This report shows the need for early and correct diagnosis of mesiodentes, which requires an individualized treatment plan. Unilateral persistence of a deciduous incisor, failure of eruption or ectopic eruption of a permanent incisor, a wide diastema, or rotation of erupted permanent incisors should alert the clinician to the possible presence of supernumerary teeth (31) and indicate appropriate radiographic investigation. Whatever the management approach, early diagnosis is critical. An appropriate treatment plan should emphasize prevention and include regular clinical and radiographic monitoring and, if possible on eruption.

**Conclusion**

This study showed a 0.1% prevalence of mesiodens in a group of Turkish children sample. The majority of the mesiodentes were unilateral located in the maxillary region, were conical shaped, and remained unerupted. In this study the main complication associated with the mesiodens was displacement or rotation of permanent teeth (73.3%). The mean age at the time of diagnosis of the mesiodens in this study was 9.5 years, and this period is later than the eruption time of the maxillary central incisor. On appropriate diagnosis early intervention is required in the form of surgical or orthodontic treatment and combination in order to minimize unwanted side effects to the developing dentition in children.
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**Türkçe öz:** Sendromu olmayan bir grup Türk çocukçunda mezyodens prevalansı: bir radyografik çalışma. Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı, sendromu olmayan bir grup Türk çocukçunda mezyodens sıkılığı ve klinik durumunun iliği klinik sürme kompleksiyonlarıyla ilişkilendirek incelemektir. Gerek ve Yöntem: Bu çalışma, 58142 çocuk hastanın panaromik radyografilerinin değerlendirilmesiyle gerçekleştirilmiştir. Çalışmada, standart gerek ve filmler kullanılmıştır. Tüm radyografiler, standart koşullar altında, 10 yıl üstü deneyime sahip iki pedodontist tarafından değerlendirilmiştir. Yaşı, cinsiyet, mezyodens sayısı, morfoloji ve klinik durum formlarla kayit edilmiştir. Bulgular: Yaşlan: 6-14 arası dönemde geçen 59 hastada 83 mezyodens tespit edilmiştir. Mezyodens prevalansı %0,1 olarak saptanmıştır. Kadınlar, erkeklerden 2:3:1 oranında daha fazla etkilenmiştir. Seksen üç mezyodensin; %48,2’sinin konik, %31,3’sünün tüberkülü, %20,5’inin kesiş kıkırdak görünümüne, %22,9’unun enverte ve %68,7’sinin ise tamamen gümüş olduğu belirlenmiştir. Otuz altı olguda bir (%61,0), 22 olguda iki (%37,3) ve bir olguda 3 (%1,7) mezyodens varlığı izlenmiştir. Mezyodens tanısının yapıldığı ortalama yaş 9,5 yıl olarak belirlenmiştir. Mezyodens ile ilişkili en önemli komplikasyon, kalmış dışçılık yer değiştirilmesi veya rotasyonu (%73,3) olduğunu saptanmıştır. Sonuç: Bu çalışma, bir grup Türk çocukçunda mezyodens prevalansını %0,1 olarak vermektedir. Mezyodenslerin çoğunun, premaksillerde tek tarafı, konik şekilli ve sürmesiz olduğu belirlenmiştir. Bu çalışmada, mezyodens tanısının körüyوط ortalaması 9,5 yaş olup, bir periyot üst birinci kesiş düzeyi zamannında sonradır. Anahtar kelimeler: Mezyodens; çocuk populasyonu; radyografik çalışma; prevalans; komplikasyon
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